

3.0 ENHANCING ENVIRONMENTAL VALUES (EEV)

3.1 Regional Summary of EEV Issues and Priorities

The provincial Watershed Restoration Program (WRP) presents a number of resource and implementation challenges in six districts of the Skeena-Bulkley Region. The WRP has been active across the Region since its inception in 1994. The majority of the work in the first years of the program comprised mostly of instream/riparian assessments and upslope/road assessment and deactivation work. In more recent years, work has shifted towards implementing prescriptive and restorative work in the streams and riparian areas. Most (but not all) of the eligible road issues have been addressed in the priority areas to date. This said, significant investment is still planned to be directed towards road impacts that are inhibiting fish passage.

In the eastern districts, fish access has been identified as one of the major impacts to the watersheds, often the result of road crossings that were originally constructed as, or have become, barriers to fish migration. Restoration of fish access to these areas will re-establish access to many kilometers of productive habitat upstream of the crossing.

The western districts, with their unstable slopes and high-energy systems present their own unique set of challenges. Restorative activities range from road deactivation, to prevent further erosion or landslide potential, to off-channel habitat development, to riparian zone restoration that has the long term objective of developing more stable channels and diverse habitats. Re-establishing fish passage is also a priority activity in the western districts as is restorative work involving stabilization of highly mobile stream channels and gravel bars often associated with alluvial fans that were historically harvested.

The Skeena Bulkley RMP was originally developed in 1999 and has been refined and updated annually. However, the criterion used for designation of the priority watersheds for restorative investment has not changed from its original inception.

3.2 Criteria

A standardized plan was used as a template to reduce interpretive variation in the criteria; however, criteria were interpreted differently in some Forest Districts. A summary of each district's interpretation of the plan is provided in Section 3.2.2 of this report.

3.2.1 Regional list of criteria used to derive the relative ranking of watersheds and the designation of key watersheds

Delineation of Planning Units

The first step in the development of the RMP was to establish planning unit boundaries (see Appendix "A"). The *Guidelines for the Development of Resource Management Plans for 2001/01* provided a range for the size of planning unit that would be appropriate for the RMP. For interior watersheds, a range of 30,000 to 75,000 ha was suggested. For coastal areas, a smaller area of 10,000 to 50,000 ha was used.

As a start, Landscape Units (LUs) were examined. The LU is the basic geographic unit; based on height of land (i.e. watersheds), that is employed provincially in forest

management planning. In the western districts of the region (North Coast, Kalum and Kispiox), the size of the LUs were considered appropriate for the scope of the RMP so they were adopted as the basic planning unit for the RMP development. In the eastern districts (Bulkley, Morice and Lakes), the LUs were considered too large for the RMP exercise. Consequently, the LUs were maintained and were further divided into sub-units that formed the basic planning unit.

The following table (Table 1) summarizes the number and average size of planning units used for the Skeena-Bulkley Region for the purposes of this RMP.

Table 1 -- Number and average size of planning units.

Forest District	Number of Planning Units	Average Size (ha)
Lakes	72	16,330
Morice	51	29,184
Bulkley	48	15,976
Kispiox	31	41,732
Kalum	124	32,056
North Coast	61	33,719
REGION	387	27,914

Defining the Resource Values for each Planning Unit

The fisheries and water use values were assessed for each planning unit. The purpose of examining resource values alone is to identify, on a regional basis, the KEY watersheds: those watersheds, or more accurately the planning units in which they fall, that have significant fisheries and/or domestic water use values.

The fisheries resource values were researched for each of the planning units. The information was obtained for each of the planning units (where information was available). The sources used to gather this information were:

- Fisheries Information Summary System (FISS)
- WRP Assessment Reports
- Local knowledge of Ministry of Environment, Lands and Parks (MELP) and Ministry of Forests (MoF) staff
- Stakeholder reports and local knowledge
- Other fisheries reports

The criteria used to assess fisheries values were developed using categories defined in the following three reports:

- Anonymous. 1998. *Living Blueprint for BC Salmonid Habitat*. Pacific Salmon Foundation. Published by an Independent Panel
- Haas, G.R. 1998. *Indigenous fish species potentially at risk in BC with recommendations and prioritization's for conservation forestry/resource use,*

inventory and research, Fisheries Management report No. 105, Ministry of Fisheries, Vancouver, B.C.

- Cannings, SG and J. Ptolmey. 1998. *Rare Freshwater Fish of British Columbia*. Ministry of Environment, Lands and Parks, Victoria, B.C.

Using the following three categories, the status of a fish population or species in each of the landscape units and/or watersheds was determined:

Populations at High Risk of Extinction (PopHRE): Fish populations at high risk of extinction (Anon. 1998). This also includes, but is not limited to, populations that have regional conservation concerns (i.e. Skeena summer run timing coho, Kitimat eulachon etc).

Species of Provincial Significance (SpProvSign): Fish species that have been identified provincially as being particularly sensitive to forest harvesting activities (Haas 1998). In the Kalum this refers mostly to bull trout (BT), Dolly Varden (DV) and/or cutthroat trout (CT). These criteria can also include other rare species found in the Region (e.g. giant pigmy whitefish, giant black stickleback).

Population of Regional Significance (PopRegSign): Refers to populations that contribute to significant native, sport or commercial fisheries (in no order of hierarchy).

A landscape unit's *Priority for Fish* category is described (ranked) using four basic rankings: very high (VH), high (H), moderate (M), and low (L). Using the best information available, a ranking was assigned to each Landscape Unit (LU):

- If a LU contained a PopHRE then it was immediately given a **VH** ranking;
- If more than one SpProvSign were present, or one SpProvSign along with PopRegSign, then the LU was also assigned the **VH** ranking;
- If two or more PopRegSign were present, then a **H** ranking was assigned;
- If only one PopRegSign, then a **M** ranking was assigned
- Remaining LUs that did not have the previous described rankings were given an EEV Priority for Fish ranking of **L**.

This method of ranking was developed to reflect the program goals and priorities as defined by the objectives of the EEV program. Although this method of ranking was generally followed, some values were moved up or down based on detailed knowledge of a watershed and the significance of the resources in the planning unit.

Water Use

The highest rankings for domestic water use, described as very high (**VH**), were assigned to those planning units that contained a Community Watershed, as designated in the Forest Practices Code of British Columbia.

Those planning units that did not have a community watershed, but had a significant number of licensed water uses were assigned a high (**H**) ranking. Planning units with little or no domestic water use were assigned a medium (**M**) to low (**L**) ranking.

Identification of Key Landscape Units (watersheds)

A Key watershed is defined as a watershed that contains significant (ranked **VH** or **H**) fisheries values and/or significant (ranked **VH** or **H**) domestic water use. Where available, information was gathered for each LU and ranking of **KEY** was assigned to

LUs that fit the criteria described above. Given the significant fisheries resources that are found throughout the Skeena-Bulkley Region, many of the planning units were unsurprisingly assigned the KEY LU ranking. Given this fact, it was decided that the KEY ranking would be revisited after the condition and eligibility of the watershed was assessed in order to present a plan that was more useful in focusing on priority watersheds.

Description of the General Condition of the Landscape Unit

The condition of the Planning Unit is a crude measure of geography and development history of the planning unit, based on available information. District Ministry of forest staff were requested to assess the Landscape units (and/or sub units), and assign low, medium, and high rankings under the following headings:

Past Development ranking was based on the extent of past harvesting within the LU.

Probability of Future Impacts ranking was based on professional judgement of the LU's geography, harvesting history, and experience with planning units that have a similar, more extensive harvesting history in the area. This criterion was used to assess the probability that negative affects to the aquatic resources from historical harvesting practices that, although not currently apparent, may occur in the future.

Probability of Success ranking was based on professional judgement of the LU's geography, identified impairments to its' aquatic habitat, and work required to rehabilitate the impacts. This category often relates to the overall stability of the drainage, energy of the river/stream system, bedload movement, and the type of restorative work proposed.

Assign the Stage of Work within the Planning Unit

A value of 0 to 4 was assigned based the following definitions:

Stage 0: No WRP activities initiated

Stage 1: Overview assessment completed

Stage 2: Detailed assessments/prescriptions/survey and design completed for selected sites in the planning unit

Stage 3: Works, maintenance and evaluation underway or immediately scheduled

Stage 4: Monitoring and evaluation of works completed/no more work recommended

Summary of the RMP Development Process by District

As stated in the introduction, since the RMP's initial implementation in 1999, slight variations in the overall development of the RMP occurred in each Planning District within the Region. These variations are described as follows:

Lakes Forest District

Fisheries information was provided on a Watershed "Sub Unit" level. District MoF staff completed the RMP tables and assigned the rankings with input from the district proponents for the EEV program. Extensive changes were made to the 2000 – 2001 LU sub unit rankings. The changes were based on updated resource information that was provided to the delivery agencies in the summer of 2000. The RMP was modified to incorporate the data and final rankings for the information and was rolled into this 2001-2002 regional RMP. Targeted subunits were determined by the FRBC Regional staff in consultation with the Multi Year Agreement (MYA) holders based in the district.

Morice Forest District

Resource information was provided on a LU level. The LUs were then ranked by both MoF and MELP Regional staff and then further divided into watershed sub-units. The information presented in the RMP document is at the Landscape Unit level and unless detailed resource information was available the watershed sub-units were assigned the same criteria values as the entire Landscape Unit. The sub-units should be re-ranked, based on sub-unit level information. For the 2002-2003 RMP, specific recommendations from stakeholders necessitated the LU rankings of three sub units (Morice Lake, Atna and Upper Morice River) to be changed from Targeted subunits to Key subunits due to limited “pre code” harvesting in those areas. Subsequently an additional Priority Key Sub-Unit (Morrison) was designated as Targeted due to its’ resource priorities and the opportunities for rehabilitation of fisheries resource values.

Bulkley-Cassiar Forest District

Resource information for the 2002–2003 EEV RMP was completed by MELP regional and MoF district staff. Meetings were held with the EEV MYAs, MoF, and FRBC and the final rankings were assigned. One Sub-Unit (Blunt) was designated a Priority Key LU from a Targeted Sub-Unit for the 2002-2003 RMP.

