Site Survey and Design for Reach 1 of Tributary 1 Kitseguecla River South Sub-Basin

Prepared for the Gitsegukla Band Council

by Alan Gilchrist, Ph.D. Hydroglyphic Terrain Analysts and Glenn Grieve, R.P. Bio. Doug Webb, B.Sc. BioLith Scientific Consultants Inc.

March 31, 1999

Table of Contents

INTRODUCTION	1
METHODS	1
SITE SURVEY AND DESIGN FOR REACH 1 OF TRIBUTARY 1, KITSEGUKLA RIVER SOUTH SUB-BASIN	4
PURPOSE OF THE PROJECT	4
LOCATION	4
ACCESS	4
PHYSICAL AND BIOLOGICAL OBJECTIVES	4
MONITORING PLAN	5
Fish Habitat	5
Fish	7
ENVIRONMENTAL SAFETY	7
METHODS FOR DESIGN	7
MACHINERY	9
A PPROVALS	9
Work Plan and Schedule	10
ESTIMATED COSTS	19
REFERENCES	41

i

List of Tables

	SUMMARY OF THE CHANNEL CHARACTERISTICS OF THE SURVEYED SITES	
	SUMMARY OF THE BALLAST REQUIREMENTS FOR WHOLE TREES AT SITES A, C, D AND E	
TABLE 3.	OVERALL WORK PLAN AND SCHEDULE	16
TABLE 4.	DETAILED WORK PLAN AND SCHEDULE FOR WORK WINDOW	17
TABLE 5.	MATERIALS REQUIRED	18
TABLE 6.	COST ESTIMATES	19
TABLE 7.	COST ESTIMATES FOR SITE A	21
TABLE 8.	COST ESTIMATES FOR SITE B	25
TABLE 9.	COST ESTIMATES FOR SITE C	29
TABLE 10). Cost Estimates for Site D	33
TABLE 11	. Cost Estimates for Site E	37

List of Figures

FIGURE 1.	MAP SHOWING THE WORK AREA.	2
FIGURE 2.	MAP SHOWING THE LOCATIONS OF THE WORK SITES	6
FIGURE 3.	SKETCH FOR SITE A.	11
FIGURE 4.	SKETCH FOR SITE B.	12
FIGURE 5.	SKETCH FOR SITE C.	13
FIGURE 6.	SKETCH FOR SITE D.	14
FIGURE 7.	SKETCH FOR SITE E.	15

List of Appendices

APPENDIX 1.	LWD REVETMENT DIAGRAM FROM WRTC #94	2
APPENDIX 2.	LONGITUDINAL PLAN AND PROFILE DIAGRAMS FOR TRIBUTARY 1 AT SITE A	13
APPENDIX 3.	CROSS SECTION DIAGRAMS AT SITE A.	14
APPENDIX 4.	LONGITUDINAL PLAN AND PROFILE DIAGRAMS FOR TRIBUTARY 4 AT SITES C, D AND E4	15
APPENDIX 5.	CROSS SECTION DIAGRAMS FOR SITES C, D AND E.	16
	WORK WINDOWS OF REDUCED RISK TO FISH.	
APPENDIX 7.	PHOTOGRAPHS.	18

Introduction

The area surrounding Tributary 1 (see Figure 1) of the Kitsegukla River South Sub-Basin was logged extensively, beginning at the lower elevations about 1974 and thereafter continuing into the upper elevations in the 990's. The stream is a relatively low elevation system that was considered likely to once have been very important to salmonids in the Kitseguecla system.

As part of the provincial Watershed Restoration Program, a Level I Overview assessment of fish and fish habitat was carried out in the entire Kitsegukla River watershed in1995 (Wild Stone 1995). This was followed in 1997 by a Level I detailed field assessment of the southern sub-basin (BioLith 1998). This study made recommendations for restorative treatments of the Tributary 1 system. The prescriptions addressed problems of loss of riparian function, bank and channel instability, lack of functional large woody debris (LWD), lack of habitat variety and lack of cover. During 1998, a 100 m portion of the stream was treated by installing 18 trees, complete with root wads, into the stream's channel to address some of these concerns.

As the next step in this process, BioLith Scientific Consultants Inc. and Hydroglyphic Terrain Analysts were contracted by the Gitsegukla Band Council in March, 1999, to produce a Site Survey and Design for restorative works in a larger portion of the Tributary 1 system. As a result of BioLith's understanding of an agreement reached at a meeting in Decemeber with the Contract Monitor, this larger area included the length of the stream from its confluence with the Kitseguecla River upstream as far as the Branch 200 Forest Service Road (FSR), and included Tributaries 2, 3 and 4. Although this larger area was not included in the ammended Standards Agreement, it was considered a biologically and logistically functional unit by BioLith. This work had originally been planned for the later part of the fall of 1998 but weather conditions prevented its implementation at that time. The work was implemented in mid-March of 1999.

The purpose of this report is to describe in detail what works need to be implemented and how that should be carried out. The results of the study are reported as required in Sections 5 and 6.1 of Schedule A of the Standards Agreement.

Methods

Procedures for conducting a Site Survey and Design were specified in a Standards Agreement between the Ministry of Environment, Lands and Parks (MELP) and the Gitsegukla Band Council (GBC). Those standards define four Types of project. The simplest, Type 1, involves less than 50 m of stream and requires limited survey data to be gathered for the Site Survey and Design. A Type II project involves more than 50 m of stream and requires more extensive survey work, including longitudinal profiles and multiple cross sections. All five of the sites for which prescriptions are given in this report were categorized as Type I projects late in the project and so information additional to that strictly required for a Type I project was acquired, analyzed and is included in this report.



FIGURE 1. Map showing the work area.

After analysis of all pre-existing information, including limited air photo coverage of parts of the system, prior reports and maps, all of the streams in the area were walked by an experienced restoration biologist and an experienced fisheries technician, however, attention was focused on the areas where the review and previous visits by BioLith staff suggested there were concerns. The area assessed included the 100 m that had undergone treatment in 1998.

In the areas of concern, a longitudinal survey was done using a clinometer, compass, hip chain and tape measure. At the sites where work was to be prescribed, the crew took photographs to show the nature of the impact and the site's characteristics. Labeled flagging was hung at those points.

The results of the field work were then discussed among the biological and hydrological team The results of these discussions and the data were then synthesized into the construction drawings and work plans that form the prescriptions of this report.

The reader should be aware that this assessment was incomplete in that, although the field crew members were confident that they saw most of the problems in the area during the field work, they may also have missed some areas that needed work. The reason for this caveat is that there was still approximately 0.75 m of snow over most of the area and the stream was showing through at only a few places. As a result, little of the channel substrate could be seen. Although snow made a comprehensive assessment difficult, the significant problems were still evident and were addressed in this study.

Site Survey and Design for Reach 1 of Tributary 1, Kitsegukla River South Sub-Basin

Purpose of the project

The purpose of the project is to improve fish habitat. Specifically, the goals of the project are to improve bank stability and improve habitat variety and complexity for fish through the installation of large woody debris (LWD) and large rocks at five sites in the Tributary 1 system of the Kitsegukla River South Sub-Basin.

Location

The five sites are located approximately 19 km south of Gitsegukla Village near the Branch 200 FSR (see Figure 1). To get there, turn south approximately 500 m east of the Highway 16 bridge over the Kitsegukla River at Gitsegukla Village onto . KITSEGUEGLA Road. Continue on this road, generally traveling south for 17 km, the location of the access road that approaches Site A (see Figure 2). The area of the proposed works is included on NTS 1:250,000 scale map number 93L, on NTS 1:50,000 scale map number 93L13 and on BCGS TRIM and Forest Cover maps numbered 093L092.

Access

Sites C and B are accessible by a branch road from the Branch 200 FSR. Sites D and E are accessible with an excavator from the road at Site C but will require special care in order to avoid damaging riparian vegetation. If materials are delivered to Site A by helicopter then the they should also be delivered to Site E while the helicopter is nearby. Site A will necessitate the use of an helicopter to deliver the wood and rocks required. No ground based machine access is available.

Physical and Biological Objectives

This tributary system likely contains critical rearing habitat, particular for steelhead. Past logging practices have resulted in damage to the stream and its fish habitat. The main problem is bank erosion and channel instability. A secondary problem is lack of habitat variety and cover.

The physical objectives of the work at Sites A through E include the stabilization of eroding banks through the placement of trees, complete with root wads, in the form of revetments against the banks, and

• the production of scour by deflection of water around the root wads of the trees that will be placed into the wetted channel.

The biological objectives of the work include

- the reduction of fine sediment input to the stream from eroding banks that might limit respiration in fish, especially incubating eggs,
- an increase in the variety of fish habitat, especially deep pool habitat for protection of rearing juveniles in winter and summer, and

• an increase in the amount of protective cover for fish.

This stream has been fairly energetic in the past and this is what has led to the erosion problems. This energy dictates that none of LWD should be channel spanning. Rather, all structures have been prescribed for the side of the channel where energies are reduced during flood. As this stream is one of only a few low gradient rearing streams in the Kitseguecla River South Sub-Basin, the risks and costs associated with these prescribed treatments were considered justified.

Monitoring Plan

The efficacy of the restorative treatments implemented can only be assessed through quantitative comparisons of parameters measured before and then after construction. The two most significant parameters to measure are changes to fish populations and changes to fish habitat. Only limited data on each of these characteristics is available from the Level I field assessment, as that process involved sampling of representative parts of a much larger portion of the watershed. A reasonably valid assessment of efficacy will require a more intensive program of measurements. In particular, the construction sites should be the subject of an intensive topographic survey of the stream's channel to determine its characteristics over time, along with an intensive fishing program to determine changes in the fish population over time.

Fish Habitat

The stream channel will be the subject of an intensive topographic survey, using a total station, to quantify the shape of the channel immediately after construction and before any significant alterations. The Fish Habitat Assessment Procedure (FHAP; Johnston and Slaney 1996) will be applied to this site and compared with similar data gathered during the original FHAP. A photographic record of the site will also be compiled over time using the photo points that will be established during construction.



Figure 2. Map showing the locations of the work sites.

Fish

The sites will be fished intensively before construction to determine species composition, micro-distribution, and relative abundance. These parameters will be determined through a mark-recapture program using at least five roe baited minnow traps at each site. The traps will be set out and left to fish for 2 hours, after which time marking of all captured individuals will occur, regardless of species. Before marking, all fish will be anaesthetized and after marking all fish will be held in a recovery bucket until fully recovered before release. While being handled for marking fish will also be measured for fork length. All fish captured will be marked with a caudal fin clip of no more than 2 mm² at the bottom trailing edge. All fish identification will be carried out or verified by a fisheries biologist. Once the marked fish are released, a similar fishing effort to recapture marked fish will be conducted at each site within 7 days but not before 3 days after the first effort. Appropriate aging tissue will collected from larger fish and analyzed. The results of all analyses will be presented in the final report.

Similarly intense repetitions of the methods used will be implemented each year, beginning within two weeks of, but not before 3 days after construction completion, and continuing for at least four years, in order to produce reasonably valid assessments of the efficacy of the treatments.

Environmental Safety

An Environmental Monitor will be required to be on site during any stream crossings and during all construction work in and around the stream. The Environmental Monitor must be an experienced fisheries Biologist.

Methods for Design

Sites A, C, D and E are eroding banks which require stabilization, and the prescription formulated for each is similar. It involves installing whole coniferous trees such as western red cedar or hemlock, with the base of the root wad facing upstream and anchored by rock ballast, and the trunk resting on the top of the bank further downstream and anchored to existing stumps or a dead man. A series of whole trees are to be installed side by side so as to provide a barrier to prevent erosion at each site. The root wad acts to capture sediment and stabilize the structure while the root wad and rock ballast will provide valuable additional fish habitat in the tributary. The root wad will provide erosion protection during moderate flows although erosion will continue behind the structures during major floods. Site B is a corduroy road that crosses a swamp and acts as a barrier to fish. The prescription at this site is to remove a 12 meter section of the road and reestablish access by fish to the upstream section of the swamp. In addition, it is proposed that coarse rock be laid down across the bed of the access channel opened by the prescription so as to prevent erosion of unstable roadbed material.

The proposed structures have been designed using well developed procedures given in Newbury and Gaboury (1993), Slaney and Zaldokas (1997; see Appendix 1) and Anonymous (1998). Field data has been collected and the channel characteristics for each site are given in the table below. Note that because sites 3, 4, and 5 are close together so (ACC) that average data has been presented. Bankfull velocity has been calculated with Manning's Equation, with the Manning's coefficient (n) determined with reference to the roughness of the channel bed at each site.

Parameter	Site A	Site B	Sites C,D & E
Bankfull Width (m)	11.5	12	6
Bankfull Depth (m)	1.2	1	1.8
Average Slope	0.02	0.01	0.038
Manning's n	0.055	0.08	0.065
Bankfull Velocity (m/s)	2.6	1.1	3.2
Bankfull Discharge (m ³ /s)	35.9	13.2	34.6
Bankfull Froude #	0.76	0.35	0.76
Stable Bed Material Size (cm)	24	10	68

TABLE 1.	Summary of	the Channel	Characteristics of	the Surveyed Sites
----------	------------	-------------	--------------------	--------------------

The standards for Aquatic Habitat Restoration Site Survey and Design state that structures in Type I projects should be designed to withstand a 1 in 50 year flood event. HydroGlyphics (1998) has already calculated the discharge of a 1 in 50 year flood event for Tributary 1 from a comparative analysis of other stream flow records in the area including the Kitseguecla River. That analysis indicates that a 1 in 50 year flood has a maximum instantaneous discharge of 29 m³/s and a daily peak discharge of 17 m³/s. The bankfull discharge for Sites A, C, D and E are in excess of the 1 in 50 year flood event. This is quite unusual, especially since sites C, D and E are quite high in the watershed, and may indicate that the estimation of the 1 in 50 year flood from a comparative analysis underestimates the true value. However, in the absence of further information, it is assumed that bankfull conditions represent a major flood approximating the 1 in 50 year flood, and so the structures are designed to withstand bankfull conditions.

