Attn: Barry Finnegan / Blair Holtby, PBS, Nanaimo<br>Brenda Donas / Henry Ragetli, DFO, Smithers<br>Dave Peacock / Les Janz, DFO, Prince Rupert<br>Walter Joseph, Wet'suwet'en Fisheries, Moricetown<br>Don Baily / Hans Galesloot, DFO, Vancouver

Re: Coho Escapement through the Toboggan Creek Counting Fence in 2000

Up to and including Saturday, November 4th the Toboggan Creek coho fence count stands at 3,352 fish. The panels on the fence were removed this morning and the count for 2000 is complete. A total of just 8 coho were sampled and passed above the fence in the last seven days. The fence count ended up as second highest over the past 12 years.

The numbers of coho moving dwindled even though flows were quite high during the past week, indicating the rin is over. Hatchery coho accounted for $62.5 \%$ of the coho handled in the past seven days, and overall they comprised $40.4 \%$ of the spawners upstream of the fence. No more coho are evident at the Bulkley / Toboggan confluence.

We captured only one previously-tagged coho during the past week, for a total of 296 tagged coho from the Moricetown study. Of these 296 tags recovered we observed a total of 119 that were tagged at the fishway and another 177 that were tagged in the seine fishery. We saw five untagged tail-punched coho at the fence this fall, representing $1.7 \%$ of the marks. The condition of previously-tagged salmon was very good overall.

Overall, the fence count went very well this year. We tagged every fifth coho handled which will enable an estimate of any fish that may have gone past uncounted. We also conducted four walks of the entire spawning grounds, including the area downstream of the fence, Preliminary indications are that only five to six percent of the total ran spawned downstream of the fence, and that a similar number passed upstream of the fence without being sampled. The total coho stock is likely to be 3,700 to 3,800 coho for 2000. We will put out a final estimate once all data have been compiled and reviewed.


Mike O'Neill, Manager

## ESCAPEMENT SUMMARY ATTACHMENT (2000)

Toboggan Coho (839)

Estimate of spawners $\mathrm{u} / \mathrm{s}$ of fence - $\quad 3,352$ coho were sampled at the fence, of which 670 were tagged, and we carried out a Petersen estimate of spawners upstream by doing four walks of the entire spawning area from October $11^{\text {th }}$ to November $1^{3 t}$. We estimated the total spawning stock above the fence at 3,620 ( $\mathrm{M}=636, \mathrm{C}=3,250, \mathrm{R}=571$ ).

Estimate of spawners $\mathrm{d} / \mathrm{s}$ of fence - based on $5.5 \%$ estimate of stock proportions below fence, this was also as a result of the four walks of the entire spawning area.

Estimate of angler catch of coho - based on harvest (53) and of catch and release mortalities of five percent (12) indicated by a creel survey funded by Fisheries Renewal BC in 2000.

Estimate of native catch of coho - based on tagging mortalities associated with coho tagging study at Moricetown, funded by DFO ( 3,000 tagged $\times 0.25$ Toboggan stock component $\times 0.05$ mortality rate) indicates 38 mortalities of Toboggan coho.

Unknown Males Females | Total |
| :---: |
| Adults |
| Adults | Jacks Inmatures

## FISH REMOVED FROM THE SYSTEM

Number of fish taken for brood stock
Number of fish given to natives (not induxing brood stock carcasses)
Number of fish sold for surplus (not inctuding brood stock careasses)
Number of mortalities and/or fish used for other purposes

|  | 48 | 12 | 60 |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  | 0 |  |  |
|  |  |  | 0 |  |  |
|  |  |  | 0 |  |  |

TOTAL NATURAL SPAWNING POPULATION ABOVE FENCE
Number sampled for marks and released
Number counted but NOT sampled for marks
Additional fish estimated to pass above fence
(during periods witen fince nol oparubd ec)

+ Total Hatchery staff estimate of above fence spawners
Other Branch / Agency estimate of above fence spawners

|  | 1,716 | 1,576 | 3,292 |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  |  | 0 |  |  |
|  | 171 | 157 | 328 |  |  |
|  | 1,887 | 1,733 | 3,620 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  |  | 0 |  |  |

TOTAL NATURAL SPAWNING POPULATION BELOW FENCE
Number sampled for marks and released
Number counted but NOT sampled for marks
Additional fish estimated below fence

+ Total Hatchery staff estimate of below fence spawners
I Other Branch / Agency estimate of below fence spawners

|  |  |  | 0 |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 250 |  |  | 250 |  |  |
|  |  |  | 0 |  |  |
| 0 | 110 | 100 | 210 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  |  | 0 |  |  |

ESTIMATED FRESH WATER SPORT FISHERY (IF APPLICABLE)

+ Total Hatchery staff estimate of sport fishery
Other Branch / Agency estimate of sport fishery


ESTIMATED NATTVE FISHERY (IF APPLICABLE)

+ Total Hatchery staff estimate of native fishery
Other Branch / Agency estimate of native fishery


INDICATE METHODS USED TO ESTIMATE POPULATION.
(IF COMMENTS ARE REQUIRED, PLEASE USE REVERSE)
Fence, Fishway, flashboard or tower (Continuous Count- $24 \mathrm{hrs} /$ day)
Fence, fishway, flashboard or tower (Interpolated Count < $24 \mathrm{hrs} /$ day)
Petersen estimate
Index by Redd count, peak cqunt, dead pitch, floats, helicopter,etc.
Visual estimate from brood stock collection, walks or spotchecks,etc.
Other method - Use reverse or space below to describe.

Hatchery Swim-Ins
Channel Dead Pitch
§ Live +
$\square$ Dead - $\quad$ Salt Water
Estuary
$+\square$ Lake

+ X River
$\begin{array}{ll}+ \\ + & \text { Above Fence } \\ + & \text { Below Fence }\end{array}$
$+\square$ Total Count
+ X Partial Count

|  | Unknown |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Adults |  |$\quad$ Males $\quad$ Females | Total |
| :---: |
| Adults | Jacks Inmatures

VUMBER OF FISH COUNTED (at trap or fence if appropriate)
VUMBER OF FISH EXAMINED FOR MARKS
VUMBER OF C.W.T. MARKS FOUND
VUMBER OF other MARKS FOUND
JUMBER OF HEADS REMOVED FOR TAG DECODING
VUMBER OF HEADS DISSECTED FOR TAGS
JUMBER OF LOST OR MISSING HEADS

|  | 1,764 | 1,588 | 3,352 |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | 1,764 | 1,588 | 3,352 |  |  |
|  | 642 | 703 | 1,345 |  |  |
|  | 4 | 5 | 9 |  |  |
|  | 113 | 137 | 250 |  |  |
|  | 113 | 137 | 250 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |

(recorded as NO-DATA by the Head Lab)

F ANGLING OR NETIING, ARE LIVE FISH RETURNED TO THE RIVER SAMPLED FOR MARKS BEFORE RELEASE

$\square$
F YES, ARE RELEASED FISH MARKED TO PREVENT DOUBLE COUNITNG?
(If released fish are not marked, describe the method used to obtain
a representative mark rate. Use reverse it necessary.)
$\downarrow$ RE RECAPTURES A SIGNIFICANT PORTION OF FISH EXAMINED FOR MARKبS?


VUMBER OF ADIPOSE CLIPS RECORDED AS:


