## Appendix 3: Summary of data collected

PHY_ UNIT	WW F_se nsit	WWF_COMM EN	WW F_U NCo mm	WWF_RU_Com	WW F_Co mple	WWF_HC_Com	WWF_ Value	WWF_Comm0	WWF_ Modifi	WWF_ Comm 1	WWF_Pote nt	WWF_RP_Com	WW F_Co de	WWF_Comm2	WW F_Ch ange	WWF_Follow
1	No	Blank	No	Rock ramp near shoreline	Low	Straight shoreline, 3 veg codes	Med	Canopy kelps	None	Blank	N/A	Blank	Yel	Loss of canopy kelps would require compensation	No	
2	No	Very small marsh grass patches, continuous woddy debris and patches of partially snady beach	No	Rock ramp uncommon in priority area but not considered reason to evoke rating	Med	Moderate shoreline complexity, 4 veg codes	Med	Blank	None	Blank	N/A	Blank	Yel	Loss of canopy kelps would require compensation	No	Possible sandlance habitat at transect
3	Yes	Continuous marsh grass, polygon made. Small creek enters bay	No	Blank	High	Small bay, 7 veg codes	Med	Eelgrass bed throughout bay, clam bed, polygons made	None	Blank	N/A	Blank	Red	Pocket bay with marshes and eelgrass, high contribution to nearshore diversity	No	No transect done
4	No	Signs of recreational use (camping, hiking, shelter)	Yes	Sandy neck connecting to Islet, adjacent to high value area	High	Forested islets, rocky reef, sandy beach flat	Med	Habitat complexity, note adjacency to SU3 - Include possible clam bed	rec.	Blank	N/A	Blank	Red	Adjacency to SU3 & SU5	No	No transcet done

5	No	Rockey shore with mixed tidepool & mudflats, riprap next to road, low slope, bay subtidal	No	Blank	High	Rip rap limits complexity at high end but despite the riprap there are 3 veg codes	Med	Clam bed possibility, High ecotype eelgrass in mudflats	Low	Loss of riparia n, backsh ore modifi ed by fill	N/A	Blank	Red	Consider for upgrade. Development should conserve habitat complexity, verify presence of clam beds, presence of small intertidal eelgrass bed	Yes	Verify presence of clam beds
6	No	Steep outcrop of bedrock with mixed fucus band	No	Rock ramp uncommon in priority area but not considered reason to evoke rating	Low	Note some subtidal kelp but not very significant	Low	Blank	None	Blank	N/A	Blank	Gree n	Blank	No	No transect
7	No	Straight shoreline with backfill and modified riparian	No	small saltmarsh patch (waypoint taken)	Med	Straight shoreline with 5 veg codes	Med	Small patches of eelgrass at low IT/ST - should confirm extent by boat	Low	Loss of riparia n, 90% of backsh ore modifi ed by fill - should also verify to know midtidal component	N/A	Blank	Red	Large subtidal eelgrass bed	Yes	Need to verify extent of eelgrass in the low IT/ST

8	Yes	Large continuous eelgrass bed from sec 7/8	No	Rock ramp uncommon in priority area but not considered reason to evoke rating	High	Extent and healt of eelgrass bed considered enough to change rating	Med	Continuous eelgrassbed sec 7/8 - confirm with boat	None	Blank	N/A	Blank	Red	Large subtidal eelgrass bed	Yes	Verify extent of eelgrass by boat.
9	No	Rock substrate with mixed fucus, alaria & lamanaria	No	Blank	Med	6 veg codes, offshore reef	Med	Canopy Kelps	Low	Wester n portion of site of NAGIS A survey (2008) Eastern portion of site 100? Modified	N/A	Blank	Yel	Conserve rocky reef, loss of canopy Kepls require compensation	No	Consider splitting into two units (Eastern and Western)
10																
11																
12																
13	No	Blank	No	Blank	Med	Straight shoreline, 5 veg codes, mix of rocky platform and boulder/cobble/p ebble beach & low tidal kelp canopy	Med	Boulder/fucus vegetation, possibility of IT Clam bed, no eelgrass identified but adjacent to unit 12	Low	90% of backsh ore modifi ed by fill for railroa d bed	N/A	Blank	Yel	Some signs of eelgrass/ clam beds adjacent to 12	No	No Transect
14	No	No eelgrass found	No	Blank	Med	Close to low complexity, straight shoreline, 3 veg codes, backfill 100% with riprap	Med	Close to low, kelp canopy, no eelgrass, ulva/fucus	None	Blank	N/A	Blank	Yel	No eelgrass found, no indication of clam beds	No	No transect