The prescription for Sites A, C, D and E requires that whole trees be anchored by rock ballast. Using the channel characteristics in the table above, the following ballast requirements have been determined for whole trees that are approximately 10 meters long, 50 centimeters in diameter at breast height with a root wad with a diameter of 1.5 - 2 meters. It is assumed that 7.5 meters of each log is submerged in the flow during bankfull discharge. In addition to the total ballast required, the diameter of rocks providing the correct ballast has been calculated for scenarios where two, three and four rocks are used to anchor each log. The ballast rocks are to be connected to the tree by galvanized steel cable with means of a lease or ring bolt epoxied into a drilled hole in the rock.

Parameter	Site A	Site C, D & E
Total Ballast Required Per log (kg)	1500	2063
Rock Diameter (cm) for Two	80	103
Rocks Rock Diameter (cm) for Three	73	84
Rocks Rock Diameter (cm) for Four	64	74
Rocks	01	

TABLE 2.	Summary of the	Ballast Requirements for	Whole Trees at Sites A	A, C, D and E
----------	----------------	--------------------------	------------------------	---------------

Site one is in a lower gradient stream (2%) and the tree revetment should work very well in this setting. In the case of Sites C, D and E, that are in higher gradient reaches (average of 3.8%), these sites should have some additional rocks (LLD) integrated into the structure that are at least 68 cm in diameter. The additional rocks need not be anchored to the trees but should be placed at the toe of the eroding slope between the root wads.

The prescription for site 2 suggests that a 12 meter long section of corduroy road should be removed and the channel be re-established. Given the characteristics of this channel, it has been calculated that rock with a diameter of at least 10 cm is stable and should be used to line the re-established channel. The application thickness should be 20 cm and the rock should be keyed into the bed of the swamp. The volume of rock required is approximately $25m^3$. Pullback of the road bed should be completed to no more than 2H:1V (50%).

Machinery

A medium size, wide tracked excavator with a thumb, such as a Hitachi EX200, complete with spill kit and vegetable-based hydraulic fluid will be required for this work. The machine will be refueled at least 100 m away from the stream.

Delivery of the wood and rocks prescribed to Site A and possibly Site E will require an helicopter with a lifting capacity of at least 3000 kg. A Sikorski 61 will be the minimum size required.

Approvals

Work in and around the stream will require the written approval of the MELP and DFO. In particular,

• The Water Management Branch of the Ministry of Environment, Lands and Parks must receive 'Notification' under Section 9 of the Water Act of B.C. at least 45 days before the intention to begin work. An application form can be obtained from MELP at 3726 Alfred Ave., Smithers, B.C., V0J 2N0.

- A Fish Collection Permit must be acquired to allow salvaging of fish during construction as well as to allow fishing before and after construction for the purpose of monitoring the effectiveness of treatments. The application should be addressed to the Fisheries Branch of MELP at 3726 Alfred Ave., Smithers, B.C., V0J 2N0. It should describe the purpose of the project, list all persons who will be doing the fishing, describe their level of experience and training, describe the exact locations of fishing, list the start and end dates and describe the exact methods and equipment intended. The letter of application must be accompanied by a payment of \$25.00 made payable to the Minister of Finance.
- A permit for the purposes of salvage and scientific collection of fish must also be obtained from the DFO. The application letter should describe the purpose of the project, list all persons who will be doing the fishing, describe their level of experience and training, describe the exact locations of fishing, list the start and end dates and describe the exact methods and equipment intended. This application should be sent to DFO at Box 578, 3177 Tatlow Road, Smithers, B.C., V0J 2N0. There is no charge for this permission.

Copies of all permits must accompany the project supervisor at all times on the site. In addition, the local MELP Conservation Officer and the local DFO Habitat Technician must be notified of the exact time the work is to begin and when it ends.

Work Plan and Schedule

At sites where the excavator is available, it will be used to do most of the positioning work. At Site A and possibly Site E, where materials are delivered by helicopter, the excavator must not be present, as the reason for using the helicopter is to prevent damage to the riparian vegetation and the stream channels. The helicopter will be used to place the materials in the approximate position required through a system of labeling of placement sites, such that these are readily visible to the pilots, and through radio contact. Exact placement with a helicopter is prohibitively expensive. They are best at simply delivering the material. Boulders are easier for them to place than LWD. The possibility of moving this material using human labour, once it is on the ground, even if assisted by powered hand winches, is very limited. Therefore, if LWD cannot be delivered to the precise position on the first attempt, then it should be placed above its final position so that movement of it by hand later can be assisted by gravity.

Construction details are shown in the sketches in Figures 3 through 7. Additional information about each site is presented in the form of longitudinal plan and profile drawings in Appendices 2 through 5. A copy of a sketch showing the installation of a generic tree revetment is provided in Appendix 1 and a diagram showing critical time periods for the various fish species in Region 6 is given in Appendix 6. Photographs of each of the sites are presented in Appendix 7.







Figure 4. Sketch of the required construction work at Site B.







Figure 6. Sketch of construction required at Site D.



Figure 7. Sketch of the construction required at Site E.

