Property of the Bulkley-Morice Watershed Library

ENVIRONMENTAL STUDIES ASSOCIATED WITH THE PROPOSED KEMANO COMPLETION HYDROELECTRIC DEVELOPMENT

VOLUME 4

FISH RESOURCES OF THE MORICE RIVER SYSTEM BASELINE INFORMATION

Sections BTC

Prepared for:

ALUMINUM COMPANY OF CANADA, LTD #400 - 1285 West Pender Street Vancouver, B.C. V6E 4BI

Prepared by:

ENVIROCON LIMITED #300 - 475 West Georgia Street Vancouver, B.C. V6B 4M9

Telephone: (604) 687-7588 Telex: 04-53476

January 1984

333.91/E61K 1984 1

SECTION B

DISTRIBUTION AND ABUNDANCE OF JUVENILE SALMONIDS IN THE MORICE AND BULKLEY RIVERS DURING LATE OCTOBER/EARLY NOVEMBER 1981

TABLE OF CONTENTS

			Page	
LIST LIST	OF TABLE OF FIGURI	S ES	216 216	
1.0	INTRODU	CTION	217	
2.0	METHODS		218	
3.0	RESULTS		220	
4.0	DISCUSSION			
REFE	ERENCES		228	
APP	ENDIX BI:	Catches, Population Estimates and Distribution of Juvenile Steelhead Trout, and Coho and Chinook Salmon in the Morice/Bulkley Rivers, October – November 1981	229	
APPENDIX B2:		Summary of Mean Fork Lengths of Juvenile Steelhead Trout, and Coho and Chinook Salmon Captured in the Morice/Bulkley Rivers During November 1979 and 1981	239	

Volume 4/Section B

envirocon -

333.91

E61K V.4 1984

C.1

SECTION C

JUVENILE SALMONID OVERWINTER SURVIVAL IN SELECTED SIDE CHANNELS OF THE MORICE RIVER DURING 1981-1982

TABLE OF CONTENTS

.

LIST LIST LIST	OF T OF F OF F	ABLES IGURES LATES	242 242 242 242			
1.0	INT	INTRODUCTION				
2.0	STU	DY AREA DESCRIPTION	244			
3.0	METHODS					
4.0	RES	ULTS	254			
	4.1 4.2 4.3	Movement In and Out of Side Channels Population Estimates and Overwinter Survival Water Quality	254 254 258			
5.0	DISCUSSION					
6.0	0 SUMMARY					
REF	EREN	ICES	265			
APP	ENDI	X C1: Method of Calculating 95% Confidence Intervals for Population Estimates from Multiple-Pass Electrofishing	267			
APP	ENDI	X C2: Daily Fish Migrations in Morice River Side Channels,	269			
APP	ENDI:	X C3: Multiple-Pass and Mark-Recapture Electrofishing in Morice River Side Channels in October 1981 and April 1982	277			
APP	ENDI	X C4: Population Estimates for Juvenile Salmonids in Morice Biver Side Channels for October 1981 and April 1982	283			
APP	ENDI	X C5: Dissolved Oxygen Content and Water Quality in Morice River Main and Side Channels During Winter 1982	289			

Volume 4/Section C

Ī

I

T

I

Ĩ

SECTION B

DISTRIBUTION AND ABUNDANCE OF JUVENILE SALMONIDS IN THE MORICE AND BULKLEY RIVERS DURING LATE OCTOBER/EARLY NOVEMBER 1981

TABLE OF CONTENTS

			Page	
LIST LIST	OF TABLE OF FIGURI	S ES .	216 216	
1.0	INTRODU	CTION	217	
2.0	METHODS		218	
3.0	RESULTS		220	
4.0	DISCUSSION			
REFI	ERENCES		228	
APP	ENDIX BI:	Catches, Population Estimates and Distribution of Juvenile Steelhead Trout, and Coho and Chinook Salmon in the Morice/Bulkley Rivers, October – November 1981	229	
APPENDIX B2:		Summary of Mean Fork Lengths of Juvenile Steelhead Trout, and Coho and Chinook Salmon Captured in the Morice/Bulkley Rivers During November 1979 and 1981	239	

Volume 4/Section B

215

.