15	No	No eelgrass seen, no marsh grass, Low IT Kelp bed	No	Blank	Med	Straight shore with boulders, 4 veg codes, some large woody debris	Med	Possible IT clam beds, verify presence/absence of eelgrass	Low	30% of backsh ore modified by landfill at north end of unit. backfill not require d b/c of rock bluff	N/A	Blank	Yel	Need to verify presence of clam beds, compensate if impacted, verufy presence/absence of eelgrass at very low tide	No	Verify presence/ absence of eelgrass & clam beds at very low tide
16	No	Eelgrass absent (probably miss- classified from Borstad)	No	Blank	Med	Straight shoreline with 6 veg codes, patchy salt marsh	Med	No eel grass found, possibility of clam beds, perched marsh grasses	Low	100% of backsh ore modified by landfill, 50% riprap	N/A	Blank	Yel	Need to verify presence of clam beds, compensate if impacted, absence of eelgrass	No	Verify presence of clam beds
17	No	No eelgrass seen	No	Blank	Med	Sandy Delta form, 6 veg codes	High	Clam beds were present, kelp beds but no eelgrass seen	Low	100% of backsh ore modifi ed by landfill	N/A	Blank	Red	Verified clam bed but no eelgrass seen	No	
18	No	Canopy Kelp, polygon done	No	Blank	Med	Straight shoreline, 6 veg codes	Med	Intertidal clam bed confirmed, Moderate amounts of eelgrass, perched marsh grasses	Low	100% of backsh ore modifi ed by landfill.	N/A	Blank	Yel	Polygon of eelgrass made, clam surveys done, compensate if impacted. Avoid eelgrass areas	No	
19	No	Blank	No	Blank	Low	No veg code	Low	Blank	High	100% Intertid al zone is riprap	N/A	Blank	Gree n	Blank	No	

20	No	Blank	No	Blank	Low	1 veg code	Low	Blank	High	100% steel bulkhe ad wharf	Low	Potential to add habitat complexity into bulkhead structure	Gree n	Blank	No	
21	No	Patchy marsh grass	No	Blank	Med	Sand flat embayment formed by riprap embankments, 5 veg codes, high IT marsh grasses	High	IT butter clams, remnant snd/gravel beach with developed waterfront, juvenile herring in spring and summer	Low	100% of backsh ore modifi ed by landfill and riprap	Low	Beach cleanup, restoration of marsh grasses in freshwater run off area	Red	Important remnant sand/gravel drying bay along an otherwise developed section of shoreline. Intertidal marshes with FW input, clam beds	No	
22	No	Blank	No	Blank	Low	3 veg codes, only shoreline complexity results from wharf, piling and trestle structure	Low	Need to confirm any eelgrass	High	Landfill , , wharf, pile, and trestle structu res	UNK	Fire at fish plant in 2005/ Drydock at low water mark - possibilty of removing it	Gree n	Eelgrass was not found to be present	No	Verify eelgrass
23	No	Blank	No	Blank	Low	6 veg codes	Low	No eelgrass seen/ good kelp bed	High	Landfill , rocky intertid	UNK	Blank	Gree n	Blank	No	
24	No	Some canopy Kelp, about 30 plants	No	Blank	Low	1 veg code, shoreline complexity results from CN trestle at Pilsbury Pt. Some sand/gravel bars at lower tide level	Low	Blank	High	100% fill with rip rap	UNK	Blank	Gree n	Blank	No	
25														<null></null>		
25																
25																

26	No	Blank	No	Blank	Low	3 veg codes, straight shoreline. Some Laminaria and bull Kelp at lower edge	Low	Sewage outfliow results in use by seabirds beach has been identified as low probability sandlance spawning site	High	Landfill with man made sand/g ravel beach	N/A	Historic site of Ore concentrate, possible contaminated sediments which might benefit by capping	Gree n	Blank	No	Identified as low probability for sandlance spawning habitat
27	No	Blank	No	Blank	Low	2 veg codes, straight shoreline, fringe of bull kelp	Low	Sewage outfall results in use by seabirds	High	100% landfill and rip rap - new sea wall constr ucted since last survey	N/A	Blank	Gree n	Blank	No	
28	No	Tidal bay if restored would be of values	Yes	Uncommon for developed waterfront	Low	Complexity In Cow Bay	Low		High	piles, landfile and wharve s	Med	Cowbay restoration remains to be undertaken	Gree n	May make sense to explore marine riparian restoration in bay May make sense to create new section	No	explore making into two sections
28					1											
28	No	No consitius	No	If Hove was doulighted the	Low	Currently rin ref	Low	Contains antorones in	High	Landfil	High	Vacuus restaration site. Also	Cros	Consider areating to	No	Makainta
29	No	No sensitive habitat with out restoration	No	If Hays was daylighted then would be high value salmon habitat	Low	Currently rip raf	Low	Contains enterance in salmon creek but is currently culverted	High	Landfil and toxic site over former creek estuary	High	Known restoration site. Also site of potential liquid waste management	Gree n	Consider creating two separate section	No	Make into two sections, gather research on Hays creek section and attach to section

30	No	Blank	No	Blank	Low	4 veg codes, low degree of shoreline complexity	Low	Blank	High	Landfill , numer ous piles suppor ting structu res	N/A	Blank	Gree n	Blank	No	
31	No	Blank	No	Bedrock cliff is uncommon in the harbour area but not considered a reason to evoke rating	Low	Slim band of fucus	Low	Blank	Low	Landfill and railbed in backsh ore	Low	Looked at as recreation trail, upper slop is eroding	Gree n	Blank	No	
32	No	No eelgrass	No	Blank	Med	5 veg codes, complex shoreline, including small bay	Low	Complex shoreline, patchy eelgrass, canopy kelps - New development limiting eelgrass	High	Landfill with rip rap shoreli ne, pile structu res in Hayes Cove	N/A	Blank	Gree n	No eelgrass found, kelp still there recent development	Yes	New build up. Conduct monitoring to understand impacts