Kispiox Forest District

MELP and MoF district staff completed the RMP in the Kispiox for 2000-2001. The assigned rankings were agreed to by both agencies and will be used unchanged for the 2002-2003 RMP. One Sub-Unit (Upper Cranberry) was changed from a Targeted Sub-Unit to a Priority Key for this 2002-2003 RMP.

Kalum Forest District

District staff for both MoF and MELP are administering EEV activities in the Kalum District. These agency representatives sought input from the current district MYAs, entered the RMP data as per the guidelines and submitted the final ranking for the regional compilation. Targeted LUs were designated by FRBC in consultation with the MYAs (and their Implementing Partners where applicable).

North Coast Forest District

The LU designation exercise was completed for the 2000-2001 RMP by MoF district staff in consultation with district proponents. Representatives of MELP reviewed the RMP data, held discussions with MoF staff, and agreed to the final rankings for the 2001-2002 document.

3.2.2 The criteria used to designate key watersheds into categories I-V

The document *2002-03 Resource Management Plan Guidelines for the Watershed Restoration Program in Support of Forest renewal BC’s Enhancing Environmental Values Strategic Objective* provided a category system that assigned values to each LU. The rationale behind this ranking is to assess, to some extent, the eligibility or requirement of FRBC funding and other funding sources for restoration purposes. The definitions for the categories each LU was assigned are as follows:

Category I: *Watersheds that have known impacts from pre-Code forestry but are still functional watersheds that will potentially benefit from restoration activities.*

These watersheds are known to have experienced forestry-related habitat impairment or water-quality degradation in at least a portion of the watershed, or are believed to have a forestry related threat to fish habitat or water quality, but there are still significant areas of good quality habitat. It is believed that restoration activity can be rapidly focused on improving conditions or preventing damage before it occurs (e.g., roads with high risk of failure). Category I watersheds can also include those portions of a watershed that contain important identified species and are upstream of agricultural or urbanized areas, or supply water to a community intake that is upstream of other land uses. Where the important habitat areas are affected by *other* land uses, the watershed is not Category I. This category may include watersheds where restoration activity has previously occurred.

Category II: *Watersheds with impacts from pre-Code forestry as well as other land uses (including private land) and where there is a coordinated restoration plan in place. The watershed must still be functional and able to benefit from coordinated activity.*

Restoration will require a coordinated approach to address all of the land-use impacts. WRP activities in Category II watersheds must be coordinated with other funding sources, such as Fisheries Renewal or HRSEP, in order to significantly affect fish recovery or water quality improvement. This category may include watersheds where restoration activity has previously occurred.

Category IIB: *Watersheds with significant impacts from other land use, including private land, but where there is no coordinated restoration plan in place.*

Restoration of these watersheds through activity only in the forest land will potentially provide low benefit because of impacts from other land uses such as agriculture, mining or residential development.

Category III: *Watersheds with minimal or no “pre-Code” forestry-related impairment of fish habitat or water quality.*

In these cases, restoration activity may not be required or may not be cost effective in relation to a small, incremental improvement in fish or water quality. This category includes watersheds with little or no forest development.

Category IV: *Watersheds known to be impacted to such an extent that a restoration effort is unlikely to be successful.*

These watersheds are believed to have experienced such extensive habitat or hillslope damage that expenditures will be so high, and restoration time so slow, that it may not be technically feasible or cost-effective to restore them.

Category V: *Watersheds with insufficient data to make a determination.*

Watersheds with no assessment surveys are temporarily on hold and are subject areas for overview assessments. They do not become candidate watersheds for restoration activity until more is known about their status, but they are **not** rejected at this point either.

Final Ranking Process

Moderate Priority Watershed Units:

Based on the program objectives, watershed units with lower fisheries resources and domestic water use are ranked as Moderate Priority Watershed Units.

Key Watershed Units:

These are regionally significant watershed units that contain high or very high values of targeted fish species/stock or are important domestic water supply sources but are not considered category I or II as described above.

Priority Key Watershed Units(PK):

These are Category I and II watersheds that:

- have known impacts from Pre-Code forestry, and
- have been estimated to have a high likelihood of restoration success.

Target Watershed Units:

These are a subset of the Priority Key(PK) Watersheds that had interim restoration plans completed in 2000/2001.

Based on interim restoration plans, a smaller subset (44 of the watersheds that have a high likelihood of major works completed by March 2004) of PK watersheds will have Restoration Plans completed in 2001/2002. Our regional goal is to complete the "major works" on 38 PK watersheds or 20% of the PK watershed units in the region.

Watershed Completion :

Watershed completion occurs when all the higher-priority major works identified in restoration plans are implemented and completed according to standards.

Based on the interim restoration plans and consultation with proponents, there are approximately 18 priority key watersheds that now have/or will have "major works" completed in 2001/2002. Completion reports will be prepared for these watersheds in 2001/2002. It is expected that these watersheds will contribute to our 20% strategic goal.

3.3 Table 2 – Regional Watershed Unit Summary

Region: Prince Rupert	Number of Watershed Units
Key watershed units that are Category I	209
Key watershed units that are Category II	20
Key watershed units that are Category IIB	0
Key watershed units that are Category III	111
Key watershed units that are Category IV	2
Key watershed units that are Category V	45
Number of Key Watershed Units (Sum of key categories I - V)	387
Total Number of priority key watershed units	189

Total Number of Targeted watershed units	94
Total Number of watershed units (key and non key)	387

3.4 Table 3. Regional Project Summary

3.4.1 Lakes Forest District

MoF District	Watershed Unit	Subunit	Regional priority for domestic water supply	Regional priority for fish	Existing Fish Species and populations at Risk			Priority Key watershed for region (Y/N)	Targeted	MYA # (for Ws units with active projects)	Implementing Partners
					Pop. HRE	Prov. Signif.	Reg. Significance				
La	Babine East	Four Mile	L	H			SK RB	Yes	No	Babine FP	KKBC
La	Babine East	Gullwing	L	H			SK RB	Yes	No	Babine FP	
La	Babine East	Sutherland	L	H		RB	SK unique RB pop	Yes	No	Babine FP	
La	Babine West	Froggy-South	M	H			SK RB	Yes	No	Babine FP	
La	Babine West	Pendleton	H	H			SK RB	Yes	No	Babine FP	
La	Babine West	Pierre	M	H			SK RB	Yes	No	Babine FP	
La	Babine West	Twain	M	H			SK RB	Yes	No	Babine FP	
La	Babine West	Upper Pinkut	M	H			SK RB	Yes	No	Babine FP	
La	Bulkley	Broman	H	VH	CO	BT CT	CH ST RB	Yes	Yes	Babine FP	
La	Bulkley	Crow-Foxy	H	H	CO	BT CT	CH ST RB	Yes	Yes	Babine FP	
La	Bulkley	Day Lake	H	H	CO	BT CT	CH ST RB	Yes	Yes	Babine FP	
La	Bulkley	Maxan	M	VH	CO	BT CT	CH ST RB	Yes	Yes	Babine FP	
La	Bulkley	Upper Foxy	M	H	CO	BT CT	CH ST RB	Yes	Yes	Babine FP	
La	Burns Lake East	Burns	H	H			CH RB	Yes	Yes	Babine FP	
La	Burns Lake East	Cheskwa	H	H			CH RB	Yes	Yes	Babine FP	
La	Burns Lake East	Sheraton	H	H			CH RB	No	No	Babine FP	
La	Burns Lake East	Shovel	M	VH			CH RB	Yes	Yes	Babine FP	
La	Burns Lake East	Tchesinkut E.	H	H			CH RB	Yes	Yes	Babine FP	
La	Burns Lake East	Tercer	H	H			CH RB	Yes	Yes	Babine FP	
La	Burns Lake West	Decker Stearns	H	M			RB	Yes	Yes	Babine FP	
La	Burns Lake West	Eagle Ck.	H	M			RB	Yes	Yes	Babine FP	
La	Burns Lake West	Gerow	H	M			RB	Yes	Yes	Babine FP	
La	Burns Lake West	Palling	H	H			RB	Yes	Yes	Babine FP	
La	Burns Lake West	Tchesinkut W.	H	M			RB	Yes	Yes	Babine FP	
La	Chelaslie	Chelaslie Arm	L	H			RB	No	No	FLSM	
La	Chelaslie	Chief Louie	L	M			RB	No	No	FLSM	
La	Chelaslie	Tetachuck Eu	L	M			RB	No	No	FLSM	
La	Chelaslie	Tetachuck N	L	M			RB	No	No	FLSM	
La	Chelaslie	Uduk	L	M			RB	No	No	FLSM	
La	Chelaslie	White Eye	M	H			RB	Yes	No	FLSM	