LIST OF TABLES

tere in particular

and a

L

 \mathbf{I}

.

		Page
3.1	Summary of Electrofishing Catches in Reaches 1–6 of the Morice/Bulkley Rivers During Late October – Early November 1981	221
3.2	Densities of Juvenile Salmonids in Reaches 1–6 of the Morice/Bulkley Rivers During Late October – Early November 1981	222
3.3	Abundance of Juvenile Salmonids in Reaches 1–6 of the Morice/Bulkley Rivers During Late October – Early November 1981	223

LIST OF FIGURES

2.1	Fish Sampling Sites in the Morice River System October – November 1981	219
4.1	Comparison of Juvenile Salmonid Distribution in the	226

I Comparison of Juvenile Salmonid Distribution in the Morice/Bulkley Rivers, late September – November 1979 and October 1981

I.0 INTRODUCTION

This study was conducted during late October - early November 1981 to supplement data collected in 1979 describing the abundance and relative distribution of juvenile salmonids in the Morice/Bulkley Rivers. Emphasis was placed on sampling side channel habitat in Reach 2 and main channel sites in Reaches 1 through 6.

Earlier studies of the distribution and abundance of juvenile salmonids in the Morice River during 1979 identified Reach 2 as important rearing habitat for juvenile salmonids prior to overwintering (Section A). During 1979, coho salmon juveniles showed a strong year-round preference for side channels offering low velocities and instream cover such as debris and vegetation. Chinook salmon juveniles were distributed throughout main and side channel habitats by the fall and early winter of 1979. Steelhead trout fry and parr showed similar utilization of side and main channel locations, suggesting a widespread distribution in the various channel types throughout the year. More recent studies (1981-1982) have indicated that low winter flows play a significant role in limiting production of juvenile salmonids in side channel habitats of the upper Morice River (Section C). Since a high percentage of adult steelhead trout and coho and chinook salmon returning to the Morice River overwinter in freshwater as juveniles (Whately et al. 1978; Shepherd 1979), an understanding of the year to year variations in relative distribution of juvenile salmonids utilizing main and side channel habitats is important to assess the potential effects of the proposed Kemano Completion flow regime on juvenile salmonid rearing within the system (Volume 19).

Volume 4/Section B

217

envirocon

2.0 METHODS

Totals of 13 main channel and 6 large side channel sites in the Morice/Bulkley Rivers from Morice Lake to Smithers (Reaches 1 to 6) were sampled during late October/early November 1981 (Figure 2.1). In addition, 4 small side channels in Reach 2 were sampled in a concurrent study (Section C) and the results of that study are included here.

Smith-Root Type VII electrofishers were used to sample fish abundance at each site and population sizes were estimated using the multiple pass removal method (DeLury 1951). To minimize fish movement out of the sampling area and to determine the area sampled, a $30m \times 2.5m$ beach seine with 6mm mesh was attached to steel rods and positioned in a semi-circle from the shore. In small side channels, stop nets at each end of the sampling site prevented fish from moving out of the area. All fish captured were enumerated by species and life stage and fork length was measured to the nearest millimeter. Habitat characteristics including type (riffle, pool, run, flat, back eddy), and area (m²) of hydraulic unit sampled, type and abundance of cover, substrate composition, and water temperature were recorded at each sampling site.

Main channel and large side channel population estimates were doubled at each site to account for both shoreline margins and expressed as fish per length of stream margin. Population estimates from small side channels were not doubled since it was assumed fish populations could utilize the entire width of the channel. Since extensive sampling of fish populations in side channel habitats was restricted to Reach 2 in this study, population estimates in side channels of other reaches were calculated based on main:side channel catch ratios from those reaches in September 1979 (Section A). For example, if coho salmon juveniles in Reach 3 had a main channel to side channel catch ratio of 1:3 in 1979, then the Reach 3 main channel catch from this study was multiplied by 3 to give the side channel coho population estimate. This extrapolation is a rough estimate and should be considered accordingly.