TABLE 3. Overall Work Plan and Schedule

Vork				Jun 24 -			Jul 16 -		Aug 1-7	Aug 8-	Aug 16-		Sep 1-7	Sep 8-15		Sep 24-
	1-7		23	30	1-7	9- 15	23	30	1-7	0- 15	23	31	1-7	0-15	23	30
Day																
Project Planning	PM															
Locating LWD			PM													
ocating Rock			PM													
Collecting LWD f	or tra	nspo	ort		PM											
Collecting Rock f	or tra	anspo	ort		PM											
Fransport LWD to	o site						PM									
Fransport Rock to	o site)					PM									
Drill and install ca	able i	in roo	k						PM							
Remove fish and	insta	all sto	p ne	ts at	site	À.					Bio,	Tech				
Position LWD, Re	ock, i	in str	eam	at sit	eA.						PM	a Carto				
Plant, cleanup site		1			1						PM					
Remove fish and		all sto	p ne	ts at	site	B.					Bio,	Tech				
Excavate site B			İ								PM					
Transport Rock t	o site	B									PM					
Position Rock at											PM	A CONCILINATION OF				
Plant, cleanup sit	eВ										PM					
Remove fish and		all sto	op ne	ets at	site	C.					Bio,	Tech				
Position LWD, R	ock,	in str	eam	at sit	te C.						PM					
Plant, cleanup sit											PM	CHIEF.				
Remove fish and		all st	op ne	ets at	site	D.					Bio,	Tech				
Position LWD, R											PM					
Plant, cleanup sit									-		PM					
Remove fish and		all st	op ne	ets at	site	E.					Bio,	Tech				
Position LWD, R											PM					
Plant, cleanup sit			T				_				PM	-				
Monitoring LWD		rock	place	emer	nt						Bio,	Tech		s allices		
Site Survey	1	1	<u> </u>		T							T	Bio,	Tech		
Site Topographic	cal m	ap p	repai	ratior	1	-								-	Bio	
Report writing				T	-										Bio	
		-		1												
	1										*	*				
Note 1: Instream	Ilv Va	arder	n afte	r Ser	otem	ber 1	I, and	the	prese	nce o	fegg	s for	Rain	bow a	nd	
Cutthroat, and re adults probably	earin	g Ch	inool	. Alt	hou	gh Ch	ninoo	k juve	eniles	were	foun	d in t	he sy	stem	in 199	97,

Work	Au	jus'	Da	te										-			
Day	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30	31
Remove fish and install stop nets at site A.									_								
Helicopter transport of LWD,Rock						_									1		
Position LWD, Rock, in stream at site A.				Served.													
Plant,cleanup site A								派									
Remove fish and install stop nets at site B.																	
Excavate site B	Sec.								-								
Transport Rock to site B									-						17 in		
Position Rock at site B											-						
Plant, cleanup site B															1000		
Remove fish and install stop nets at site C.	No.																
Position LWD, Rock, in stream at site C.								1957			16						
Plant, cleanup site C			-														
Remove fish and install stop nets at site D.																	
Position LWD, Rock, in stream at site D.								100							enja isa Pana		
Plant, cleanup site D		10000															
Remove fish and install stop nets at site E.								2000									
Helicopter transport of LWD,Rock		-						1072									
Position LWD, Rock, in stream at site E.	2.5			1943		i de											1
Plant,cleanup site E																	1
Monitoring LWD and rock placement			-			1											

TABLE 5. Materials Required

							River Sites A,E			
Site	LWD Available		LWD Length	LWD dia.	Root wad dia.	Rock Available	Rock Needed	Rock Size	Anchors	Cable
A	0		10m	0.5 m	1.5 - 2m	0	LWD	80cm	8	16 Iengths
							or/ 24 @ 3 per LWD			
							or/ 32 @ 4 per LWD	64cm		
В	0	0					25 cubic metres	min dia. 10cm	0	
С	0	4	10m	0.5m	1.5 - 2m	0	8 @ 2 per LWD	103cm	4	8 Iengths
							or/ 12 @ 3 per LWD	84cm		
	-						or/ 16 @ 4 per LWD	74cm		
							and/ 6	min dia	a. 68cm	
D	0	2	10m	0.5m	1.5 - 2m	0	4 @ 2 per LWD	103cm	2	4 lengths
							or/ 6 @ 3 per LWD	84cm		
							or/ 8 @ 4 per LWD	74cm		
							and/ 2	min dia	a. 68cm	
E	0	6	310m	0.5m	1.5 - 2m	C	12 @ 2 per LWD	103cm	6	0 12 lengths
							or/ 18 @ 3 per LWD	84cm		
							or/ 24 @ 4 per LWD	74cm		
							and/ 6	min dia	a. 68cm	

Estimated Costs

The following cost estimates were produced assuming that each of the sites would be done separately. The summary table simply represents the sum of all of these costs. Very significant cost savings through economies of scale and logistic efficiencies if more than one site is done at a time.

		Cost Estima	ites for Sites ABC	DE	
		- (0/11 1)	Tatalumite	Days	Total Costs
Jacogerj	Unit	Rate (\$/Unit)	Total units	Days	1010100010
ees			400	20	10400
Biologist/Env. Monitor	hr	65	160		
Project	hr	50	144	18	7200
Manager Technician	hr	40	84	10.5	3360
		35		45.75	12810
abourers	hr	00			
Expenses		4500	6	0.75	27000
Helicopter	hr	4500			
Excavator	day	1200			
Mob/Demob	day	600		-	
Skidder/Cat	day	600		-	
Self Loading Logging	day	600	2.5	5 2.5	1500
Truck Small rock	cubic m	10) 2	5	250
Gravel Truck	day	800	4.	5 4.5	
Vehicle	day	5		7 37	7 1887
Rental		0	4 805	0	3220
Mileage	km	0.4			
Trap rental	trap day				
Stop Net rental	day	2	5 1	3 1	
Electroshock er rental	day	12		0	5 625
Hand tool	day	2	.0 12		
Safety and fire equipment	day	2	25 14		
LWD	piece	20	00	20	400
Boulders	piece			70	1400
1.6 cm Steel				75	52
Cable Epoxy	per		25	4	20

TABLE 6. Cost Estimates

		D ((0/11-1))	Tatalumita	Dove	Total Costs
salege.	Unit	Rate (\$/Unit)	Total units	Days 5	625
Generator- Rock Drill/Epoxy rental	day	125	5		
Deadman	local	0	20		0
Chain saw winch	day	50	4		200
Chain saw	day	25	9	the second se	225
Silt Fencing Rental	project	100	7		700
Planting Stock	tree	2			162
Deciduous Seeds	litre	80	1		80
Grass Seed	kg	10			30
Camera Rental	day	10		9 9	
Film and Processing	roll	35	5 12	2	420
Reports	report	30) 40	C	1200
Drawings	11 x 17 sheet	Ę	5 10		50
Total Station rental	day	110	9 4.	5 4.5	
Permits	projec	t 100	0	5	125
Communicati ons	-	50	0 2	7 2	7 1350
Totals					104566.5
	70/				7319.65
Administratio					15684.97
Contingencie	5 @ 15	70			
Site ABCDE	Tatal				\$127,571.1

	1	÷
	⊲	2
	a	2
	÷	ŝ
1	Site A	2
	for	5
	4	-
	30	2
	+	j
	c	d
	Datimo	1
	÷	Ē
	2	,
	G	è
	+000	/
	4	ç
	ς	
	r	-
	2	
	F	ľ
	÷	
	CL LUL T	Y
	-	<
	\$	

						5								
-			Quantity											
			Tasks				:	_		Ac-	As-Built	Report	Total	Cost (\$)
Category Unit		Rate	uc- bring s)	Collect Materials	Deliver mater- ials	r Position Material	Planting	Cleanup	Post-Consuction (Fishing, Photography)	t vey	Drawings & Summary	writing	units	
1									V	œ	16	8	52	3380
ist	hr	65	ω			0			T					
-Elly. Monitor							α						32	1600
	hr	20	0		Ω	0	-							
Manager Techni-	hr	40	8		8	8	80			4 8		ω	52	2080
									a				136	4760
Labourer h	hr	35	8	3 16		48 48		0						0
														0
														0
														0
Exp- enses													4	18000
	hr	4500	0			en e	~							1200
Excava-	day	1200	0		+									
De	day	600	0		2									
mob Skidder/	dav	600	0		-									1 600
						+								1 600
Logging truck	day	600	0			-								0
llowo			0					-		_				

BioLith Scientific Consultants Inc.