33	No	Eelgrass in inner lagoon area of Seal Cove	No	Blank	Med	6 veg codes, convoluted rip rap shoreline, very protected inner lagoon with wildlife values	Med	Moderately complex shoreline, eelgrass patches, inner lagoon is valuable for shorebirds	High	Landfill and rip rap shoreli ne, rip rap causew ay, formin g inner lagoon	UNK	Restore fish access to stream entering the lagoon maybe viable section of spawning in lower reaches, low slope with proximity of the greenhouse	Yel	Devel should retain and enhance riparian structure. adjacent unpaved city yard source of sediment that should be corrected, development keeps it yel	No	
34	Yes	Blank	Yes	Strong tidal flow	Low	Convoluted shoreline but all unifrom riprap	Med	Quality kelp	High	rip rap and fill	N/A	Blank	Gree n	Development should retain existing or increase shoreline complexity. Abundance of canopy kelp needs to be maintained	No	
35	Yes	Presnece of clam beds, canopy kelp and eelgrass (one bed and some patches	No	Blank	Med	7 veg codes, only missing Marine riparian	High	Clam beds, eelgrass & kelp, possible enhancement by sediment trap	Low	100% of backsh ore modifi ed by fill	Low	Remove log structure	Red	Eelgrass extent mapped but clam bed needs mapping verification	Yes	Map extenet of clam beds
36	No	Patchy marsh grass	No	Blank	Med	Highly convoluted, mixture of rip rap and unconsolidated sediments	Med	Primarily formed of bay and intertidal beach	Med	Appoxi mately half the intertid al formed of landfill and rip rap	UNK	Blank	Yel	Retain shoreline complexity (embayment form)	No	

37	No	Patchy marsh grass	No	Rockramp uncommon in priority area but not considered reason to evoke rating	Low	Man made jetty, behind jetty is natural shoreline, uncertain regarding complexity - maybe medium? The built area has been unused for some time	Low	Blank	High	70-% of shoreli ne modifi ed. Failed to captur e rip rap jetty	Low	Possible removal of dock structures	Gree n	retain some riparian features, enhance or maintain shorline convolutions	No	Check the usage of dock and pipe outflow. Return to far east part of section
38	Yes	Eelgrass and marshgrass present	No	Blank	Med	Embayment	High	IT clam beds and eelgrass present	None	Blank	N/A	Blank	Red	Some mussels present, eelgrass and clam beds, small signs of erosion	Yes	
39	No	One small patch of marsh grass	No	Blank	Low	Rocky point	Low	Value provided by shoreline form	None	Blank	N/A	Blank	Gree n	Development should retain point form of shoreline	No	
40	No	Some sedge grass present	No	Blank	Low	Blank	Low	Blank	High	100% land fill, mostly rip rap, boat ramp	UNK	Value of rip rapped "lagoon" area as fish habitat requires assesment prior to determining restiration potential	Gree n	Any further foreshore fill should consider the value of the rip rap "lagoon" as fish habitat	No	Seek permision to return to map sedge grass and any fish values
41	No	Patchy Marsh Grass	No	Blank	Med	Highly convoluted shoreline, rocky points and drying pocket bay, 4 veg codes	Med	See habitat complexity comment	None	Blank	N/A	Very limited foreshore fill opportunity as small bay and shoreline complexity should be conserved	Red	Connectivity with SU 42 is primary rationale for red rather than yellow designation	No	

42	Yes	Estuary, extensive marsh grass area	Yes	Estuary is questionable	Med	Blank	High	Estuaries are considered hiaghly valued fish habitat and marsh habitat	Low	Some rock rubble has been placed in upper intertid al as a launch ramp	UNK	Restoration iniatives should focus on estuarine function	Red	Estuary, marsh and wetland	No	
43	No		No		Low	Straigh developed shoreline	Low		High	Landfill and rip rap shoreli ne, rip rap causew ay, formin g inner lagoon	NA		Gree n	Some cobble beach on south portion, investigate for species use	No	
44	No	Blank	Med	Sand/gravel flat, broad point form	High	Remnant functional intertidal foraging habitat between two laregly degraded habitats, Fucus on cobble and lower rip rap	Low	Backshore fill, loss of riparain vegetation	Low	Backsh ore fill, loss of riparia n veg	Low	Lower priority - restore riparian vegetation and other measures to reduce organic input from log sort activity	Red	This unit would normally be coded yellow but importance as remnant habitat requires red coding	No	Return to map Salt Marsh and possibly clam beds