Volume 4/Section B



3.0 RESULTS

Of a total of 1,352 juvenile fish captured in the Morice/Bulkley Rivers during late October - early November 1981, coho salmon juveniles (0+ and 1+) comprised 39.9% (539) (Table 3.1). Steelhead trout fry (0+) and parr (1+ and greater) comprised 23.6% (319) and 5.5% (75) of the total, respectively (Table 3.1). Juvenile chinook salmon (0+) represented 11.4% (154), and prickly sculpins, Rocky Mountain whitefish, Dolly Varden char, longnose dace and Pacific lamprey comprised the remaining 19.6% (265). Generally, steelhead trout and chinook salmon juveniles were slightly smaller in November 1981 than during a similar period in 1979, while coho salmon juveniles (0+) were of a similar size (Appendix B2).

Steelhead trout fry were in highest densities in Reaches 5, 4 and 2 (Table 3.2). Weighting these data to reach length indicated that fry were most abundant in side channel and main channels of Reach 2 in the upper Morice River and in the main channel of Reaches 4 and 5 in the lower Morice/Bulkley Rivers (Table 3.3). Margin areas of both shorelines with gravel/cobble substrate were most frequently utilized by steelhead trout fry. Steelhead trout fry were probably also utilizing side channel habitats in Reaches 3, 4 and 5 although these areas were not sampled. Extrapolation from 1979 main:side channel catch ratios indicated that Reach I side channels were probably used extensively by steelhead fry (Table 3.3; see also Appendix BI, Table BI.2). Comparison of total catches corrected for channel length indicated that approximately 71% of the steelhead trout fry reared in Reaches 2 and 5 (Table 3.3).

Steelhead trout parr were in greatest densities and most abundant in main channels of Reach 5 in the lower Morice/Bulkley Rivers and in the main and side channels of Reach 2 in the upper Morice River (Tables 3.2 and 3.3). Areas with coarse substrate and abundant cover in the form of log debris were most often utilized. Comparison of total catches corrected for channel length indicated that Reaches 2 and 5 accounted for the majority (71%) of steelhead trout parr (Table 3.3; Appendix B1, Table B1.3). More recent studies conducted in the Morice River main channel indicated that steelhead trout parr catches increased progressively from the upper river to the lower river, and that areas of the main channel offering gravel/cobble substrate and overhanging vegetation or log debris were most often used (Section F).

Coho salmon juveniles were in highest densities and most abundant in main and side channels of Reach 2 in the upper Morice River (Tables 3.2 and 3.3). Side channel areas offering deep pools, gravel-cobble substrate and abundant log debris or overhanging vegetation were heavily utilized by coho juveniles. Main channel areas most often

envirocon

Volume 4/Section B

TABLE 3.1 Summary of Electrofishing Catches in Reaches 1 – 6 of the Morice/Bulkley Rivers During Late October – Early November 1981

Spe			
Common Name	Scientific Name	Numbers <u>Captured</u>	Percent of Total Species <u>Captured</u>
Steelhead trout fry	Salmo gairdneri	319	23.6
Steelhead trout parr	<u>Salmo gairdneri</u>	75	5.5
Coho Salmon	Oncorhynchus kisutch	539	• 39.9
Chinook Salmon	Oncorhynchus tshawytscha	154	11.4
Dolly Varden char	Salvelinus malma	I	0.1
Rocky Mountain whitefish	Prosopium williamsoni	108	8.0
Longnose dace	Rhinichthys cataractae	13	1.0
Prickly sculpin	Cottus asper	. 3	0.2
Pacific lamprey	Lampetra tridentata	140	10.3
		1,352	100

Volume 4/Section B

1

L.,...

TABLE 3.2	
Densities of Juvenile Salmonids in Reaches 1 – 6 of the	1
Morice/Bulkley Rivers During Late October - Early November	1981.