¿ Survey and Design for Tributary 1, Kitseguecla River
¿ Survey and Design for Tributary 1, Kitseguecla
e Survey and Design for Tributary 1,
e Survey and Design for Tributary
e Survey and Design for
e Survey and Design.
Survey and
s Survey
0.1
Site

		Cost (\$)		000	QUU	510		704	40		150	250			80		125			1600	3200	150			20	250	
		Total (-	10		1760	40	(9	0	1		4		2			8	16	50			-	2	
		Report	writing																								
		As-Built	JS &														-										
		Ac-	o. vey					350																			
			Photography)					350	00	04												2					
			Cleanup			*	-	40	2		0				1												
			Planting			*	_	40	P		0																
8			Position Material				-	300	000		0				×			-				l	09				4
			Deliver mater- ials		2		-	OF			3					-		_				-					
			Collect Materials				с С	UUR	ngl.		4					-		e C	8		Ø	16					
titu	Quantity	Tasks	, bu	Photos)			3	000	390	20	0	1	1														
		-	Rate F	-	800	2	51		0.4	-	75	24	125			20		25			200	200	3		l	90	100
					Veh	day	day		km	trap	day	uay	day			day		day			piece	; piece	٤			per	1
			Category Unit	-	Crovel	Truck	Vehicle	Rental	Mileage	Trap	rental	stop Net	Electro-	shocker	rental	Hand	rental	Safety	and fire	ment	LWD	Boulders piece	1.6 cm	Steel	Cable	Epoxy	(

C	Outontity.										-	
- nan	tiry											
Tasks	s		:		Solitacia		Poet-Construction As-	As-	As-Built	Report	Total	Cost (\$)
Pre- Construe tion Monitori (Fishing	Pre- Construc- tion Monitoring (Fishing,	Collect Materials	Deliver mater- ials	Deliver Position mater- Material ials	Hanung			t vey	Drawings & Summary	writing		
											00	0
0				œ	~							
50				-	-						_	
1												3 75
25			с С									
100				7	4						•	4 400
											75	50
2					5	25					i c	
80					0.5	5					0.0	
0						2						2 20
												2 20
10		-										4 140
35		2						4				

BioLith Scientific Consultants Inc.

						5	Cost Estimates for Site A	IC IOI SAI	le A					
-	F	0	Quantity											
			Tasks						antion to the d	20	Ac-Built	Report	Total	Cost (\$)
Category Unit		Rate F	Pre- Construc- tion Monitoring (Fishing,	Collect Materials	Deliver mater- ials	Position Material	Planting	Cleanup	Post-Construction Monitoring (Fishing, Photography)	Built Topo. Survey	Drawings & Summary	writing		
ts	repor	30 F	Photos)									8		8 240
for all t sites														2 10
ing	11 X 17	ى ك				N								
S	sheet													2 220
Total d Station rental	day	110		-										1 25
ல ப	proje ct	25		-										C
Ц		1			5									1000 /
Com- munica- tions	day	20		-										
														42969
Totals														2007 82
Administration	tion													6445.35
Contingencies @ 15%	cies													
Site A														52422.1 8

BioLith Scientific Consultants Inc.

g
Site
s for
Estimates
Cost
ø
F
TARLE 8.
LA

						5	ost Estim	Cost Estimates for Sile D	le D					
			Quantity											
			Tasks				-			~~~	Ac Built	Renort	Total	Cost (\$)
Category	Unit	Unit Rate	Pre- Construct- ion Monitoring (Fishing, Photos)	Collect Materials	Deliver mater- ials	Deliver Position mater- Material ials	Planting	Cleanup	Post-Construction As- Monitoring Buil (Fishing, Top Photography) Sur	As- Built Topo. Survey	Drawings & Summary		Cuits	
2000												0	28	1820
Biologist-	Ъ	65		8			8			4	0			
Monitor					0	α	α						32	1600
Project	hr	20		x	0)						α	320
Technic-	노	40		8)	
ian					-	+	16	4	4				24	840
Labourer	F	35				-	>							
Cuncture 1	-													
Experise			0											00
Excavator	day	120	0			0.5	2			-			0.0	
Mob- Demob		/ 600	0					-						0
Skidder/Cat	at		0					-						0
Logging Truck	ruck		0				1						25	250
Small rock cub	k cut		10				25							
	met	st												1200
Gravel Truck	2 Y	600	0			2								
Viction of			11	-	+	-	-	-		_				