45	No	Blank	No	Blank	Low	Ruler straight shoreline	Low	Blank	High	of original intertid al sand/g ravel flat modified to straigh triprap shoreli ne	High	Enhance estuary value of the Northern stream embarkment. Possibly by planting riparian veg, widening or broaching in places, marsh or eelgrass benches	Gree n	Any additional fill should be placed in a way which increases the current degredaded shoreline complexity	No	Requires more detailed assesment and montioring as section sees more use
46	Yes	Continuous marsh grass fringe	Yes	Butze rapids, tidal rapids	High	6 veg codes, rocky reefs and islets, complex shoreline	High	Shoreline complexity, tidal currents and eddies, fish bearing (CT) stream at North end of unit (in bay), canopy kelps and fringing marsh grasses	None	Rip rap and fill emban kment at south end of SU 45 forms a stream channe	UNK	See comment on SU 45 which may have implications for the North end of this SU	Red	See fisheries resource comments, backshore is designated for recreational (park) use by OCP, high wildlife values	No	
47	Yes	Continuous fringing marsh	No	Blank	High	Mixed mudflat, rock points, eelgrass beds and marsh grass	Med	Fringinf marsh, diversity of substarte, eelgrass and clam beds present	Low	Low impact	N/A	Blank	Red	Backshore area with recognized environmental and heritage designation by draft OCP	No	

48	Yes	No mrash grass - rock face (see photo)	Yes	The eelgrass bed is uncommon and mudflat type with all three ecosystems	Med	Wide intertidal zone, rocky outcrops, diverse substrate types	High	eelgrass confirmed	None	Blank	N/A	Blank	Red	Connectivity with SU 47-50, broag flat IT area, eelgrass beds	No	
49	Yes	Extensive fringing marsh grasses in Grassy bay also fringe of eelgrass	No	Blank	Med	Wide IT zone, rocky outcrops, diverse subsrate types, eelgrass bed	High	Fringing marsh areas, complex sand, boulder flats with extensive Fucus, eelgrass confirmed	None	Blank	N/A	Blank	Red	Fringing marsh grasses, eelgrass, recreational importance of Grassy Bay (OCP), wildlife values	No	Polygon of SM made and waypoint of erosion
50	Yes	Extensive fringing marsh grass	No	Blank	High	Wide intertidal zone, rocky outcrops, diverse substrate types	Med	Fringing marsh areas, complex sand, coulder flats with extensive Fucus, eelgrass confirmed	None	Blank	N/A	Blank	Red	Fringing marsh grasses, recreational value of Grassy Bay (OCP), wildlife values, also eelgrass present	No	2 creeks outflow - check if salmon or fish bearing
51	No	Patchy marsh grasses	No	Blank	Med	Straight shoreline, rocky outcrops, 5 veg types, laminaria in ST	Med	Blank	None	Blank	N/A	Blank	Yel	Large area of IT Fucus	No	
52	Yes	Continuous fringing marsh grasses, wetland and presence off eelgrass	No	Blank	High	Bay, freshwater stream, sand flat, rocky outcrops, eelgrass bed	None	Blank	N/A	Blank	N/A	Blank	Red	See habitat complexity comment	No	

53	No	Blank	No	Blank	Low	Straight shoreline, rock face, 3 veg types	Low	Blank	None	Blank	N/A	Blank	Gree n	Adjacent to red coded areas; eelgrass patch immediately adjacent in 54	No	
54	Yes	continuous fringing marsh grass	No	Blank	High	Islets, rock outcrops, boulder to sand beach	High	Fringing marsh areas, complax sand, boulder flats with extensive fucus. entire unit large eelgrass bed & clam bed potential	None	Blank	N/A	Blank	Red	See complexity comment	No	verify eelgrass bed on edge of West side with Aerial map
55	No	Small patchy marsh grass	No	Blank	Low	3 veg codes	Low	straight shoreline, rock & boulders	None	Blank	N/A	Blank	Gree n	Adjacent to SU 54 coded red	No	
56	Yes	Continuous marsh grass	No	Blank	High	small eelgrass bed, continuous marsh grass	Med	presence of small eelgrass bed	None	Blank	N/A	Blank	Yel	eelgrass bed <100m squared verified	No	
57	Yes	Shallow bay with small creek	No		Med	potential clam, marsh grass and 5 vegs	Med		None		NA		Yello w	This unit would normally be coded green but unless broken into two sections should be recoded because of value of bay	Yes	Confirm clam break into two sections
58	Yes	creek delta, patchy marsh grass	No	Blank	Med	Creek outwash fan, patchy marsh grasses, rocky upper IT zone	High	Blank	Med	Used by 2 float houses	UNK	Status of fish habitat unknown	Red	Conserve integrity of creek delta	No	Confirm if the creek is important juvenile slamon rearing area