MoF District	Watershed Unit	Subunit	Regional priority for domestic water supply	Regional priority for fish	Existing Fish Species and populations at Risk			Priority Key watershed for region (Y/N)	Targeted	MYA # (for Ws units with active projects)	Implementing Partners
					Pop. HRE	Prov. Signif.	Reg. Significance				
La	Cheslatta	Bird	M	M		BT	RB	No	No	FLSM	
La	Cheslatta	Cheslatta	H	H		BT	RB	Yes	No	FLSM	
La	Cheslatta	Davidson	M	M		BT	RB	No	No	FLSM	
La	Cheslatta	Enz	M	M		BT	RB	No	No	FLSM	
La	Cheslatta	Knapp	M	H		BT	RB	Yes	No	FLSM	
La	Cheslatta	Marilla	M	H		BT	RB	No	No	FLSM	
La	Cheslatta	Ootsanee	M	H		BT	RB	Yes	Yes	FLSM	
La	Entiako	Bryan Arm	L	L			RB	No	No	N/A	
La	Entiako	Captain Harry	L	L			RB	No	No	N/A	
La	Entiako	Entiako Lake	L	L			RB	No	No	N/A	
La	Entiako	Entiako River	L	L			RB	No	No	N/A	
La	Entiako	Euchu	L	L			RB	No	No	N/A	
La	Entiako	McGibbon	L	L			RB	No	No	N/A	
La	Entiako	Tetachuck S.	L	L			RB	No	No	N/A	
La	Fleming	Fleming Ck.	L	H		BT	SK RB	Yes	Yes	Babine FP	
La	Fleming	Lower Tildesly	L	H		BT	SK RB	Yes	Yes	Babine FP	
La	Fleming	Upper Tildesly	L	H		BT	SK RB	Yes	Yes	Babine FP	
La	Francois East	Binta	M	H			RB	Yes	No	FLSM	
La	Francois East	Binta- North	L	L			RB	No	No	FLSM	
La	Francois East	Haney	H	M			RB	Yes	No	FLSM	
La	Francois East	Red Hills	H	M			RB	Yes	No	FLSM	
La	Francois East	Takysie	H	M			RB	Yes	No	FLSM	
La	Francois East	Uncha	H	M			RB	Yes	No	FLSM	
La	Francois West	Allin Ck.	H	H			RB LT	Yes	No	Babine FP	
La	Francois West	Evans	H	H			RB LT	Yes	No	Babine FP	
La	Francois West	Henkel	H	H			RB LT	Yes	No	Babine FP	
La	Francois West	Issac	M	M			RB LT	No	No	FLSM	
La	Francois West	Katherine Lk.	H	M			RB LT	Yes	No	FLSM	
La	Francois West	Ramsey	H	H			RB LT	Yes	No	Babine FP	
La	Francois West	Tatalrose	H	M			RB LT	Yes	No	FLSM	
La	Intata	Henson	H	M			RB	Yes	Yes	FLSM	
La	Intata	Intata Reach	L	H			RB	No	Yes	FLSM	
La	Intata	Natalkuz	L	H			RB	Yes	Yes	FLSM	
La	Intata	Ootsa-Intata	M	H			RB	Yes	Yes	FLSM	
La	Ootsa	Ootsa	H	H			RB	Yes	No	FLSM	

MoF District	Watershed Unit	Subunit	Regional priority for domestic water supply	Regional priority for fish	Existing Fish Species and populations at Risk			Priority Key watershed for region (Y/N)	Targeted	MYA # (for Ws units with active projects)	Implementing Partners
					Pop. HRE	Prov. Signif.	Reg. Significance				
La	Ootsa	Ootsa South	L	L			RB	No	No	FLSM	
La	Ootsa	Square Lk.	M	M			RB	No	No	FLSM	
La	Taltapin	Augier/Pinkut	H	H		BT	SK	Yes	Yes	Babine FP	
La	Taltapin	Donald Ldg	H	H		BT	SK	Yes	Yes	Babine FP	
La	Taltapin	Helene	M	H		BT	SK	Yes	Yes	Babine FP	
La	Taltapin	Henrietta	M	H		BT	SK	Yes	Yes	Babine FP	
La	Taltapin	Taltapin	H	H		BT	SK	Yes	Yes	Babine FP	

3.4.2 Morice Forest District

MoF District	Watershed Unit	Subunit	Regional priority for domestic water supply	Regional priority for fish	Existing Fish Species and populations at Risk			Priority Key watershed for region (Y/N)	Targeted	MYA # (for Ws units with active projects)	Implementing Partners
					Pop. HRE	Prov. Signif.	Reg. Significance				
Mo	Buck	Aitken Creek	VH	VH				Yes	Yes	HFP/CANFOR	
Mo	Buck	Buck Creek	VH	VH	CO		CH ST MW RB	Yes	Yes	HFP/CANFOR	
Mo	Buck	Dungate Creek	VH	VH				Yes	Yes	HFP/CANFOR	
Mo	Burnie	Burnie	L	M		CT	RB KO DV MW BB	No	No		
Mo	Clore	Clore	L	H				No	No		
Mo	Fulton	Fulton River	H	VH	CO	CT	SK PK CH MW RB	Yes	No		
Mo	Fulton	Guess Creek	M	VH		CT		Yes	No		
Mo	Fulton	Tanglechain Cr	M	VH		CT	BB LT MW RB	Yes	No		
Mo	Gosnell	Gosnell Creek		VH	CO	CT BT	CH PK ST MW RB	No	No		
Mo	Gosnell	Shea Creek		VH	CO	CT BT	ST MW RB	No	No		
Mo	Granisle	Babine L/Granisle	H	VH	CO		SK RB DV	No	No		
Mo	Houston-Tommy	Upper Morice River		VH	CO	BT CT	SK CH ST PK MW RB	No	No		
Mo	Houston-Tommy	Houston-Tommy Creek		VH	CO		ST PK	Yes	Yes	HFP/CANFOR	
Mo	Kidprice	Kidprice Lake		VH		BT	RB	Yes	No		
Mo	Kidprice	Lamprey		VH	CO	BT CT	ST MW RB	Yes	Yes	HFP/CANFOR	
Mo	Kidprice	Nado/Cedric		VH	CO	CT	CH RB	Yes	Yes	HFP/CANFOR	
Mo	Kidprice	Nanika River		VH	CO	BT CT	CH ST SK RB MW LW BB	Yes	Yes	HFP/CANFOR	
Mo	Morice Lake	Atna Lake\Creek		VH	CO		SK LT MW	No	No		
Mo	Morice Lake	Morice Lake		VH	CO	CT BT	SK ST CH BB RB MW LW	No	No		
Mo	Morice Lake	Morice River-Upper		VH	CO	CT BT	CH PK SK ST KO MW RB	No	No		
Mo	Morrison	Babine Lake - Morrison		VH	CO		SK RB DV	Yes	No		
Mo	Morrison	Morrison Lake		VH	CO	CT	SK KO BB LT LW MW RB	Yes	Yes	HFP/CANFOR	
Mo	Nadina	Nadina Lake	M	H			SK KO MW RB	Yes	No		
Mo	Nadina	Nadina River	M	H			CH SK MW RB BB	Yes	Yes	HFP/CANFOR	
Mo	Nadina	Tagetochlain Lake	M	H			BB LT LW MW RB	Yes	No		
Mo	Nanika	Nanika Lake		H		BT CT	RB LT SK	Yes	No		
Mo	North Babine	Babine Lake - N. Babine		VH	CO		SK RB DV	Yes	No		
Mo	North Babine	Big Loon Creek		VH	CO		SK BB KO MW RB	Yes	No		
Mo	Owen	Fenton Creek		VH	CO		ST	Yes	Yes	HFP/CANFOR	
Mo	Owen	Owen Creek		VH	CO	BT	ST MW RB	Yes	Yes	HFP/CANFOR	
Mo	Owen	Peacock Creek\Morice		VH	CO			Yes	Yes	HFP/CANFOR	
Mo	Parrott	Parrot Creek	M	M			BB LT MW RB	No	No		

MoF District	Watershed Unit	Subunit	Regional priority for domestic water supply	Regional priority for fish	Existing Fish Species and populations at Risk			Priority Key watershed for region (Y/N)	Targeted	MYA # (for Ws units with active projects)	Implementing Partners
					Pop. HRE	Prov. Signif.	Reg. Significance				
Mo	Sibola	Sibola		M			DV MW RB	No	No		
Mo	Tahtsa	Tahtsa		M			KO DV RB MW	No	No		
Mo	Thautil	Chisholm		VH	CO	BT		No	No		
Mo	Thautil	Starr Creek		VH			ST	No	No		
Mo	Thautil	Thautil River		VH	CO	BT CT	CH PK ST MW RB	No	No		
Mo	Tochcha/Natowite	Gloyazikut Creek		M				No	No		
Mo	Tochcha/Natowite	Hautete Creek		M			KO MW	No	No		
Mo	Tochcha/Natowite	Natowite Lake		M		BT	KO LT MW RB	No	No		
Mo	Tochcha/Natowite	Tochcha Lake		M		BT	KO MW RB	No	No		
Mo	Topley	Babine Lake - Topley	H	H	CO		SK RB	No	No		
Mo	Topley	Tachek Creek	H	H	CO		SK KO MW RB	No	No		
Mo	Troitsa	Troitsa		M			BB RB	No	No		
Mo	Valley	Barren Creek	VH	VH			RB	Yes	No		
Mo	Valley	Bulkley River	VH	VH	CO	BT CT	SK CH ST PK MW RB	Yes	No		
Mo	Valley	Byman Creek	VH	VH	CO		CH ST RB	Yes	No		
Mo	Valley	Emerson Creek	VH	VH				Yes	No		
Mo	Valley	McQuarrie Creek	VH	VH	CO		ST RB	Yes	No		
Mo	Valley	Richfield Creek	VH	VH	CO		CH ST RB	Yes	No		
Mo	Whitesail	Whitesail		M			RB KO MW BB	No	No		