, Kitseguecla River
Ι,
Tributary
for
Design J
and
Site Survey

$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$						Š	COST ESUITIBLES IOI OLE D	100 101 001						
Init Rate Tasks As-Built Unit Rate Pre- construct. Collect Deliver Position As-Built Unit Rate Pre- construct. Materials mater- protography) Materials mater- protography) Past-Construction As- built As-Built Km 0.4 390 40 390 40 350 Summary km 0.4 390 40 390 40 350 Survey day 25 2 2 20 20 20 20 day 125 0.5 2 2 20 350 350 day 125 0.5 2 2 2 2 2 day 125 0.5 2 2 2 2 2 2 nd day 20 0.5 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2		-	Quantity											
Unil Rate Pre- Construct- Materials Deliver Position Planting Monitoring Construct- materials Deliver Position Deliver Monitoring Deliver Topo. Deliver Survey km 0.4 430 40 350 350 350 km 0.4 430 40 350 350 350 km 0.4 430 40 350 350 350 day 25 2 2 2 20 20 day 125 0.5 0.5 1 20 20 day 25 0.5 0.5 1 1 20 day 25 0.5 0.5 1 1 1 md 26 0.5 1 1 1 1 day 125 0.5 1 1 1 1 md 26 0.5 1 1 1 1 md 27 0.5 1 1 1 1 day 25 0.5 1 1 1 1 md 26 200 1 0 1 1 md 3 0 1 1 1			Tasks				:		Doot Construction			Report		Cost (\$)
Image Image <t< td=""><td></td><td>nit Rate</td><td></td><td>Collect Materials</td><td>Deliver mater- ials</td><td>the second se</td><td>Planting</td><td>Cleanup</td><td>Post-construction Monitoring (Fishing, Photography)</td><td></td><td>Drawings & Summary</td><td></td><td>Units</td><td></td></t<>		nit Rate		Collect Materials	Deliver mater- ials	the second se	Planting	Cleanup	Post-construction Monitoring (Fishing, Photography)		Drawings & Summary		Units	
ic km 0.4 430 40 390 40 350 icap 1 20 1 20 20 20 day 25 2 2 2 20 20 o- day 25 0.5 2 2 2 o- day 125 0.5 2 2 2 o- day 26 0.5 2 2 2 otol day 25 0.5 2 2 2 2 fand day 25 0.5 2 2 2 2 2 ment m 0.5 0.5 2 <td< td=""><td>Inter</td><td>_</td><td>L IIOUOS</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>1640</td><td>CEC</td></td<>	Inter	_	L IIOUOS										1640	CEC
etap 1 20 1 20 let day 25 2 1 20 be day 15 0.5 1 1 1 be day 125 0.5 1	T	_						40	e				1040	0
uay 25 2 vet day 25 2 o- day 125 0.5 er vand day 20 tool day 20 0.5 vand day 20 0.5 vand day 20 0.6 vand day 25 0.6 vand day 25 0.6 ment 0 0 0 m m 3 0 0 mator/ day 125 0.6 0 0 valuer 0 0 0 0 0	υ								20		6		40	40 70
o- day 125 0.5 er tool day 20 / and day 20 0.5 0.5 / and day 25 0.5 0.5 / and day 25 0.6 0.5 / and day 25 0.6 0.6 ment 25 0.6 0.7 m m 3 0.7 m m 3 0.7 mator/ day 125 0.7 0.7 Epoxy 0 0 0 0	let			0										,u
er tool day 20 tool day 20 rand and day 25 rand ment 25 rand rand ment 20 rand rand rand ment 25 rand rand rand ment 26 200 rand rand rand ment 3 rand	6			10						4			c.u	
tool day 20 ' and day 25 ment 25 ment 20 ers pce pce 200 ers pce pce 200 m 3 m 3 m 3 m 3 m 3 m 3 m 125 poxy 125 poxy 0 man 0	er	r.											0.5	10
and day 25 ment pce 200 ers pce 200 n m 3 n m 3 rator/ day 125 poxy 125 man 0	tool		20			3.0	10							
ety airu day D D C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C	ental		25			0.5	10						0.5	12.5
D D D C D D D C C D D C C D D C C D D C C D D C D D C D D C D D C D D D C D D D C D D D C D	Salety and fire		2											
pce 200 m day 12	aquipment													
m m m w m m m m m m m m m m m m m m m m			00											
m ator/ day 12 poxy nan	lers		00			-								
ator/ day 12 poxy nan nan	-	E	3											
ator/ day 12 poxy nan	Steel				i									
ator/ day 12 boxy anan anan ator	Cable	+	0											
ator/ day 12 poxy man	Epoxy	-	0											
poxy man	Generator/		25											
nan	Rock	_			-									
nan	rental													
	Deadman		0					_						
	Chainsaw		0											

Kitseguecla River
I,
Tributary
for
Design
and
ite Survey
Si

	-	Quantity											
	-	Tasks										Totol	(a) +00
Category U	Unit Rate		Collect Materials	Deliver mater- ials	Position Material	Planting	Cleanup	Post-Construction Monitoring (Fishing, Photography)	As- Built Topo. Survey	As-Built Drawings & Summary	керон	Units	
	_	Photos)											0
Chainsaw		0											0
	job 100	0											
		-				20						20	40
Planting ti Stock	tree	2										0.5	40
Deciduous litre		80				c.U	-		2				
Seeds	ka	10				-						-	10
)							50				-	10
2	day	10 0.5	5					5				C	
p	roll	35	1			1						J	
cess-													
Donorte	ren	30									×		7
s	-		2									V	2
	x 17				IL								
	she												
Total	>	110								-			
Station												-	25
Permits	doj	25										- 4	
Communic day	day	50	-	~	-	-						,	

Quantity Tasks												
	ntitv											
												141
C					2017-10	andoru	Doet Construction	As-	As-Built	Report		Cost (\$)
Category Unit Rate Fre- Cons ion Moni	struct- toring	Collect Materials	Deliver mater- ials	Deliver Position r mater- Material ials	Planung	Cleand	mater- Material Monitoring Cleanup Constructing Built (Fishing, Topo. I als	Built Topo. Survey	Built Drawings & Topo. Summary Survey	Units		
Pho	tos)								α	16	1851	
-	482	50	52	451	27.5	45	312	000				
												8572
Totals												
												600.04
Administration												
@ 7%												1285.8
Contingencies @												
15%												
												10457.8
Total Cost												4

BioLith Scientific Consultants Inc.

Citseguecla River
K
1,
ind Design for Tributary
0 a
Site Surve)

ζ	ر
	r sue
	IOL
- 2	Estimates
	Ost
1	0
	0
	F
	-
	FARI F
	1
	-

						5			2					
			Quantity											
			Tasks							~~	Ac Built	Report total	total	Cost (\$)
Category	Unit	Unit Rate	uct- oring ig,	Collect Materials		Deliver Position mater- Material ials	Planting	Cleanup	Post-Construction Monitoring (Fishing, Photography)	As- Built Topo. Survey	Drawings & Summary		units	
Fees										V	00	00	20	1300
Biologist- Env.	hr	65	4						T					
Monitor	-	1			0	8	00						32	1600
Project Manager		nc											4	160
Technic-	hr	40		4				R						
ian Labourers	PL	35		1	16	16		2	4	4	4		8 54	1890
				1									0	0
													0	
Expenses														0
Helicopter			0				1							1200
Excavator	day	1200	0	0.5	2	0.5	5						0	
Mob- Demoh	day	600	0											400
Skidder-	day	/ 600	0	-	-									
Logging	day	/ 600	0			-			1					
Small rock	×				_									
Gravel												_		

Kitseguecla River
Ι,
Tributary
for
Design.
and
Site Survey

						ŭ	ost Estima	Cost Estimates tor site C	2					
	-		Quantity											
		İ	Tasks										10tot	Coet (\$)
Category	Unit Rate		Pre- Construct- ion Monitoring (Fishing,	Collect Materials	Deliver mater- ials	Position Material	Planting		Cleanup Post-Construction Monitoring (Fishing, Photography)	As- Built Topo. Survey	As-Built Drawings & Summary	Кероп	units	
(chiclo	Nah	5	Photos)	2	-		1	1					2	357
	622	;								350			1640	656
Mileade	km	0.4	430	0 40	40	390		40	000				40	40
	trap	-	20	0					77					
_	day	75		+										25
stop iver rental	uay	62											0.5	62.5
Electro-	day	125	0.5	2				,						
shocker rental													2	40
Hand tool	day	20		~		1 017 ²⁷	-							
rental							-						2	20
Safety and day fire equip	day	25											4	800
LWD	pie ce	200											16	3200
Boulders	pie ce	200											50	150
1.6 cm Steel	E	e				20	0							
Epoxy	tub	50					-	-					- 050	9
Generator/ day Rock Drill/Epoxy	/ day	125				0.5	<u>م</u>						5	