					<u> </u>	y (Fish/km)	
	Changel ²	Shoreline	Area	Steelhe	ad Trout	Coho	Chinook
Reach	Туре	Sampled	Sampled	Fry	Parr	Salmon Fry	Salmon Fry
		(m)	(m ²)				
I	Μ	32	97	187	0	187	0
2	M	64 66	291 404	1219 1030	562 91	1 594 1 4 8 5	2125 424
	SS	1305	15000	814	231	1397	213
3	Μ	24	78	833	83	0	417
4	Μ	27	91	2148	296	148	1333
5	Μ	27	142	3481	593	74	1704
6	M LS	20 34	95 56	600 59	100 176	0	400 647

I Based on population estimates doubled to include both margins for mainstem and large side channel sites

2 M = Main Channel

LS = Large Side Channels

SS = Small Side Channels

3 From Section C. Population estimates were extrapolated from the area sampled to the total area sectioned off by fences in all study side channels. Population estimates were then converted to fish/km based on shoreline distance between fences in all study side channels. Note: Shoreline margin and area sampled are total distance and area between fences and not that sampled

Volume 4/Section B

TABLE 3.3

.

Abundance of Juvenile Salmonids in Reaches 1 - 6 of the Morice/Bulkley Rivers During Late October - Early November 1981

		Stee	elhead	Coho	Chinook
Reach	Channel <u>Type</u>	Fry <u>Numbers</u> <u>%</u>	Parr <u>Numbers</u> %	Numbers %	Numbers <u>%</u>
ł	Main Sid e	$2.9 \\ 23.6 $ 6.7	0 5.3} 6.1	2.9 16.8} 5.2	0 6.1
2	Main Side	41.2 115.9} ^{39.6}	19.0) 20.2) ^{44.8}	53.9 181.1}62.2	71.8 40.0}47.2
3	Main Side	23.2 3.2 6.6	2.3 1.1} 3.8	0 8.0} 2.1	11.6 0.7} 5.2
4	Main Side	38.9 5.2}11.1	5.4 4.9}11.7	2.7 28.1} 8.1	^{24.1} }10.2
5	Main Side	121.8 1.8}31.2	20.8 2.5}26.5	2.6) 23.4} 6.9	59.6 0.4)25.3
6	Main Side	$\binom{18.7}{0}$ 4.7	3.1 7.1	0 58.6}15.5	12.5

Population Estimate (in 1,000's) Weighted to Channel Length

I See Appendix B1, Tables B1.2 - B1.6 for calculations

Volume 4/Section B

223

•

used by coho salmon juveniles were those with low velocities and abundant log debris cover. More recent studies have indicated that pond areas adjacent to the main river in Reach 2 are also used extensively for rearing by coho juveniles (Section D). Extrapolation from 1979 main:side channel catch ratios to 1981 catches suggests that side channels of all reaches provide important rearing habitat for coho juveniles. Comparison of total catches corrected for channel length indicated that Reach 2 accounted for the majority (62%) of coho salmon juvenile rearing (Table 3.3; Appendix B1, Table B1.4).

Chinook salmon juveniles were in highest densities in the main channels of Reaches 2, 4 and 5 (Table 3.2). Margin areas of the mainstem offering large cobble/boulder substrate at the base of runs or pools were most often used by chinook salmon fry. Based on 1979 main:side channel catch ratios, side channels of Reach 1 likely provide rearing habitat for chinook juveniles. Catches weighted to reach length indicated that chinook salmon fry were most abundant in the main channel of Reaches 2 and 5 and side channels of Reach 2 (Table 3.3). Comparison of total catches corrected for channel length indicated that Reach 2 accounted for approximately 47% of the chinook salmon rearing, while Reaches 4, 5 and 6 of the lower Morice/Bulkley Rivers together comprised approximately 42% of the total (Table 3.3; Appendix B1, Table B1.5).

Ì

envirocon

4.0 DISCUSSION

Sampling efficiency during 1981 was increased by using stop nets to minimize the movement of fish out of the sampling area and by using the multiple-pass removal method of electrofishing in both main and side channel habitat. Sampling during 1981 was concentrated in main channel habitat in Reaches 1 to 6 and side channels of Reach 2, whereas 1979 sampling effort was more uniform throughout the various channels of all reaches. Although sampling effort was concentrated in different areas during 1979 and 1981, the importance of Reach 2 in the upper river for juvenile salmonid rearing prior to overwintering is readily apparent.