59	Yes	Eelgrass at head of unit	No	Blank	Med	Patchy marsh grass, 5 veg codes, bay, eelgrass bed present	High	bay form and adjacent creek delta, possible juvebile slamon rearing in spring/early summer	Med	small drain pipe, barge, powerli ne	N/A	Blank	Red	Connectivity to SU 58, high value due to juvenile slamon rearing	No	Need pictures of Modifications (powerline)
60	No	Patchy marsh grass over about 60% of shore length, some eelgrass	No	Blank	High	5 veg codes, moserate shoreline complexity, near SU 61	High	near SU 61	Low	Log structu re	N/A	Blank	Yel	Conserve majority of fringing marsh grass	No	Consider splitting into 3 subunits
61	Yes	Continuous marsh grass	No	Blank	Med	Pocket Bay	Med	Blank	None	Blank	N/A	Blank	Red	Blank	No	
62	No	Blank	No	Blank	Low	Rock ramp with bridge abutment	Low	Blank	Low	Rock ramp with bridge abutm ent	N/A	Blank	Gree n	any modification to shoreline must consider potential impact to flow and current regime in Galloway rapids	No	
63	No	Patchy eelgrass & marsh grass & small patch of laminaria	No	Blank	High	Rocky shoreline, islands, mudflats, high flow	High	Presence of eelgrass, clam beds, mussels	Low	landfill and riprap in backsh ore for road bed	N/A	Blank	Yel	Blank	No	
64	Yes	fringing marsh grass, fish bearing stream, extensive sand/mudflat s	No	Blank	Med	Blank	High	Mudflat, fishbearing stream, eelgrass present and mapped	Med	Extensi ve backsh ore work done since Borsta d photos	Med	Additional sediment control work	Red	Largely impacted	No	Large musflat not captured due to tide height

65	Med	Patchy but relatively extensive marsh grass, some small eelgrass patches (about 3 meters wide)	No	Blank	Med	Blank	Med	Blank	None	Blank	N/A	Blank	Yel	Part of large mud/sand flat complex with sensitive upland values, avoid impacts to major marsh grass areas	No	
66	Yes	Thin band of marsh grass, fringing eelgrass patches, thin fucus band	No	Blank	Med	Blank	Med	Blank	None	Blank	N/A	Blank	Red	Part of large mud/sand flat comple with sensitive upland values	No	
67	Yes	Continuous fringing marsh grasses, sensitive upland value, eelgrass patches	No	Blank	High	addition of eelgrass, slatmarsh, mudflat	High	presence of eelgrass and adjacent to unit 68 coded red	None	Blank	N/A	Blank	Red	Part of large mud/sand flat complex with sensitive upland values. presence of eelgrass & upland contains runoff and settling pond for city landfill	No	
68	Yes	Very large mudflat, continous fringing marsh grass, fish bearing stream	No	Blank	Med	Blank	High	Extensive fringing marsh grasses, fish bearing stream	Low	Backsh ore	N/A	Blank	Red	Upland area is landfill, check status with 2010 OCP	No	
69	Yes	Mudflats & continuous marsh grass	Yes	Tidal Rapids	High	Complex shoreline, islets, diverse current regime	High	Blank	None	Power lines travers e unit & bog	N/A	Blank	Red	Blank	No	different shoreline types may want to break into 2 sections, no clear guidance on value of

																mussle beds
70	Yes	Lagoon is used by waterfowl	No		Medi ium	Large mud flat and backshore lagoon with sedgegrass	Med	sedge grass and lagoon	High	Rip Rap and train track cuting off/cre ating lagoon	NA		Yello w	More research is needed on lagoon	No	further research on Lagoon is needed including bird surveys Also work is underway that is developing shoreline
71	No	Mudflat and rock, fringing salt marsh	No	Blank	Med	Blank	Med	Possible eelgrass at start of 71	Low	New develo pment	N/A	Blank	Yello w		No	Return at lower tide to verify eelgrass
72	No	No eelgrass seen despite being an appropriate habitat	No	Blank	Med	3 veg codes, rock ramp, straight shoreline with small bays and continuous fringing marsh grass, bull kelp cover at South portion, shallow sub tidal	Med	Blank	None	Blank	N/A	Blank	Yel		No	
73	No	Muddy bay with landfill and rockramp sides, patchy eelgrass (<2% area), boulder fill with fucus	No	Blank	Low	Blank	Low	Verified eelgrass	Med	Landfill in upper IT	UNK	Possibillity of restoring muskeg dump to brackish lagoon	Gree n		No	

74	No	Eelgrass beds > 100m2, mixed deciduous, riparian	No	Blank	High	Uneven rock and boulder shore with small mudflats, including eelgrass beds, multiple creeks	Med	5 veg codes, eelgrass beds, small creek at second bay, patchy kelp canopy	No	Blank	N/A	Blank	Red	Multiple eelgrass beds along this section, some clam and high complexity of shoreline	Yes	Multiple patches of eelgrass, and some clam beds. Alternative would be to split into smaller units
75																
76																
77	No	Patchy marsh grass	No	Blank	Med	Complex shoreline, rock ramp and sand beach	Med	Fringing canopy kelps	None	Blank	N/A	Blank	Yel	Conserve elements of shoreline complexity, including rock/sand mix	No	
79	Yes	Patches of eelgrass	No	Blank	High	Mud flat, kelp, eelgrass, clam bed	High	Blank	None	Blank	N/A	Blank	Red	Multiple small eelgrass beds along this section, some clam and high complexity of shoreline	Yes	Presence of eelgrass and location next to Flora Banks
80	No	Some eelgrass & canopy kelp	No	Blank	Med	Mix of rock ramp and sand beach	Med	Blank	None	Blank	N/A	Blank	Yel	Conserve elements of shoreline complexity, including rock/sand mix	No	
81	No	Small patches of mrash grass at head of cove	No	Blank	Med	Sandfalt in cove	Med	Blank	None	Blank	N/A	Blank	Yel	Conserve marsh grass at head of cove	No	
82	No	Patchy marsh grass along about 60% of shore unit length	No	Blank	Med	Complex rocky point, current mixes	Med	Blank	None	Blank	UNK	Blank	Yel	Any development on this shore unit likely constrained by navigational concerns	No	