3.4.3 Bulkley-Cassiar Forest District

MoF District	Watershed Unit	Subunit	Regional priority for domestic water supply	Regional priority for fish	Existing Fish Species and populations at Risk			Priority Key watershed for region (Y/N)	Targeted	MYA # (for Ws units with active projects)	Implementing Partners
					Pop. HRE	Prov. Signif.	Reg. Significance				
Bu	Babine	Babine	M	VH	CO	BT,CT	SK ST RB	Yes	Yes	PIR	
Bu	Babine	Boucher	M	VH	CO	CT	CH SK PK ST RB	Yes	No		
Bu	Babine	E. Nichyeskwa	M	H	CO	BT	CH SK PK MW RB	Yes	No		
Bu	Babine	Nilkitkwa Lake	M	VH	CO	BT,CT	SK ST RB	Yes	Yes	PIR	
Bu	Babine	Nilkitkwa R.	M	H	CO	BT	CH SK ST MW RB	Yes	No		
Bu	Blunt	Blunt	M	H	CO	CT BT	ST MW	Yes	No	SCI	
Bu	Blunt	U. Harold Price	L	M				No	No	SCI	
Bu	Bulkley	Bulkley Valley	VH	H	CO	BT	CH ST SK PK RB	Yes	No		
Bu	Chapman	Fulton	M	M		CT	BB LW LT MW	No	No		
Bu	Chapman	McKendrick	M	M		CT		No	No		
Bu	Copper	Caribou	L	H				Yes	No		
Bu	Copper	Copper	L	H	CO	CT	SK DV ST MW RB	Yes	No		
Bu	Copper	Hankin	L	H	CO	CT	ST DV RB	Yes	No		
Bu	Copper	Lee	L	M				No	No		
Bu	Copper	Mulwain	L	M				No	No		
Bu	Copper	Serb	L	M			DV ST	No	No		
Bu	Copper	Silvern	L	H	CO	BT	SK ST DV	Yes	No		
Bu	Corya	Boulder	H	M				Yes	No	Canfor	
Bu	Corya	Corya	VH	M			DV RB	Yes	No	Canfor	
Bu	Corya	John Brown	VH	H		CT BT	CH ST RB	Yes	No	Canfor	
Bu	Deep Creek	Deep Creek	H	M				Yes	No		
Bu	Deep Creek	Robin Creek	M	M				No	No		
Bu	Deep Creek	Thompson	L	M				No	No		
Bu	Harold Price	Lower H. P.	M	VH	CO	CT	CH ST DV MW RB	Yes	No	SCI	
Bu	Harold Price	Touhy	M	H		CT	ST DV	Yes	No	SCI	
Bu	Kitseguecla	Kitseguecla	M	H	CO	CT, BT	CH SK ST RB	Yes	No	PIR	
Bu	Reiseter	Canyon	VH	H	CO		DV RB ST	Yes	No		
Bu	Reiseter	Causqua	H	M			PK	Yes	No		
Bu	Reiseter	Reiseter	H	H	CO		RB	Yes	No		
Bu	Telkwa	Arnett	H	VH	CO	BT	PK ST MW	Yes	No	PIR	
Bu	Telkwa	Bridge	H	VH	CO	BT	PK ST MW	Yes	No	PIR	
Bu	Telkwa	Cumming	H	VH	CO	BT	PK ST MW	Yes	Yes	PIR	

MoF District	Watershed Unit	Subunit	Regional priority for domestic water supply	Regional priority for fish	Existing Fish Species and populations at Risk			Priority Key watershed for region (Y/N)	Targeted	MYA # (for Ws units with active projects)	Implementing Partners
					Pop. HRE	Prov. Signif.	Reg. Significance				
Bu	Telkwa	Elliot	H	VH	CO			Yes	No	PIR	
Bu	Telkwa	Goathorn	H	VH	CO	BT	PK ST MW	Yes	Yes	PIR	
Bu	Telkwa	Howson	H	VH	CO	CT	PK ST DV	Yes	No	PIR	
Bu	Telkwa	Jonas	H	M				Yes	Yes	PIR	
Bu	Telkwa	Lower Telkwa	VH	M	CO	BT		Yes	Yes	PIR	
Bu	Telkwa	Milk	M	VH	CO	BT	PK ST MW	No	No	PIR	
Bu	Telkwa	Pine	VH	M				Yes	No	PIR	
Bu	Telkwa	Sinclair	M	H		CT BT	PK MW	No	No	PIR	
Bu	Telkwa	Tsai	M	H	CO	BT		No	No	PIR	
Bu	Telkwa	Upper Telkwa	H	H	CO	BT		No	No	PIR	
Bu	Telkwa	Winfield	M	M		BT		No	No	PIR	
Bu	Torkelson	Torkelson	L	M		CT		No	No		
Bu	Torkelson	Tsezakwa	L	H	CO		SK PK	Yes	Yes	PIR	
Bu	Torkelson	West Babine	L	H		BT	SK RB	Yes	Yes	PIR	
Bu	Trout Creek	Toboggan	VH	VH	CO	CT BT	ST PK MW RB	Yes	Yes	PIR	
Bu	Trout Creek	Trout	H	VH	CO	CT	ST PK	Yes	Yes	PIR	

3.4.4 Kispiox Forest District

MoF District	Watershed Unit	Subunit	Regional priority for domestic water supply	Regional priority for fish	Existing Fish Species and populations at Risk			Priority Key watershed for region (Y/N)	Targeted	MYA # (for Ws units with active projects)	Implementing Partners
					Pop. HRE	Prov. Signif.	Reg. Significance				
Ki	Atna	Atna		VH	SR COo	BT, CT	SR ST	No	No		
Ki	Babine Bulkley	W. Nichyeskwa	M	H	CO		CH SK PK DV MW RB	Yes	No		
Ki	Babine River	Babine River	H	VH	SR CO	BT, CT	SR ST	No	No		
Ki	Cranberry River	Cranberry River		VH	SR Co	BT,	SR ST	Yes	Yes	MoF	
Ki	Deep Canoe	Deep Canoe		M				No	No		KKBC
Ki	Gail	Gail		VH	SR CO	BT, CT	SR ST	No	No		Haisla
Ki	Hanawald	Hanawald		M				No	No		Haisla
Ki	Hazelton	Hazelton	VH	VH	SR CO	BT, CT	SR ST	No	No		
Ki	Juniper	Juniper	VH	M		BTt, CTt		No	No		
Ki	Kispiox	Kispiox	H	VH	SR CO	BT, CT	SR ST	Yes	Yes	MoF	
Ki	Kitseguecla	Kitseguecla	VH	VH	SR CO	BT	SR ST	Yes	Yes	MoF	
Ki	Kitwancool	Kitwancool		VH	SR CO	BTt, CT	SR ST	Yes	No		KKBC
Ki	Kitwanga	Kitwanga	VH	VH	SR CO	BT, CT	SR ST	Yes	Yes	MoF	
Ki	Kuldo	Kuldo		VH	SR CO	BT, CT	SR ST	No	No		
Ki	Larkworthy	Larkworthy		VH	SR CO	BT, CT	SR ST	No	No		
Ki	McCully	McCully	VH	VH	SR CO	BT, CTt	SR ST, CH, CM	No	No		
Ki	Moonlit	Moonlit	H	VH	SR CO	BT, CT	SR ST	Yes	No		
Ki	Natlin	Natlin		VH	SR CO	BT, CT	SR ST	Yes	Yes	MoF	
Ki	Seven Sisters	Seven Sisters		M		BT, CT		No	No		KKBC
Ki	Shedin	Shedin		VH	SR CO	BT, CT	SR ST	No	No		Haisla
Ki	Shegunia	Shegunia		VH	SR CO		SR ST	Yes	No		
Ki	Sheladams	Sheladams		VH	SR CO	BT, CT	SR ST	No	No		KKBC
Ki	Shelagyote	Shelagyote		VH	SR CO	BT, CT	SR ST	No	No		
Ki	Sicinteen	Sicinteen		VH	SR CO	BT, CT	SR ST	No	No		
Ki	Skeena Crossing	Skeena Crossing	VH	VH	SR CO	BT, CT	SR ST	No	No		
Ki	Skeena West	Skeena West		VH	SR CO		SR ST	No	No		
Ki	Suskwa	Suskwa	H	VH	SR COo	BT, CT	SR ST	Yes	Yes	MoF	
Ki	Sweetin	Sweetin		VH	SR CO	BT, CTt	SR ST	Yes	No		
Ki	Tenas	Tenas		VH	SR CO	BT, CT	SR ST	Yes	No		
Ki	Upper Cranberry	Upper Cranberry		VH	SR CO	BT	SR ST	Yes	No		Haisla
Ki	Upper Kispiox	Upper Kispiox		VH	SR CO	BT, CT	SR ST	Yes	Yes	MoF	

3.4.5 Kalum Forest District

MoF District	Watershed Unit	Subunit	Regional priority for domestic water supply	Regional priority for fish	Existing Fish Species and populations at Risk			Priority Key watershed for region (Y/N)	Targeted	MYA # (for Ws units with active projects)	Implementing Partners
					Pop. HRE	Prov. Signif.	Reg. Significance				
Ka	Ansedegan	Ansedegan		H			Co,Pk,Cm	Yes	Yes	NTC	
Ka	Barrie North	Barrie North		M			Co,Pk,Cm,Ch,Eu	No	No	West Fraser	
Ka	Barrie South	Barrie South		M				No	No	West Fraser	
Ka	Beaver	Beaver		VH	SR ST	DV	Ch,Co,Pk,Rt	Yes	Yes	SCI	
Ka	Bish	Bish		H		CT	Co,Pk,Cm,St	No	No	West Fraser	
Ka	Bowser Lake	Bowser Lake		H			Sk,Co,St,Rb,Mw	No	No	NTC	
Ka	Bowser Lower	Bowser Lower		M			Co,Ch,St,Rb,Mw	No	No	NTC	
Ka	Bowser Upper	Bowser Upper		M			Co,Ch,Mw,Rb	No	No	NTC	
Ka	Brown Bear	Brown Bear		H		DV	Sk,Co,Pk,Ch,St,Rb	Yes	No	NTC	
Ka	Cambria Icefields	Cambria Icefields		L				No	No	NTC	
Ka	Cecil	Cecil		VH		CT, ST, DV	Co,Pk,Cm,Ch,St,Rb	Yes	Yes	West Fraser	
Ka	Cedar	Cedar		VH	SPRCh,SR ST	DV	Sk,Co,Ch,St,Rb	Yes	Yes	SCI	
Ka	Chindemash	Chindemash		H		DV	Co,Pk	Yes	No	SCI	
Ka	Chist	Chist		VH			St,Ch,Co,Sk,Cm,Pk	Yes	No	West Fraser	
Ka	Clear	Clear		VH	SPRCh	DV	Sk,Co,Ch,St,Rb	Yes	Yes	SCI	
Ka	Cleft	Cleft		M		DV	Dv,Rb	No	No	NTC	
Ka	Clore	Clore	L	VH	SR CO		Ch,Co,Mw	Yes	Yes	SCI	
Ka	Copper Lower	Copper Lower	M	VH	SR CO	DV	St,Ch,Co,Cm,Pk,Rb	Yes	Yes	SCI	
Ka	Craven	Craven		M		BT	Co,Ch,Mw,St,Rb	No	No	NTC	
Ka	Dala	Dala		H			Co,Pk,Cm,Ch,St,Eu	Yes	No	West Fraser	
Ka	Damochax Lake	Damochax Lake		H		DV	St,Sk,Co,Ch,Rb	No	No	NTC	
Ka	Dasque	Dasque		H			Co,Pk,Cm,Ch	Yes	No	SCI	
Ka	Davies	Davies		H		BT,CT	Co,Cm,Ch,St	Yes	No	West Fraser	
Ka	Deltaic	Deltaic		H		BT,CT	Sk,Co,Ch,St,Rb,Mw	No	No	NTC	
Ka	Ear	Ear		M			Co,Cm,Ch	No	No	West Fraser	
Ka	Exchamsiks	Exchamsiks		H			Co,Pk,Cm,Ch,St	No	No	SCI	
Ka	Exstew	Exstew		H			Co,Pk,Cm,Ch,St,Mw	Yes	Yes	SCI	
Ka	Falls	Falls		H		CT	Co,Pk,Cm	No	No	West Fraser	
Ka	Fiddler	Fiddler		H		BT	St,Ch,Cm,Co,Pk,Rb	No	No	SCI	
Ka	Foch	Foch		H			Co,Pk,Cm,Ch	No	No	West Fraser	
Ka	Fuhr	Fuhr		H			Sk,Co,Ch,Cm,Pk,St,Rb	No	No	NTC	
Ka	Gilttoyees	Gilttoyees		H		CT	Co,Pk,Cm,St	No	No	West Fraser	
Ka	Ginlulak	Ginlulak		H		DV	Co,Cm,Pk,Rb	Yes	Yes	NTC	