Most steelhead trout fry rearing occurred in the upper Morice River (Reaches 1-3) during 1979 and 1981 (Figure 4.1). Reach 2, which contains approximately 126 km of side channel habitat, accounted for a greater percentage of steelhead trout fry rearing than any other reach during both years of sampling. Both main and side channel habitats in Reach 2 were important for fry rearing. The main channel of Reach 5 in the lower Morice/Bulkley Rivers was also important for steelhead trout fry rearing during 1981 (Appendix B1, Table B1.2). Although side channel habitat in most reaches was not sampled during 1981, the concentration of steelhead trout fry rearing in the multi-channelled Reach 2 for both years indicates the importance of side channel habitat for steelhead fry rearing.

Steelhead trout parr were more evenly distributed throughout the Morice/Bulkley Rivers. However, as with steelhead fry, Reach 2 was utilized more extensively by parr than any other reach during both years of sampling (Figure 4.1). Main and side channels were important areas for steelhead parr rearing during 1979. In 1981, main channel areas had a higher abundance of steelhead than side channels. Although the area sampled in 1981 was small, this apparent shift of parr rearing into main channel habitat during 1981 may reflect a higher main channel sampling efficiency rather than a change in parr rearing habitat.

Most juvenile coho salmon rearing occurred in the approximately 126 km of side channels in Reach 2 of the upper Morice River during both years of sampling (Figure 4.1). Although side channel habitat in other reaches was not sampled (except Reach 6) during 1981, extrapolation from 1979 main channel to side channel catch ratios suggests that side channel habitat in other reaches could account for considerable additional coho rearing (Appendix B1, Table B1.4).



Chinook salmon juveniles were distributed throughout the Morice and Bulkley Rivers during both years of study (Figure 4.1). As with steelhead trout fry and parr, and coho salmon juveniles, Reach 2 accounted for the majority of chinook juveniles compared to the other reaches. Main channel habitat was utilized more than side channel habitat during 1981. The main channel of Reach 5 in the lower Morice/Bulkley Rivers also provided important rearing habitat for chinook juveniles.

227

envirocon

REFERENCES

DeLury, D.B. 1951. On the planning of experiments for the estimation of fish populations. J. Fish. Res. Bd. Can. 8(4): 281-307.

Shepherd, B.G. 1979. Salmon studies on Nanika and Morice Rivers and Morice Lake relative to the proposed Kemano II Development. Vol. 5. Dept. Fish and Oceans, Vancouver, B.C.

Whately M.R., W.E. Chudyk and M.C. Morris. 1978. Morice River steelhead trout: The 1976 and 1977 sport fishery and life history characteristics from anglers' catches. Fisheries Tech. Cir. No. 36 B.C. Fish and Wildlife Branch, Smithers, B.C.

APPENDIX BI

Catches, Population Estimates and Distribution of Juvenile Steelhead Trout, and Coho and Chinook Salmon in the Morice/Bulkley Rivers, October – November 1981

Volume 4/Appendix BI

229

d i

- envirocon -

TABLE BI.I

Summary of Population Estimates Within Area Sampled with Multiple-Pass Removal Electrofishing from the Morice/Bulkley Rivers During October-November 1981

Reach	Channel ¹ <u>Type</u>	Margin <u>Sampled</u> (m)	Area <u>Sampled</u> (m ²)	<u>Steelhead</u> <u>Fry</u>	<u>i Trout</u> <u>Parr</u>	<u>Coho</u>	Chinook
l	Μ	32	97	3 .0	31 0	3.032	0
2 3	M LS SS ² M	64 66 513 24	291 404 3,715 78	39 (.4 34 (.4) 198 (.4) 27 (.4) 10 (.1)	34) 18 (.0 94) 3 (.0 53) 50 (.0 53) 50 (.0 5) 7 (.0 8) 1 (.0	002) 51 (.175) 27) 49 (.125) 3) 1,086 (.203) 6) 1.755 (.203) 3) 0 5	68 (23-) 14 (285) 59 (216) ,4: (232) 5 (20-
4	м	27	91	29	4	2	18
5	м	27	142	47	8	I	23
6	M LS	20 34	95 56	6	1 3	0	4

Į

Į

ł

Į

I

僼

L Main Channel M =

LS = SS = Large Side Channel Small Side Channel

2 From Section C. Population estimates are for the enclosed sampling sites only

Volume 4/Appendix B1

TABLE B1.2 Numbers Continued. Possiliation Estimates and

;

T

.