83	Yes	Continuous marsh grass	No	Blank	Low	Mudflat and fringing marsh grasses	Value as juvenile fish passage unknown, wilflife values, fringing marsh grasses	None	Blank	UNK	SU 83-89, 90 could be habitat banking candidates (e.g. extending marsh areas into mudflat) to compnesate for loss of marsh grasses elsewhere	Yel	Development possible if impacts to marsh grasses are avoided. This area and North Wainwright Basin are the largest mudflats in the priority area	No	
85	.,		<b>.</b>			20 15 1			DI 1		SU 92 92 92 111		5.1	.,	61 1 6:1
86	Yes	Continuous marsh grasses	No	Blank	Low	Mudflat and fringing marsh grasses	Thin eelgrass patch, value as juvenile fish passage unknown, wildlife values,	None	Blank	UNK	SU 83-89, 90 could be habitat banking candidates (e.g. extending marsh areas into mudflat) to compnesate	Red	Eelgrass and Marshgrass part of largest mud flat in region.	Yes	Check on fish passage and use of mud flat
							fringing marsh grasses				for loss of marsh grasses elsewhere		region.		
87											for loss of marsh grasses		region.		
87 88 89											for loss of marsh grasses		region.		

90	Yes	Marsh grass and eelgrass	Yes	Very large mud flat	Low	Mostly mud and clay some fine sediment at southern edge	High	possible fish passage, marsh grass and eelgrass	Low	some historic al piling, impact from rail on back shore and one private home	UNK	possible reconnection of small lagoon	Red	Eelgrass and possible fish passage make high value area.	Yes	Check possible wildlife use. River otters, Black bear and assortment birds seen in area
91	No	Blank	No	Blank	Low	3 veg codes, staright shoreline	Low	Blank	Low	Backsh ore landfill (<50% of shoreli ne) for railroa	UNK	Blank	Gree n	Blank	No	
92	No	Blank	No	Blank	Low	Blank	Low	Piled Wharves	High	100% rip rap with piled wharve s	N/A	Blank	Gree n	Blank	No	
93	No	Blank	No	Blank	Low	Staright shoreline, combination of rip rap, bedrock outcrop and sand/gravel beach	Low	Blank	Low	Landfill and 100% rip rap in backsh ore	N/A	Blank	Gree n	Eelgrass needs to be verified and possibly upgrade to yellow	No	Presence of eelgrass needs to be confirmed
94	No	Blank	No	Blank	Low	Floating and pile supported wharves	Low	Blank	High	Landfill , floatin g and pile suppor ted wharve s	N/A	Blank	Gree n		No	

95	No	Blank	No	Blank	Low	Blank	Low	Blank	High	rip rap emban kment, causew ay fill to Watso n Island?	UNK	Potential to breach/culvert casueway to increase flushing and marine characteristics	Gree n		No	
96	No	Blank	No	Blank	Low	Blank	Low	Blank	High	rip rap and landfill	N/A	Watsoin no longer running - decay of structures	Gree n		No	
97	Yes	Extensive intermittent eelgrass patches on muddy, sandy bays, presence of clam beds	No	Blank	High	Muddy sandy bays with rocky outcrops, Sub IT and IT eelgrass, patchy kelp canopy, fringing marsh grass, 5 veg codes	High	No reason to subdivide section	Yes	Digby Island ferry termin al & Naviga tional aid at North end	N/A	Blank	Red	No need to subdivide should all be considered red	Yes	No physical features to cause split so should all be red
97														<null></null>		
98	Yes	Extensive eelgrass	No	Blank	High	7 veg codes, mudflat bay with rocky outcrops, eelgrass throughout, presence of ST eelgrass	High	Blank	Yes	Crippin Cove houses	N/A	Blank	Red	Blank	No	
99	Yes	eelgrass & clambeds	No	Blank	High	Complex shoreline, rock ramp and outcrops, sand flat	High	See habitat complexity comment	None	Blank	N/A	Blank	Red	Blank	No	
100	Yes	Clam bed North of Toby	No	Blank	High	Cumulative mix	High	Blank	Low	Blank	N/A	Blank	Red	Consider break at Toby Point as shoreline to North has clam and eelgrass beds	Yes	May want to split into Red and Yellow section as higher values north of Toby point