MoF District	Watershed Unit	Subunit	Regional priority for domestic water supply	Regional priority for fish	Existing Fish Species and populations at Risk			Priority Key watershed for region (Y/N)	Targeted	MYA # (for Ws units with active projects)	Implementing Partners
					Pop. HRE	Prov. Signif.	Reg. Significance				
Ka	Gitnadoix	Gitnadoix		H			Ch,Co,St,Cm,Pk	No	No	West Fraser	
Ka	Greenville	Greenville		H			Co,St	Yes	Yes	NTC	
Ka	Hardscrabble	Hardscrabble		H			Pk,Mw	Yes	Yes	SCI	
Ka	Hawkesbury Island East	Hawkesbury Island East		M		CT	Sk,Co,Pk,Cm	No	No	West Fraser	
Ka	Hawkesbury Is. West	Hawkesbury Is. West		M		CT	Sk,Co,Pk,Cm	No	No	West Fraser	
Ka	Hirsch	Hirsch		H		CT, DV	Ch,Cm,Pk,St	Yes	Yes	West Fraser	KVBC
Ka	Horetzky	Horetzky		M		BT,CT	Co,Pk,Ch,Cm	No	No	West Fraser	
Ka	Hot Springs	Hot Springs		H			Sk,Co,Pk,Ko	Yes	No	SCI	
Ka	Hub	Hub		M				No	No	NTC	
Ka	Humphrys	Humphrys		VH		BT,CT	ST,L,Co,Pk,Ch,Cm	Yes	Yes	West Fraser	KVBC
Ka	Hunter	Hunter		H		DV	Dv,Co,Ch,Cm	Yes	No	West Fraser	
Ka	Icy	Icy		M				No	No	West Fraser	
Ka	Irving	Irving		H			Sk,Co,Ch,St,Rb,Mw	Yes	Yes	NTC	
Ka	Ishkheenickh	Ishkheenickh		VH		CT	Sk,Co,Pk,Cm,Ch,Rb,ST	Yes	Yes	NTC	
Ka	Jesse	Jesse		H		CT	Co,Pk,Cm	No	No	West Fraser	
Ka	Kalitan	Kalitan		M		CT	Sk,Co,Ch	No	No	West Fraser	
Ka	Kalum Lower	Kalum Lower		VH	SR CO	CT	SRST,Ch,Cm,ST,Sk,Pk	Yes	Yes	SCI	
Ka	Kapella	Kapella		M			Co,Cm,Ch	No	No	West Fraser	
Ka	Kaskis	Kaskis		H			Sk,Co,Pk,Cm,Ch,ST	No	No	West Fraser	
Ka	Kemano River	Kemano River		VH			Sk,Co,Pk,Cm,Ch,ST,Eu	Yes	No	West Fraser	
Ka	Kildala	Kildala		H			St,Co,Pk,Cm,Ch,Eu	Yes	No	West Fraser	
Ka	Kinskuch	Kinskuch		H		BT*	Co,Pk,Cm,ST,Rb	Yes	No	NTC	
Ka	Kiteen Lower	Kiteen Lower		VH		BT	Co,Pk,Ch,ST,Rb	Yes	Yes	NTC	
Ka	Kiteen Upper	Kiteen Upper		H		BT,CT	Co,Rb*	Yes	No	NTC	
Ka	Kitimat Upper	Kitimat Upper		H		BT,CT, DV	Co,Ch,Cm,ST,Rb	No	No	West Fraser	
Ka	Kitlope Lake	Kitlope Lake		M			Sk,Ch,Co	No	No	West Fraser	
Ka	Kitlope Upper	Kitlope Upper		M				No	No	West Fraser	
Ka	Kitnayakwa	Kitnayakwa	L	VH		BT,CT	Ch,St	Yes	Yes	SCI	
Ka	Kleanza	Kleanza		H			SRST,Ko,Mw,Rb,Co	Yes	No	SCI	
Ka	Konigus	Konigus		M		BT	Mw,Rb,St	No	No	NTC	
Ka	Kot	Kot		M		BT	Rb*	No	No	NTC	
Ka	Kotsinta	Kotsinta		M		DV	Ch	No	No	NTC	
Ka	Kowesas	Kowesas		M		BT	Co,Pk,Cm,Ch,Eu	No	No	West Fraser	
Ka	Ksedin	Ksedin		H		DV	Co,Pk,Cm	Yes	Yes	NTC	
Ka	Kwinageese	Kwinageese		M		BT	St,Co,Ch,Cm,Sk	No	No	NTC	

MoF District	Watershed Unit	Subunit	Regional priority for domestic water supply	Regional priority for fish	Existing Fish Species and populations at Risk			Priority Key watershed for region (Y/N)	Targeted	MYA # (for Ws units with active projects)	Implementing Partners
					Pop. HRE	Prov. Signif.	Reg. Significance				
Ka	Kwinamuck	Kwinamuck		M		BT CT		No	No	NTC	
Ka	Kwinatahl	Kwinatahl		H				Yes	Yes	NTC	
Ka	Lakelse River	Lakelse River		VH	SRST	DV	Ch,Co,Sk,St,Pk,Cm,Rb,Mw	Yes	Yes	KBC	
Ka	Legate	Legate		H			Co,Pk,St,Rb	Yes	No	SCI	
Ka	Limonite	Limonite	L	H			St,Co,Ch	Yes	No	SCI	
Ka	Lipsconesit	Lipsconesit		H			Sk,Co,Ch,Cm,Pk,St,Rb	No	No	NTC	
Ka	Little Wedeene	Little Wedeene		VH		ST, CT, DV	Ch,Co,St,Pk,Cm,Rb	Yes	Yes	West Fraser	KVBC
Ka	Madley	Madley		M			Co,Rb	No	No	NTC	
Ka	Maroon	Maroon		H		BT,CT	Co,Dv,Rb	No	No	KBC	
Ka	Mayo	Mayo		H		BT,CT	Sk,Co,Dv,Rb	No	No	KBC	
Ka	McKay	McKay		H		BT,CT	St,Dv,Sk,Co,Pk,Cm,Ch	Yes	No	West Fraser	
Ka	Meziadin Lake	Meziadin Lake		H			Sk,Co,Rb,Ko,Mw	Yes	Yes	NTC	
Ka	Muskaboo	Muskaboo		M		BT, DV	Rb	No	No	NTC	
Ka	Nass Headwaters	Nass Headwaters		M			Mw,Rb,St	No	No	NTC	
Ka	Nass River Kalum	Nass River Kalum		VH			Sk,Co,Ch,Cm,Pk,St,Rb,Eu	Yes	No	NTC	
Ka	Nass West	Nass West		M				No	No	NTC	
Ka	Nelson	Nelson		H		BT,DV	Co	Yes	Yes	KBC	
Ka	Oweegee	Oweegee		H		DV	Sk,Co,Ch,Dv,Mw,Rb	Yes	Yes	NTC	
Ka	Owl	Owl		M		BT,CT	Mw*	No	No	NTC	
Ka	Owyacumish	Owyacumish		M			Co,Pk,Cm,Ch	No	No	West Fraser	
Ka	Panorama	Panorama		M		BT	Rb*	No	No	NTC	
Ka	Paw	Paw		M		DV	Rb	No	No	NTC	
Ka	Ritchie	Ritchie		M		DV	Rb	No	No	NTC	
Ka	Rochester	Rochester		M		BT		No	No	NTC	
Ka	Sallysout	Sallysout		M		BT, DV	Co	No	No	NTC	
Ka	Sanskisoot	Sanskisoot		M		BT		No	No	NTC	
Ka	Sanyam	Sanyam		H			Sk,Co,Ch,Cm,Pk,St,Rb	No	No	NTC	
Ka	Seaskinnish	Seaskinnish		H			Sk,Co,Pk,Cm,Ch,St,Rb	Yes	Yes	NTC	
Ka	Seekwyakin	Seekwyakin		M			Co,Pk,Cm,Ch,St	No	No	West Fraser	
Ka	Shames	Shames		H			Co,Pk,Cm,Ch	Yes	No	KBC	
Ka	Skeena River Kalum	Skeena River Kalum		VH	SR CO		SrSt,Sk,Pk,Ch,Cm,Co,Eu	Yes	No	KBC	
Ka	Slowmaldo	Slowmaldo		H		DV	St,Sk,Co,Ch,Rb	No	No	NTC	
Ka	Snowbank	Snowbank		H			Co,Ch,Dv,Mw,Rb	No	No	NTC	
Ka	Stewart	Stewart	H	H				No	No		
Ka	Taft	Taft		M		DV	Ch	No	No	NTC	

MoF District	Watershed Unit	Subunit	Regional priority for domestic water supply	Regional priority for fish	Existing Fish Species and populations at Risk			Priority Key watershed for region (Y/N)	Targeted	MYA # (for Ws units with active projects)	Implementing Partners
					Pop. HRE	Prov. Signif.	Reg. Significance				
Ka	Taylor	Taylor		H			Sk,Co,Ch,St,Rb,Mw	No	No	NTC	
Ka	Tchitin	Tchitin		VH		BT	Co,Ch,St,Rb	Yes	Yes	NTC	
Ka	Tenaiko	Tenaiko		M			Co,Ch	No	No	West Fraser	
Ka	Tezwa River	Tezwa River		M			Sk,Co,Ch	No	No	West Fraser	
Ka	Tintina	Tintina		VH		BT	Sk,Co,St	Yes	Yes	NTC	
Ka	Tony	Tony		H		BT	Sk,Co,Ch,Cm,Pk,St,Rb	No	No	NTC	
Ka	Treasure	Treasure	L	VH	SRST	BT	Co,Rb	No	No	SCI	
Ka	Treaty	Treaty		H		DV	Co,Ch,Mw,Rb	No	No	NTC	
Ka	Tsaytis	Tsaytis		M			Co,Pk,Cm,Ch	No	No	West Fraser	
Ka	Tseax Lower	Tseax Lower		H			Sk,Co,Pk,Cm,Ch,St,Rb	Yes	Yes	NTC	
Ka	Tseax Upper	Tseax Upper		H			Sk,Co,Ko,Mw,Rb,St	Yes	Yes	NTC	
Ka	Vile	Vile		M			Co	No	No	NTC	KKBC
Ka	Wathl	Wathl		H		BT,CT	Co,Pk,Cm	No	No	West Fraser	Haisla
Ka	Wedeeene	Wedeeene		VH			Ch,Co,St,Pk,Cm,Rb	Yes	Yes	West Fraser	
Ka	Weewanie	Weewanie		H		CT	Co,Pk,Cm,St	Yes	No	West Fraser	KKBC
Ka	Whidbey East	Whidbey East		M				No	No	West Fraser	KKBC
Ka	White	White		M		BT	Co,St,Rb,Mw	No	No	NTC	
Ka	Wildfire	Wildfire		H		DV	Rb,Mw	No	No	NTC	
Ka	Williams	Williams		H		CT	Sk,Co	Yes	Yes	KBC	
Ka	Willoughby	Willoughby		M		BT	Co,St,Rb,Mw	No	No	NTC	KKBC
Ka	Zymacord	Zymacord		VH		CT	Co,Pk,Cm,Ch,St,Rb	Yes	Yes	KBC	

3.4.6 North Coast Forest District

MoF District	Watershed Unit	Subunit	Regional priority for domestic water supply	Regional priority for fish	Existing Fish Species and populations at Risk			Priority Key watershed for region (Y/N)	Targeted	MYA # (for Ws units with active projects)	Implementing Partners
					Pop. HRE	Prov. Signif.	Reg. Significance				
NC	Aaltanhash	Aaltanhash	N/A	M			CO PK CM	No	No		
NC	Anyox	Anyox	N/A	L			Nothing reported in FISS	No	No		Haisla
NC	Banks	Banks	N/A	M		CT	SK CO PK CM	No	No		
NC	Belle Bay	Belle Bay	N/A	H			CO PK CM CH DV	No	No		Haisla
NC	Big Falls	Big Falls	N/A	H		CT	CO PK CM DV CH DV	No	No		Haisla
NC	Bishop	Bishop	N/A	H			CO PK CM KO	No	No		Haisla
NC	Brown	Brown	N/A	H		ST CT	SK CO PK CM CH DV MW RB	No	No		
NC	Butedale	Butedale	N/A	H		ST	SK CO PK CM DV RT	No	No		
NC	Campania	Campania	N/A	M			CO PK CM	No	No		
NC	Captain	Captain	N/A	H		CT	SK CO PK CM DV	No	No		
NC	Chambers	Chambers	N/A	H		ST CT	CO PK CM CH DV RB	No	No		
NC	Chappel	Chappel	N/A	M			CO PK CM	No	No		
NC	Crab	Crab	N/A	M			CO PK CM	No	No		
NC	Dundas	Dundas	N/A	M			CO PK CM	No	No		
NC	Gil	Gil	N/A	M			CO PK CM	No	No		
NC	Green	Green	N/A	M			CO PK CM	No	No		
NC	Gribble	Gribble	N/A	M			CO PK CM	No	No		
NC	Hartley	Hartley	N/A	H		CT	SK CO PK CM DV	No	No		
NC	Hawkes	Hawkes	N/A	M			CO PK CM	No	No		
NC	Helmeken	Helmeken	N/A	M			SK CO PK CM	No	No		
NC	Hevenor	Hevenor	N/A	M				No	No		
NC	Iknouk	Iknouk	N/A	H			CO PK CM CH DV RB	No	No		
NC	Johnston	Johnston	N/A	VH		ST CT	SK CO PK CM CH DV MW RB	No	No		
NC	Kaien	Kaien	H	VH		ST CT	SK CO PK CH CN DV RB	Yes	No		
NC	Khtada	Khtada	N/A	VH		ST CT	DV RB KO CO PK	No	No		
NC	Khutze	Khutze	N/A	H			CO PK CM CH	No	No		
NC	Khutzeymateen	Khutzeymateen	N/A	H		ST CT	CO PK CM CH DV RB	No	No		
NC	Khyex	Khyex	N/A	H		ST CT	CO PK CM CH DV RB	No	No		
NC	Kiltuish	Kiltuish	N/A	H			CO PK CM CH	No	No		
NC	Kitkiata	Kitkiata	N/A	H		ST	CO PK CM CH	Yes	No		
NC	Kitsault	Kitsault	M	H			CO PK CM CH DV	No	No		
NC	Klekane	Klekane	N/A	M			CO PK CM	No	No		

MoF District	Watershed Unit	Subunit	Regional priority for domestic water supply	Regional priority for fish	Existing Fish Species and populations at Risk			Priority Key watershed for region (Y/N)	Targeted	MYA # (for Ws units with active projects)	Implementing Partners
					Pop. HRE	Prov. Signif.	Reg. Significance				
NC	Kswhan	Kswhan	N/A	M			CO PK CM DV	No	No		
NC	Kumealon	Kumealon	N/A	H		CT	SK CO PK CM DV	Yes	Yes		
NC	Kwinamass	Kwinamass	N/A	H		CT DV	ST CO	Yes	No		
NC	Laredo	Laredo	N/A	M			SK CO PK CM	No	No		
NC	Marmot	Marmot	N/A	M		ST	CO DV RB	No	No		
NC	McCauley	McCauley	N/A	M			SK CO PK CM	No	No		
NC	Monkton	Monkton	N/A	M			SK CO PK CM	No	No		
NC	Nass	Nass	L	M				No	No		
NC	Observatory East	Observatory East	N/A	M			CO PK	No	No		
NC	Observatory West	Observatory West	N/A	M			CO PK	No	No		
NC	Olh	Olh	N/A	M			CO PK CM	No	No		
NC	Pa-aat	Pa-aat	N/A	M		CT	CO PK CM	No	No		
NC	Pearse	Pearse	N/A	M			CO PK CM	No	No		
NC	Porcher	Porcher	N/A	H		ST	CO PK CM	Yes	No		
NC	Quottoon	Quottoon	N/A	VH		CT ST	SK CO PK CM DV	Yes	Yes	Intern. Forest Products	
NC	Red Bluff	Red Bluff	N/A	H		CT	SK CO PK CM	No	No		
NC	Scotia	Scotia	N/A	H		ST	CO PK DV RB	Yes	No		
NC	Skeena Is.	Skeena Is.	N/A	H		ST	PK CM CO	Yes	No		
NC	Somerville	Somerville	N/A	H		ST CT	CO PK DV	No	No		
NC	Sparkling	Sparkling	N/A	H		CT	CO PK CM CH	No	No		
NC	Stagoo	Stagoo	N/A	M			CO PK CM	No	No		
NC	Stevens	Stevens	N/A	L			None listed	No	No		
NC	Surf	Surf	N/A	M			CO PK CM	No	No		
NC	Tolmie	Tolmie	N/A	M			CO PK CM	No	No		
NC	Triumph	Triumph	N/A	VH		ST	PK CM	No	No		
NC	Trutch	Trutch	N/A	L			CO PK CM	No	No		
NC	Tuck	Tuck	H	H		ST	SK CO PK CM DV	Yes	Yes		
NC	Union	Union	N/A	H		ST CT	CO PK CM CH DV RB	Yes	Yes		
NC	Whalen	Whalen	N/A	H			SK CO PK CM	No	No		

3.5 Table 4. Project Status Summary for Watershed Units

3.5.1 Lakes Forest District

P=Planned (Scheduled/not underway). O= Ongoing (commenced/not complete). C= Complete (no further work scheduled). NR= Not required (restorative work not required for this component)