T

-

. V-198000

......

i.....

1/17/10/10

l L.....

Later

Numbers Captured, Population Estimates and Distribution of Steelhead Trout Fry in Reaches 1 - 6 of the Morice/Bulkley Rivers During October - November 1981

Weighted Distribution (%) for All <u>Channets</u>	6.7	39.6	6.6		31.2	4.7		
Populatiog Estimate Per Channel <u>Length</u>	2880 23,603	41,202 115,895	23,157 3,175	38,879 5,152	121,835 1,806	18,720 0	396, 304	
Channel <u>ength (km)</u>	15.4	33.8 125.7	27.8 5.3	18.1 18.6	35.0 12.2	31.2 25.9	Total	
Fish Per km	187 2,314**	1,219 1,030 814 ⁽ 922)	833 599**	2,148 277**	3,481 148**	**0 909		
Population <u>Estimate (x2)</u>	9	78 68 1,063	20	58	- -	12 2		
Population <u>Estimate</u>	ε, ι	39 34 1,063*	01	29 -	- 	- 9		and small)
Numbers Captured	ς τι Γ	36 32 168	10	20 -	- 171	- S		ael nel ombined large
Margin <u>Sampled (M)</u>	32 0	64 66 1,305*	24 0	27 0	27 0	34 34		ain Channel rrge Side Chann nall Side Chanr de Channels (co
Habitat ¹ Type	۶۶	SS SS	۶S	۶S	۳s	W T		M = M LS = Lc SS = Sn Sia
Reach	_	7	3	4	S	é		-

Small side channel fish populations are assumed to use the entire channel width, therefore population estimates were not doubled Note:

2 Population estimates are not accurate to the nearest fish

- Extrapolated to include the entire channel length between fence traps for side channels A, B and D. Side channel C population estimates were extrapolated between the upstream and downstream sample sites
 - ** Extrapolated based on 1979 mainstem: side channel fish population ratios

Volume 4/Appendix BI

Numbers Captured, Population Estimates and Distribution of Steelhead Trout Parr in Reaches 1–6 of the Morice/Bulkley Rivers During October-November 1981 TABLE B1.3

Weighted Distribution (%) for All <u>Channels</u>	6.1	44.8	3.8	11.7	26.5	7.1	
Populatiog Estimate ² Per Channel <u>Length</u>	0 5,335	18,996 20,238	2,307 1,065	5,358 4,855	20, 755	3, 120 3, 134	87,615
Channel <u>ength (km)</u>	15.4	33.8) 125.7	27.8 5.3	18.1 18.6	35.0 12.2	31.2 25.9	Totat
Fish Per km	0 523**	562 91 231 ⁽ 161	83 201 **	296 261**	593 201**	100 121**	
Population Estimate (x2)	0 '	36 6 302	2	8 i	16	2 6	
Population. <u>Estimate</u>	0 '	18 3 302*	'	4 -	co I	- 0	
Numbers Captured	0 '	10 45	- '	4 -	80 I	- m	
Margin Sampled (M)	32 0	64 66 1,305*	24 0	27 0	27 0	8 S	
Habitat ¹ Type	۳۵	M SS	۶	۶	۶S	ΓS	
Reach		2	e	4	5	9	

- Main Channel = X
- Large Side Channel Small Side Channel LS = SS = S =
- Side Channels (combined large and small)

Small side channel fish populations are assumed to use the entire channel width, therefore population estimates were not doubled Note:

- Population estimates are not accurate to the nearest fish 2
- Extrapolated to include the entire channel length between fence traps for side channels A, B and D. Side channel C population estimates were extrapolated between the upstream and downstream sample sites
- Extrapolated based on 1979 mainstem: side channel fish population ratios *

Volume 4/Appendix BI

l

ſ

: 1------_____ ----Vores. . Statem . 1.0000 : . . -

Numbers Captured, Population Estimates and Distribution of Coho Salmon Juveniles in Reaches 1 – 6 of the Morice/Bulkley Rivers During October – November 1981 **TABLE B1.4**

ì

4

;