River
Kitseguecla
Ι,
Tributary
for
Design.
and
Site Survey

						5								
			Quantity											
			Tasks							~	Ac Duilt	Renort total	total	Cost (\$)
Category	Unit Rate		uct- oring	Collect Materials	Deliver mater- ials	Deliver Position mater- Material ials	Planting	Cleanup	Post-Construction As- Monitoring Buil (Fishing, Top Photography) Sur	vey t	Drawings & Summary	hindpar	units	
			Photos)											4 0
Deadman	n loc	0				4								
	mat													
	eria													4
Chainsaw	-	50				~								
winch						*								1 25
Chain saw day	day	25				-								100
WINCH		001				-								2
Silt	pro								8					
Rental	č													6 12
Planting	tree	2	0.1					0						
Stock														
Deciduous litre	s litre													
Grace	ka	10								2				D
Seed	0									~				2 20
Camera Rental	day	10		-										
Film and	roll	35	10	-						_				
Process- ina													00	8 240
Reports	rep.	. 30	0											
Drawings	11		5				N				,			
	× T X													

1												
Quantity	ity											
Tasks	0						acitor de la c		Ac Built	Report total	total	Cost (\$)
Category Unit Rate Pre- Cons ion Monit (Fish	Pre- Construct- ion Monitoring (Fishing,	Collect Materials		Position Material	Planting	Cleanup	Deliver Position Planting Cleanup Post-Construction Built mater- Material Monitoring Built ials (Fishing, Topo Photography) Surv	ey.	Drawings & Summary		units	
Phot	os)								0.5		0.5	55
											1	25
25											5	250
50	-		<u>-</u>				-					
												13700
			_									
												959
												2055
												16714
				_								

BioLith Scientific Consultants Inc.

River
Kitseguecla
1,
Tributary
for
Design.
and
Survey
Site

A
for Site
-
rn
G 1
-
0
-
ates
-
+
Estima
- 12
-
-
Estir
[-]
_
Cost
6
_
()
-
10.
_
-
(T
_
-
_
~
TARLE 1
-
-
r
_

			titu											
	-	-	Quantity			2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1								
			Tasks	1				_	_	12.0	As-Built	Report total	total	Cost (\$)
Category	Unit Rate		Pre- Construct- ion Monitoring (Fishing, Photos)	Collect Materials	Deliver mater- ials	Deliver Position mater- Material ials	Planting	Cleanup	Post-Construction Monitoring (Fishing, Photography)	t oo. vey	Drawings & Summary	the second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second se	units	
Fees									4	4	8	8	28	1820
Biologist- Fnv.	hr	65	4	4								2		
Monitor													20	1000
Project	hr	50	~	8	4	4	4						4	160
Technic-	hr	40		4			A							
ian								V	V				40	1400
Labourers	hr	35		16	0	0	0		F				0	0
													0	0
Expenses													0	0
Helicopter		0											0.5	600
Excavator	day	1200	0.5	5									0	0
Mob- Demob	day	600												600
Skidder- Cat	day	600			~								0.5	
Logging truck	day	600			0	0.5								
Small rock cubi	k cubi c m	10											1.5	006
Gravel	day	600			10	0.5								

BioLith Scientific Consultants Inc.
River
Kitseguecla
I,
Tributary
for
Design.
and
Survey
Site

		C. contitu											
+		Tacke											141 1
Category Uni	Unit Rate	Pre- Construct- ion Monitoring	Collect Materials	Deliver mater- ials	Deliver Position mater- Material ials	Planting	Cleanup	Cleanup Post-Construction Monitoring (Fishing, Photography)	As- Built Topo. Survey	As-Built Drawings & Summary	Report total units	units	Cost (\$)
		. 1										9	306
Vehicle day	y 51	-	~	~	-	_							
k k	04	430	40	40	40		40	en la la la la la la la la la la la la la	350			1290	516
Trap trap								20				40	40
day								-				2	50
Stop Net day	y 25	2	0										125
Electro- day	y 125	1	-										
shocker rental													20
Hand tool day	y 20	0			<u> </u>								
day	y 25	10										-	GZ
and fire												2	400
pce	e 200	0										0	
Boulders pce	e 200	0										25	75
1.6 cm m Steel		3		-	62	0		0					
	_					+						1	
Epoxy kit	-	0				- 1						0.5	62.5
Generator day /Rock	ay 125	2			c.0	0							
Drill- Epoxy rantal												C	0
1611101						C						4	

BioLith Scientific Consultants Inc.

	-	Outantity			>	חפו בפווייי							
		Toolo											141. 0
Category Uni	Unit Rate		Collect Materials	Deliver mater- ials	Deliver Position mater- Material ials	Planting	Cleanup	Post-Construction Monitoring (Fishing, Photography)	t o. vey	As-Built Drawings & Summary	Report	units	Cost (\$)
		Photos)											1 50
Chainsaw	50	0			-								1 25
Chain saw day	y 25	2	~	_			÷						
winch Silt iob	100	0			~								1 100
g													
Rental Planting tree		2				0	9						6 12
													0
Deciduous litre		80											
Seeds Grace kn	+	10											0
									+				2 20
Camera day Rental		10	1										
Film and roll		35	1										
Process- ing											00		8 240
Reports rep		30											0
s		5											
X 17	7								0.5	2		0.5	5 55
Total da Station rental	day 11	110							;				1 25
s	proj 2	25											

Site Survey and Design for Tributary 1, Kitseguecla River

BioLith Scientific Consultants Inc.

				ر	Cost Estimates for Site U	ates tor S	Ite D					
	-	Quantity										
		Tasks								troug	Intol	Coet (\$)
Category Unit Rate	nit Rate		Collect Materials	Deliver Position I mater- Material ials	Planting	Cleanup	Deliver Position Planting Cleanup Post-Construction As- mater- Material Built (Fishing, Topo ials Photography) Surv	. 20	As-built Drawings & Summary	Kepoli	units	
	En	Photos)									5	250
Communi day cations	ay 50	-	·-	 -	-)	
												10896.5
Totals												
												762.755
Administration @ 7%	n @ 7%											1634.475
Contingencies @	s @											
15%												
												13293.73
Site Total												

Site Survey and Design for Tributary 1, Kitseguecla River

BioLith Scientific Consultants Inc.