101	Yes	Eelgrass is dominant veg type, patchy marsh grasses	No	Blank	High	Sandflat, eelgrass, rock, ulva, cobble & sedge grass patches	High	Blank	None	Blank	N/A	Blank	Red	Large eelgrass area, rock and sandflat mix	No	
102	No	eelgrass <10% of area	No	Blank	Med	Sandflat, patchy fringing eelgrass	Med	Blank	Med	Develo ped wharfs, <50% Marine riparia n has been modifi ed	N/A	Blank	Yel	Same	No	
103	Yes	eelgrass & sediment traps	No	Blank	High	Mud/snad flat, patches marsh grass cobble shore	High	eelgrass clam	Low	old pillings and beache d barge	Low	Remove pillings and barge	Red	Hig complexity and high value habitats	No	Confirm Clam Garden polygon of eelgrass, conduct sandlance survey
104	Yes	Presence of large eelgrass beds & large kelp beds	No	Blank	High	High current, Variation in substrates, Multiple IT ecotypes (kelp, eelgrass)	High	IT clam habitat verified	None	Blank	N/A	Blank	Red	Presence of kelp, eelgrass, no fucus band	No	Brostat overestimate d the size of eel grass, needs to be corrected
106	Yes	Total eelgrass area >30% of sandflat but not continuous	No	Blank	Med	Bay with broad IT sandflat, >30% eelgrass coverage & patchy marsh grass	High	Blank	None	Blank	N/A	Blank	Red	Need to verify clam bed	No	Verify Clam bed
107	No	Eelgrass area <10% of IT area, ST eelgrass continuous with section 106	No	Blank	Med	Sand gravel flat with abandoned foreshore development, 30% backfill, old pier, boulder & cobble with mixed fucus & brown algae	Med	IT Clam beds, eelgrass adjacent to Sec. 106	Yes	Structu res & old pier	N/A	Blank	Red	Yellow on rock ramp point, otherwise section continuous with high value 106, with eelgrass throughout low IT & ST bay area	No	Not sure if should be split

										1			<null></null>		
No	Blank	No	Blank	Med	Rock ramp point form, 4 veg codes, no eelgrass in unit but close beds in adjacent units	Med	Rocky point adds complexity to shoreline	None	Blank	N/A	Blank	Yel	Avoid impacts to adjacent eelgrass, Maintain rocky point form	No	
Yes	Eelgrass prestn in small patches	No	Blank	High	7 veg codes, sand/gravel flat	High	eelgrass beds, IT clam beds	None	Blank	N/A	Blank	Red		No	
Yes	Low IT & ST eelgrass	No	Blank	Med	7 veg codes, sand/gravel flat	High	eelgrass, IT clam beds	None	Blank	N/A	Blank	Red		No	
Yes	Eelgrass in ST only, <5% of total area	No	Blank	High	7 veg codes, sand/gravel flat, rocky outcrops	High	IT Clam beds, Fucus/Brown algae on gravel / cobble substrate	Yes	Existin g compe nsation site, large boulde rs deposit ed	N/A	existing eelgrass compensation site	Red	Phillips point rock ramp coded yellow	No	may want to break out Philips point into sperate section
													<null></null>		
No	Blank	No	Blank	Med	Small island with rocky platform, surronding rocky reef, kelp canopy	High	High shoreline complexity, rocky intertidal veg, adjacent to section 119 where there is eelgrass present	None	Blank	N/A	Blank	Yel	Diverse rocky shore, patches of sand/cobble in high IT	No	
	Yes	Yes Eelgrass prestn in small patches  Yes Low IT & ST eelgrass  Yes Eelgrass in ST only, <5% of total area	Yes Eelgrass prestn in small patches  Yes Low IT & ST eelgrass  Yes Eelgrass in ST only, <5% of total area	Yes Eelgrass prestn in small patches  Yes Low IT & ST eelgrass  Yes Eelgrass in ST only, <5% of total area  Blank  Blank  Blank	Yes Eelgrass prestn in small patches  Yes Low IT & ST eelgrass  Yes Eelgrass in ST only, <5% of total area  No Blank  Blank  Med  High	Yes Eelgrass prestn in small patches  Yes Low IT & ST eelgrass in ST only, <5% of total area  No Blank  No Blank  No Blank  Med 7 veg codes, sand/gravel flat  To veg codes, sand/gravel flat, rocky outcrops	Form, 4 veg codes, no eelgrass in unit but close beds in adjacent units  Yes Eelgrass prestn in small patches  Yes Low IT & ST eelgrass  Yes Eelgrass in ST only, <5% of total area  No Blank  No Blank  No Blank  Med 7 veg codes, sand/gravel flat  Figh 7 veg codes, sand/gravel flat  Figh 7 veg codes, sand/gravel flat, rocky outcrops  High 7 veg codes, sand/gravel flat, rocky outcrops  Figh 7 veg codes, sand/gravel flat, rocky outcrops  No Blank  No Blank  No Blank  No Blank  No Blank  Med Small island with rocky platform, surronding rocky	Yes Eelgrass prestn in small patches  Yes Low IT & ST eelgrass in ST on total area  No Blank  No Blank  Med 7 veg codes, sand/gravel flat  High 7 veg codes, sand/gravel flat  Med 7 veg codes, sand/gravel flat  Frocky outcrops  High 8 eelgrass beds, IT clam beds  High 9 eelgrass, IT clam beds  Frocky outcrops  High 1 T Clam beds, Fucus/Brown algae on gravel / cobble substrate  No Blank  No Blank  No Blank  Med Small island with rocky platform, surronding rocky reef, kelp canopy  High 1 This procky outcrops  Small island with rocky platform, surronding rocky reef, kelp canopy  High 1 This procedure, short in the short in th	Form, 4 veg codes, no eelgrass in unit but close beds in adjacent units	Yes   Eelgrass   No   Blank   High   7 veg codes, sand/gravel flat   High   eelgrass beds, IT clam   beds   Blank	Yes   Eelgrass   No   Blank   High   7 veg codes, sand/gravel flat   Sand/gravel flat   Tocky outcrops   Fucus/frown algae on gravel / cobble grown   Site, large booulde is deposite ed	Ves   Eelgrass   No   Blank   High   Yeg codes, sand/gravel flat   Fucus peds   No   Blank   High   Procky outcrops   Procky outcrops	Torm, 4 veg codes, no eelgrass in unit but close beds in adjacent units	No	No Blank No Blank No Blank Med Rock ramp point from 4 veg codes, no e-elyras in unit but diseb eds in adjacent units adjacent process. Maintain rocky polint form  Yes Eelgrass No Blank Med 7 veg codes, sand/gravel flat rocky outcrops where there is shown also are recompletely to shoreline where there is shown adjacent process. Maintain rocky polint form  No Blank No Blank Red No. No Blank Red No. No Blank No. Blank Red No. No Blank Red No. No Blank No. Blank Red No. No Blank Red No. No Blank No. Blank Red No. No Blank No. Blank Red No. No Blank Red No. No Blank No. Blank Red No. No Blank Red No. No Blank No. Blank Red No. No Blank Red No. No Blank No. Blank No. Blank Red No. No Blank No. Blank Red No. No Blank No. Blank Red No. No Blank No. Blank No. Blank Red No. No Blank No. Bla