MOF District	Watershed Unit	Subunit	Priority Key	Target-ed	Overview Assessment			Restoration Plans		Prescriptions			Major Works			Routine Effectiveness Evaluation		
					Upslope	Riparian	In-Stream	In-terim	Full	Upslope	Riparian	In-Stream	Upslope	Riparian	In-Stream	Upslope	Riparian	In-Stream
La	Bulkley	Broman	Yes	Yes														
La	Bulkley	Crow-Foxy	Yes	Yes														
La	Bulkley	Day Lake	Yes	Yes														
La	Bulkley	Maxan	Yes	Yes														
La	Bulkley	Upper Foxy	Yes	Yes														
La	Burns Lake East	Burns	Yes	Yes														
La	Burns Lake East	Cheskwa	Yes	Yes														
La	Burns Lake East	Shovel	Yes	Yes														
La	Burns Lake East	Tchesinkut E.	Yes	Yes														
La	Burns Lake East	Tercer	Yes	Yes														
La	Burns Lake West	Decker Stearns	Yes	Yes														
La	Burns Lake West	Eagle Ck.	Yes	Yes														
La	Burns Lake West	Gerow	Yes	Yes														
La	Burns Lake West	Palling	Yes	Yes														
La	Burns Lake West	Tchesinkut W.	Yes	Yes														
La	Cheslatta	Ootsanee	Yes	Yes														
La	Fleming	Fleming Ck.	Yes	Yes														
La	Fleming	Lower Tildesly	Yes	Yes														
La	Fleming	Upper Tildesly	Yes	Yes														
La	Intata	Henson	Yes	Yes														
La	Intata	Natalkuz	Yes	Yes														
La	Intata	Ootsa-Intata	Yes	Yes														
La	Taltapin	Augier/Pinkut	Yes	Yes														
La	Taltapin	Donald Ldg	Yes	Yes														
La	Taltapin	Helene	Yes	Yes														
La	Taltapin	Henrietta	Yes	Yes														
La	Taltapin	Taltapin	Yes	Yes														
La	Babine East	Four Mile	Yes	No														
La	Babine East	Gullwing	Yes	No														
La	Babine East	Sutherland	Yes	No														
La	Babine West	Froggy-South	Yes	No														
La	Babine West	Pendleton	Yes	No														
La	Babine West	Pierre	Yes	No														

P=Planned (Scheduled/not underway). O= Ongoing (commenced/not complete). C= Complete (no further work scheduled). NR= Not required (restorative work not required for this component)

MOF District	Watershed Unit	Subunit	Priority Key	Target-ed	Overview Assessment			Restoration Plans		Prescriptions			Major Works			Routine Effectiveness Evaluation		
					Upslope	Riparian	In-Stream	In-terim	Full	Upslope	Riparian	In-Stream	Upslope	Riparian	In-Stream	Upslope	Riparian	In-Stream
La	Babine West	Twain	Yes	No														
La	Babine West	Upper Pinkut	Yes	No														
La	Chelaslie	White Eye	Yes	No														
La	Cheslatta	Cheslatta	Yes	No														
La	Cheslatta	Knapp	Yes	No														
La	Francois East	Binta	Yes	No														
La	Francois East	Haney	Yes	No														
La	Francois East	Red Hills	Yes	No														
La	Francois East	Takysie	Yes	No														
La	Francois East	Uncha	Yes	No														
La	Francois West	Allin Ck.	Yes	No														
La	Francois West	Evans	Yes	No														
La	Francois West	Henkel	Yes	No														
La	Francois West	Katherine Lk.	Yes	No														
La	Francois West	Ramsey	Yes	No														
La	Francois West	Tatalrose	Yes	No														
La	Ootsa	Ootsa	Yes	No														

3.5.2 Morice Forest District

P=Planned (Scheduled/not underway). O= Ongoing (commenced/not complete). C= Complete (no further work scheduled). NR= Not required (restorative work not required for this component)

MOF District	Watershed Unit	Subunit	Priority Key	Target-ed	Overview Assessment			Restoration Plans		Prescriptions			Major Works			Routine Effectiveness Evaluation		
					Upslope	Riparian	In-Stream	In-terim	Full	Upslope	Riparian	In-Stream	Upslope	Riparian	In-Stream	Upslope	Riparian	In-Stream
Mo	Buck	Aitken Creek	Yes	Yes														
Mo	Buck	Buck Creek	Yes	Yes														
Mo	Buck	Dungate Creek	Yes	Yes														
Mo	Houston-Tommy	Morice River	Yes	Yes														
Mo	Houston-Tommy	Houston-Tommy Creek	Yes	Yes														
Mo	Kidprice	Lamprey	Yes	Yes														
Mo	Kidprice	Nado/Cedric	Yes	Yes														
Mo	Kidprice	Nanika River	Yes	Yes														
Mo	Morrison	Babine Lake - Morrison	Yes	Yes														
Mo	Morrison	Morrison Lake	Yes	Yes														
Mo	Nadina	Nadina River	Yes	Yes														
Mo	Owen	Fenton Creek	Yes	Yes														
Mo	Owen	Owen Creek	Yes	Yes														
Mo	Owen	Peacock Creek\Morice	Yes	Yes														
Mo	Fulton	Fulton River	Yes	No														
Mo	Fulton	Guess Creek	Yes	No														
Mo	Fulton	Tanglechain Creek	Yes	No														
Mo	Kidprice	Kidprice Lake	Yes	No														
Mo	Nadina	Nadina Lake	Yes	No														
Mo	Nadina	Tagetochlain Lake	Yes	No														
Mo	Nanika	Nanika Lake	Yes	No														
Mo	North Babine	Babine Lake - N. Babine	Yes	No														
Mo	North Babine	Big Loon Creek	Yes	No														
Mo	Valley	Barren Creek	Yes	No														
Mo	Valley	Bulkley River	Yes	No														
Mo	Valley	Byman Creek	Yes	No														
Mo	Valley	Emerson Creek	Yes	No														
Mo	Valley	McQuarrie Creek	Yes	No														
Mo	Valley	Richfield Creek	Yes	No														

3.5.3 Bulkley-Cassiar Forest District

P=Planned (Scheduled/not underway). O= Ongoing (commenced/not complete). C= Complete (no further work scheduled). NR= Not required (restorative work not required for this component)

MOF District	Watershed Unit	Subunit	Priority Key	Targeted	Overview Assessment			Restoration Plans		Prescriptions			Major Works			Routine Effectiveness Evaluation		
					Upslope	Riparian	In-Stream	In-terim	Full	Upslope	Riparian	In-Stream	Upslope	Riparian	In-Stream	Upslope	Riparian	In-Stream
Bu	Babine	Babine	Yes	Yes	O	O	O	C		P	P	P	P	P	P	P	P	P
Bu	Babine	Nilkitkwa Lake	Yes	Yes	C	C	C	C		P	P	P	P	P	O	P	P	P
Bu	Telkwa	Cumming	Yes	Yes	C	C	C			C	C	C	C	C	C	C	C	C
Bu	Telkwa	Goathorn	Yes	Yes	C	C	C			C	C	C	C	C	C	C	C	C
Bu	Telkwa	Jonas	Yes	Yes	C	C	C			C	C	C	C	C	C	C	C	C
Bu	Telkwa	Lower Telkwa	Yes	Yes	C	C	C	C		C	C	C	C	C	P	C	C	O
Bu	Torkelson	Tsezakwa	Yes	Yes	C	C	C	C		P	P	P	P	P	P	P	P	P
Bu	Torkelson	West Babine	Yes	Yes	C	C	C		C	P	P	P	P	P	P	P	P	P
Bu	Trout Creek	Toboggan	Yes	Yes	C	?	C			C	C	C	C	?	C	?	?	P
Bu	Trout Creek	Trout	Yes	Yes	C	C	C			C	C	C	C	?	P	?	?	P
Bu	Babine	Boucher	Yes	No														
Bu	Babine	E. Nichyeskwa	Yes	No														
Bu	Babine	Nilkitkwa R.	Yes	No														
Bu	Blunt	Blunt	Yes	No														
Bu	Bulkley	Bulkley Valley	Yes	No														
Bu	Copper	Caribou	Yes	No														
Bu	Copper	Copper	Yes	No														
Bu	Copper	Hankin	Yes	No														
Bu	Copper	Silvern	Yes	No														
Bu	Corya	Boulder	Yes	No														
Bu	Corya	Corya	Yes	No														
Bu	Corya	John Brown	Yes	No														
Bu	Deep Creek	Deep Creek	Yes	No														
Bu	Harold Price	Lower H. P.	Yes	No														
Bu	Harold Price	Touhy	Yes	No														
Bu	Kitsequecla	Kitsequecla	Yes	No														
Bu	Reiseter	Canyon	Yes	No														
Bu	Reiseter	Causqua	Yes	No	C	UK	UK			C	UK	UK	C	UK	UK	UK	UK	UK
Bu	Reiseter	Reiseter	Yes	No														
Bu	Telkwa	Arnett	Yes	No	C	C	C			C	C	C	C	C	C	C	C	C
Bu	Telkwa	Bridge	Yes	No														
Bu	Telkwa	Elliot	Yes	No														
Bu	Telkwa	Howson	Yes	No														
Bu	Telkwa	Pine	Yes	No	C	C	C			C	C	C	C	C	C	C	C	C

3.5.4 Kispiox Forest District

P=Planned (Scheduled/not underway). O= Ongoing (commenced/not complete). C= Complete (no further work scheduled). NR= Not required (restorative work not required for this component)

MOF District	Watershed Unit	Subunit	Priority Key	Targeted	Overview Assessment			Restoration Plans		Prescriptions			Major Works			Routine Effectiveness Evaluation		
					Upslope	Riparian	In-Stream	In-terim	Full	Upslope	Riparian	In-Stream	Upslope	Riparian	In-Stream	Upslope	Riparian	In-Stream
Ki	Cranberry River	Cranberry River	Yes	Yes														
Ki	Kispiox	Kispiox	Yes	Yes														
Ki	Kitsequecla	Kitsequecla	Yes	Yes														
Ki	Kitwanga	Kitwanga	Yes	Yes														
Ki	Natlin	Natlin	Yes	Yes														
Ki	Suskwa	Suskwa	Yes	Yes														
Ki	Upper Kispiox	Upper Kispiox	Yes	Yes														
Ki	Babine Bulkley	W.Nichyeskwa	Yes	No														
Ki	Kitwancool	Kitwancool	Yes	No														
Ki	Moonlit	Moonlit	Yes	No														
Ki	Shegunia	Shegunia	Yes	No														
Ki	Sweetin	Sweetin	Yes	No														
Ki	Tenas	Tenas	Yes	No														
Ki	Upper Cranberry	Upper Cranberry	Yes	No														

3.5.5 Kalum Forest District

P=Planned (Scheduled/not underway). O= Ongoing (commenced/not complete). C= Complete (no further work scheduled). NR= Not required (restorative work not required for this component)