Rive
Kitseguecla
l,
Tributary
for
Design.
and
Survey
Site

E	
Site]	
for	1
F Estimates	TO CLARK
Coet	
11	
11	
TABLE	1

						J	OSI ESI	Cost Estimates IOI Site E				-		
			Quantity									-		
			Tasks					_		~~	Donort	Ac.	total	Cost (\$)
Category	Unit	Unit Rate Pre- Con ion Mon (Fisl	Pre- Construct- ion Monitoring (Fishing, Photos)	Collect Materials	Deliver mater- ials	Position Material	Planting	g Cleanup	Post-Construction Monitoring (Fishing, Photography)	As- Built Topo. Survey	Kepoli	Built Drawin gs & Summ ary	units	
Fees			6000111									α	32	2080
Biologist-	hr	65		8					4	4				004
Env.						-							oc	
Project	hr	50		8	8	8	4						07	1400
Manager													16	640
Technic-	hr	40		00			σ					_		
lan I abourers	hr	35		72	2 16	5 16	0	4	4				112	3920
				-									C	C
Expenses														0006
Helicopter hr	hr	4500	0			2								
Excavator	day	1200	0			-							0	
Mob-	day	600	0			1								
Demob Skidder-	day	600	0										0	
Cat													0	0
Logging truck	day	600	0				-						C	
Small rock	2												0	0
Gravel	day	800	0											

BioLith Scientific Consultants Inc.

		(a) +000	(())	408	000	40	F	50	105	27		100	150	001	1200		0000	150		ED					
			units	8	0027	07/1	5	2	*	-		5	U	0	9	00	20	50			- 0	4			
			Built Drawin gs & Summ ary																						
		-	Кероп																						
			As- Built Topo. Survey	-		350																			
L			Post-Construction Monitoring (Fishing, Photography)			350	20																		
COSI ESIIIIales INI NIC E			Cleanup	1		40																	-		
			Planting	-								-		~				L L	nc			2			
5			Position Material	1		390								~							-			,	
			Deliver mater- ials	-		40								-											
			Collect Materials	(n))	120						C)	3		P									
	Quantity	Tasks	uct- oring	Photos)		430	20		N	-															
			12	2	5	0.4	-	-	25	125		06	70	25	1	200	200		n		50	125			
			Unit Rate	Nep	uay	km	trap	day	day	day		100	uay	day		pie	pie	ce	E		kit	/ day		~	
			Category	Vobiolo	Venicie	Mileage	Trap	rental	Stop Net	Electro-	shocker	rental	rental	Safety and day	fire equip	LWD	Boulders		1.6 cm	Cable	Fnoxv	Generator/ day	Rock	Drill/Epoxy	0+00

BioLith Scientific Consultants Inc.

-	-	Quantity									-		
		Tasks								Donot	Ac-	total	Cost (\$)
Category Ur	Unit Rate		Collect Materials	Deliver mater- ials	Deliver Position mater- Material ials	Planting	Cleanup	Post-Construction As- Monitoring Buil (Fishing, Top Photography) Sur	t o. vey	1000	Built Drawin gs & Summ ary	units	
		1										-	50
Chain saw winch	50	0										4	100
Chain saw day winch	ay 25	5	n		-								100
	proj 100		-										
Fencing												VC VC	48
b	tree	2				24							
Deciduous litre		80											
	kg 1	10								10		0	
		01	+					-				2	20
Camera u Rental	uay -		-					Ţ				2	20
	roll 3	35	-										
Process-											0	0	UVC .
Reports re	rep 3	30									0	0 4	
S	11 ×	S	2			7							
-	-								0.5			0.5	55
Total d Station	day 11	110											
rental												-	25

Site Survey and Design for Tributary 1, Kitseguecla River

BioLith Scientific Consultants Inc.

			cost (ð)	5 250			00100	20423	1990	4264.4		01600	100040
			As- total Built units Drawin gs & Summ arv		-		-	+				+	
-			As- Buil Dra gs 8 Sun		-								
			Report										
			h As- Built Topo. Survey										
te E			Deliver Position Planting Cleanup Post-Construction As- mater- Material Monitoring Built (Fishing, Topo Photography) Surv										
Cost Estimates for Site E			Cleanup										
ost Estim			Planting										
0			Position Material										
			Deliver mater- ials		-								
			Collect Deliver Position Materials mater- Material ials										
	Quantity	Tasks	uct- nring	Photos)									
			Jnit Rate		lay ou					on @ 7%	es @		
			Category Unit Rate		Communic day	allUIS		Totals		Administration @ 7%	Contingencies @	0/01	

Site Survey and Design for Tributary I, Kitseguecla River

BioLith Scientific Consultants Inc.

References

- Anonymous. 1998. Draft Habitat Restoration Prescription Guidebook, Ministry of Environment, Lands and Parks (Vancouver Island Region 1), Nanaimo.
- BioLith Scientific Consultants Inc. 1998. Level I detailed assessment of fish and fish habitat in the south Kitseguecla River and its tributaries. Report for the Gitsegukla Band Council, available at the Regional Library, Ministry of Environment, 3726 Alfred Ave., Smithers, B.C.
- HydroGlyphic Terrain Analysts. 1998. Kitwanga River and Kitseguecla River Watershed Restoration Program, Hydrological and Channel Stability Assessments of Specific Impact Sites, Report for Gitsegukla Band Council.
- Johnston, N.T. and P.A. Slaney. 1996. Fish Habitat Assessment Procedures. Watershed Restoration Technical Circular No. 8. Ministry of Environment and Ministry of Forests.
- Newbury, R.W. and M.N. Gaboury. 1993. Stream Analysis and Fish Habitat Design, Manitoba Department of Natural Resources, Winnipeg.
- Slaney, P.A. and D. Zaldokas (Ed.). 1997. Fish Habitat Assessment Procedures, Watershed Restoration Technical Circular No. 9, Ministry of Environment, Lands and Parks.
- Wildstone Resources Ltd. 1995. Level I Assessment of the Kitseguecla River Watershed. Prepared for Skeena Cellulose Inc. Available in the library, Ministry of Environment, 3726 Alfred Ave., Smithers, B.C., V0J 2N0







Appendix 3. Cross sections along traverse of Site A.





iming Win	uowa	1002)									
after Chilit	beck,	1992	1								CH	Chinook
	CH	CO	PK	CM	SK	ST	RB	CT	DV		CO	Coho
Species	UII	00	1								PK	Pink
Date	THE REAL										CM	Chum
May 15-31											SK	Sockeye
June 1-15											ST	Steelhead
June 15-3	U										RB	Rainbow
July 1-15											CT	Cutthroat
July 15-31						Contra					DV	Dolly Varder
Aug 1-15	1											
Aug 15-3 Sep 1-15				14 A.V.						4	and the second second	Lower Risk
Sep 1-13 Sep 15-3	0									2	and the second	
Oct 1-15											Contraction of the	Higher Risk
Oct 15-3	1											
Nov 1-15												
Nov 15-3												
Dec 1-15												
Dec 15-3												
Jan 1-15												
Jan 15-3								asky re-	1.512.00			
Carl II												
											L Ling of A	quatic Habitat.