119	No	>90% rocky substrate	No	Blank	Med	Rock platform, islets & reef outcrops, patchy gravel, > 100 m2 eelgrass bed confirmed	High	Degree of shoreline complexity, rocky intertidal veg, kelp cover, lamanaria, ulva, fucus, eelgrass bed confirmed	None	Blank	N/A	Blank	Red	Diverse rocky shore, a few patches of sand & cobble, presence of extensive eelgrass, fringing kelp	Yes	Presence of extensive eelgrass and fringing kelp
120	No	Blank	No	Rocky islet, extensive laminaria surronded by kelp	Low	IT rock reef, surronding kelp & heavy algae throughout	Med	Connectivity to section 118 & 119 makes it part of a highly complex, productive islet with eelgrass, kelp, riparian, patchy cobble/sand	None	Blank	N/A	Blank	Red	Red for whole island, very healthy and abundant kelp & brown algaes	Yes	Part of higly poductive islet with kelp and eelgrass habitats
121	No	Blank	No	Blank	Low	IT rock reefs, heavy kelp canopy surrounding	Med	Connectivity to section 118 & 119	None	Blank	N/A	Blank	Red	Red for whole island, very healthy and abundant kelp & brown algaes	Yes	Part of higly poductive islet with kelp and eelgrass habitats
122	No	50% of shoreline fringing marsh grass	No	Blank	Med	Cobble/boulder flat, intermittant marshes, also contains small island	Med	Blank	Med	Backsh ore mosifie d with landfill	N/A	Look into studies demonstrating recovery of area previously impacted by pulpmill	Yel	Conserve marsh grasses, large IT flat, follow up on previous comment regarding the recovery of area previously impacted by pulpmill	No	2 22 22

123	No	Primarily mudflats, patchy marsh grass, largest areas at mouth of Wolfe Creek (opposite shore)	Yes	Physically classified as estuary habitat, Wolfe Creek on opposite shore is a Coho & Pink salmon bearing stream	Med	Mudflats, marsh grasses. Borstad veg. classification reports low density IT veg	High	Presence of fish in estuary	Med	Upper IT modifi ed by riprap, backsh ore modifi ed by landfill	High	Historic (to 1978) effluent discharge area for Kraft Mill. Potential to enhance juv. Salmon rearing through eelgrass, marsh planting	Yel	Likely degraded from historical chemical & organic inputs. Should be given restoration priority	No	Increasing tidal exchange should be investigated
124	No	Blank	Yes	Reversing tidal rapids	Med	Rock & boulder outcropping with small bay	Med	Rock, boulder & mudflat substrate. Mostly fucus, some marsh grass	Yes	Backsh ore harden ed across 50% & pipe outflo w from old mill	N/A	Runoff to bay from pulp mill wood fibre storage, historical contamination & leachate. Potential restoration related to runoff control	Red	Red for whole island, very healthy and abundant kelp & brown algaes	Yes	Research recovery of this site over the past 10 years
125	Low	Blank	Yes	Reversing tidal rapids	Med	Rockramp, tidepools, fucus, brown algae, high tidal flow	Med	Blank	No	Blank	N/A	Blank	Yel	High tidal flow area	Yes	
126	No	Blank	Yes	Estuary type habitat	Low	Mudflat, hard shore,, stream, some marsh grass	Med	some birds, possibly high value - check stream for salmon	High	100% foresh ore/exi t constri	N/A	remove constriction at entrance	Yel	Blank	No	