MOF District	Watershed Unit	Subunit	Priority Key	Targeted	Overview Assessment			Restoration Plans		Prescriptions			Major Works			Routine Effectiveness Evaluation		
					Upslope	Riparian	In-Stream	In-terim	Full	Upslope	Riparian	In-Stream	Upslope	Riparian	In-Stream	Upslope	Riparian	In-Stream
Ka	Ansedegan	Ansedegan	Yes	Yes														
Ka	Cecil	Cecil	Yes	Yes	C	C	C	Yes	10/01	C	O	O	C	P	P	C	P	P
Ka	Cedar	Cedar	Yes	Yes	C	O	C	Yes	?	P	P	P	P	P	P	P	P	P
Ka	Clear	Clear	Yes	Yes	C	C	C	N/A	04/01	O	O	O	O	P	O	O	P	O
Ka	Clore	Clore	Yes	Yes														
Ka	Copper Lower	Copper Lower	Yes	Yes														
Ka	Exstew	Exstew	Yes	Yes	P	O	C	Yes	?	P	P	P	P	P	P	P	P	P
Ka	Ginlulak	Ginlulak	Yes	Yes														
Ka	Greenville	Greenville	Yes	Yes														
Ka	Hardscrabble	Hardscrabble	Yes	Yes	O	P	P	No	?	P	P	P	P	P	P	P	P	P
Ka	Hirsch	Hirsch	Yes	Yes	C	O	C	Yes	10/01	C	P	O	O	P	P	O	P	P
Ka	Humphrys	Humphrys	Yes	Yes	C	O	C	Yes	10/01	C	O	O	C	P	P	C	P	P
Ka	Irving	Irving	Yes	Yes														
Ka	Ishkheenickh	Ishkheenickh	Yes	Yes														
Ka	Kalum Lower	Kalum Lower	Yes	Yes	C	O	C	Yes	?	O	O	O	O	O	O	O	O	O
Ka	Kiteen Lower	Kiteen Lower	Yes	Yes														
Ka	Kitnayakwa	Kitnayakwa	Yes	Yes														
Ka	Ksedin	Ksedin	Yes	Yes														
Ka	Kwinatahl	Kwinatahl	Yes	Yes														
Ka	Lakelse River	Lakelse River	Yes	Yes	C	O	C	Yes	?	O	O	O	O	P	O	P	P	O
Ka	Little Wedeene	Little Wedeene	Yes	Yes	C	O	C	Yes	10/01	C	P	O	C	P	P	C	P	P
Ka	Meziadin Lake	Meziadin Lake	Yes	Yes														
Ka	Nelson	Nelson	Yes	Yes	C	C	C	Yes	12/01	O	O	O	O	O	O	O	O	O
Ka	Oweegee	Oweegee	Yes	Yes														
Ka	Seaskinnish	Seaskinnish	Yes	Yes														
Ka	Tchitin	Tchitin	Yes	Yes														
Ka	Tintina	Tintina	Yes	Yes														
Ka	Tseax Lower	Tseax Lower	Yes	Yes														
Ka	Tseax Upper	Tseax Upper	Yes	Yes														
Ka	Wedeene	Wedeene	Yes	Yes	C	O	C	Yes	10/01	C	O	O	C	P	O	O	P	P
Ka	Williams	Williams	Yes	Yes	C	O	C	Yes	10/02	O	O	O	O	P	P	P	P	P
Ka	Zymacord	Zymacord	Yes	Yes	C	O	C	Yes	?	O	P	O	P	P	P	P	P	P
Ka	Brown Bear	Brown Bear	Yes	No														
Ka	Chimdemash	Chimdemash	Yes	No														
Ka	Chist	Chist	Yes	No														

P=Planned (Scheduled/not underway). O= Ongoing (commenced/not complete). C= Complete (no further work scheduled). NR= Not required (restorative work not required for this component)

MOF District	Watershed Unit	Subunit	Priority Key	Targeted	Overview Assessment			Restoration Plans		Prescriptions			Major Works			Routine Effectiveness Evaluation		
					Upslope	Riparian	In-Stream	In-terim	Full	Upslope	Riparian	In-Stream	Upslope	Riparian	In-Stream	Upslope	Riparian	In-Stream
Ka	Dala	Dala	Yes	No														
Ka	Dasque	Dasque	Yes	No														
Ka	Davies	Davies	Yes	No														
Ka	Hot Springs	Hot Springs	Yes	No														
Ka	Hunter	Hunter	Yes	No														
Ka	Kemano River	Kemano River	Yes	No														
Ka	Kildala	Kildala	Yes	No														
Ka	Kinskuch	Kinskuch	Yes	No														
Ka	Kiteen Upper	Kiteen Upper	Yes	No														
Ka	Kleanza	Kleanza	Yes	No														
Ka	Legate	Legate	Yes	No														
Ka	Limonite	Limonite	Yes	No														
Ka	McKay	McKay	Yes	No														
Ka	Nass River Kalum	Nass River Kalum	Yes	No														
Ka	Shames	Shames	Yes	No														
Ka	Skeena R. Kalum	Skeena River Kalum	Yes	No														
Ka	Weewanie	Weewanie	Yes	No														

3.5.6 North Coast Forest District

P=Planned (Scheduled/not underway). O= Ongoing (commenced/not complete). C= Complete (no further work scheduled). NR= Not required (restorative work not required for this component)

MOF District	Watershed Unit	Subunit	Priority Key	Targeted	Overview Assessment			Restoration Plans		Prescriptions			Major Works			Routine Effectiveness Evaluation		
					Upslope	Riparian	In-Stream	In-terim	Full	Upslope	Riparian	In-Stream	Upslope	Riparian	In-Stream	Upslope	Riparian	In-Stream
NC	Kumealon	Kumealon	Yes	Yes	C	C	C	C	C	C	C	C	NR	P	C	NR	P	C
NC	Quottoon	Quottoon	Yes	Yes	C	C	C	C	C	NR	P	P	NR	P	P	NR	P	P
NC	Tuck	Tuck	Yes	Yes	C	C	C	C	C	C	P	C	NR	P	C	NR	P	P
NC	Union	Union	Yes	Yes	C	C	C	C	C	P	P	NR	P	P	NR	P	P	NR
NC	Kaien	Kaien	Yes	No	P													
NC	Kitkiata	Kitkiata	Yes	No	C	C	C			C			C			P		
NC	Kwinamass	Kwinamass	Yes	No	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR
NC	Porcher	Porcher	Yes	No	C					C			C			P		
NC	Scotia	Scotia	Yes	No	C	C	C			C			C			P		
NC	Skeena Is.	Skeena Is.	Yes	No														

3.6 *Priority Needs for Effectiveness Evaluations*

Implementation of an Effectiveness Evaluation plan for restoration activities in the Skeena Bulkley Region is a priority activity for:

1. any Regional LUs that have significant restorative activities completed in them since the programs inception;
2. LUs that have technically experimental activities already implemented;
3. all Targeted LUs identified in this 2002-2006 RMP.

Due to the watershed based Restoration Plan (RP) "Phase 3" exercise that is planned to be completed by the fall of 2001, project based routine effectiveness evaluation (REE) priorities will not be outlined in this RMP. Detailed plans for REE will be developed and outlined for each Targeted LU in the RP's. Operational and Intensive Effectiveness Evaluation (OEE and IEE) priorities will be identified within the Skeena Bulkley Region as an addendum to this RMP when a process for implementation of these activities is developed by the Watershed Restoration Program's Technical Review and Evaluation Working Group (TREWG).

3.7 EEV APPENDICES

A. MANAGEMENT UNIT RMP CONTACT AND SUPPORT PERSONNEL

North Coast Management Unit Contact and Support Personnel list, Lance Loggin and Damian Keating, West Fraser Mills Ltd, Skeena Sawmills-(250)635-6336, Owen Fewer International Forest Products (250)615 6766, Kelly Sawchuk North Coast MoF-(250)624-7460, Ken Hall Western Forest Products-FRBC Co-ordinator (250)286-4120 Jeff Lough MWLAP (250)847-7337.

Kalum Management Unit Contact and Support Personnel list: Ralph Ottens, MoF; Lance Loggin, Damian Keating, West Fraser Mills Ltd., Skeena Sawmills-250-635-6336; Lyle Bolton, Kitsumkalum Band Council; Ralph Robinson, Nisga'a Lisims Government; Kim Haworth, Skeena Cellulose; Kitimaat Village Band Council, Whitney Lukuku; Howard DeBeck, MoF; Chris Broster, Jeff Lough MWLAP

Kispiox Management Unit Contact and Support Personnel list: Alan Harrison MoF; Jeff Lough, MWLAP, (250)847-7337.

Bulkley Management Unit Contact and Support Personnel list: Grant Marleau, Glen Buhr MoF; Jay Baker, SCI; Mike Bandstra SCI; Tom Pendray, Fisheries and Oceans Canada (FOC); Jeff Lough, MWLAP, (250)847-7337.

Morice Management Unit Contact and Support Personnel list: John Thibeau Morice District MoF, John Brockley, Canadian Forest Products, Deidre Quinlan Houston Forest Products; Jeff Lough, MWALP, (250)847-7337.

Lakes Management Unit Contact and Support Personnel list: Keith Van Tine, MoF; Denys Bell, Deidre Quinlan, Weldwood Forest Products; Greg Vandolah West Fraser Sawmills; Jeff Lough, MWALP, (250)847-7337.

B. REFERENCES SUPPORTING EEV COMPONENT RANKING

Anonymous. 1998. *Living Blueprint for BC Salmonid Habitat*. Pacific Salmon Foundation. Published by an Independent Panel.

Cannings, SG and J. Ptolmey. 1998. *Rare Freshwater Fish of British Columbia*. Ministry of Environment, Lands and Parks, Victoria, B.C.

Haas, G.R. 1998. *Indigenous fish species potentially at risk in BC with recommendations and prioritization's for conservation forestry/resource use, inventory and research*, Fisheries Management report No. 105, Ministry of Fisheries, Vancouver, B.C.