ł

I

Ī

I

-

Weighted Distribution (%) for All <u>Channels</u>	5.2	62.2	2.1	8.1	6.9	15.5		
Population Estimate ² Per Channel <u>Length</u>	2,880 16,759	53,877 181,134	0 8,019	2,679 28,142	2,590 23,387	0 58,586	378,053	
Channel ength (km)	15.4	33.8 11) ^{125.7}	27.8 5.3	18.1 18.6	35.0 12.2	31.2 25.9	Total	
Fish Per km	187 1,643**	1,594 1,485 1,397(14/	0 1,513**	148 1,513**	74 1,917**	0 2,262**		
Population <u>Estimate (x2)</u>	יפי	102 98 1,823	0	4	2 -	00		
Population Estimate	ε	51 49 1,823*	0 '	2 -	- 1	00		e and small)
Numbers Coptured	2	39 36 460	0 '	2	1	00		nel nel ombined larg
l Margin Sampled (M)	32 0	64 66 1,305*	24 0	27 0	27 0	20 34		lain Channel arge Side Chan mall Side Chani ide Channels (c
Habitat <u>Type</u>	۶	SS SS	۳s	۶۶	۶	۳S		M = N SS = L S = S
Reach	-	2	e	4	S	9		-

Small side channel fish populations are assumed to use the entire channel width, therefore population estimates were not doubled. Note:

Population estimates are not accurate to the nearest fish 2

- Side Extrapolated to include the entire channel length between fence traps for side channels A, B and D. channel C population estimates were extrapolated between the upstream and downstream sample sites *
- Extrapolated based on 1979 mainstem: side channel fish population ratios *

Volume 4/Appendix BI

TABLE BL.5 Numbers Captured, Population Estimates and Distribution of Chinook Salmon Juveniles in Reaches 1–6 of the Morice/Bulkley Rivers During October-November 1981

Weighted Distributior (%) for All <u>Channels</u>	6.1	47.2	5.2	10.2	25.3	6.0	
Population Estimate Per Channel <u>Length</u>	0 14,402	71,825 39,973	11,593 742	24,127 0	59,640 427	12,480	237,022
Channel ength (km)	15.4 10.2	33.8 125.7	27.8 5.3	18.1 18.6	35.0 12.2	31.2 25.9	Total
Fish Per km	0 1,412**	2,125 424 213 (318)	417 418	1,333 0**	1,704 35**	400 70**	
Population Estimate (x2)	0 '	136 28 320	01	36 -	- -	8 24	
Population Estimate	0 '	68 14 320*	· 3	8 -	23 -	4 12	
Numbers Captured	0 '	39 12 52	، <u>ۍ</u>	81	12 -	4	
Margin Sampled (M)	32 0	64 66 1,305*	24 0	27 0	27 0	20 34	
Habitat ^l <u>Type</u>	So	N SS	۶	۶	۶	۶۶	
Reach	-	2	e	4	5	9	

M = Main Channel

LS = Large Side Channel SS = Small Side Channel S = Side Channels (coml

S = Side Channels (combined large and small)

Small side channel fish populations are assumed to use the entire channel width, therefore population estimates were not doubled. Note:

2 Population estimates are not accurate to the nearest fish

Extrapolated to include the entire channel length between fence traps for side channels A, B and D. Side channel C population estimates were extrapolated between the upstream and downstream sample sites

** Extrapolated based on 1979 mainstem: side channel fish population ratios

Γ

Γ

Γ

Volume 4/Appendix B1

TABLE B1.6 Summary of Muttiple-Pass Electrofishing in the Morice/Bulktey Rivers During October - November 1981

[

ı 1 1 1 56-67 58-65 56, 62 68, 82 71-73 78, 78 65-72 70, 78 73 . . 68 . mean n length Range Chinook Salmon (mm) 71.7 1 1 63.2 61.6 68.5 . . r , . a. 000 000 2 5 7 てゅう 00 - 20 _ 000 61-89 53-73 55-74 50-72 51-75 46-70 mean length Range 68 . 1 1 1 4 4 3 S . . Coho Satmon (uuu) 71.8 64.5 67.3 1 1 57.4 62.5 60.3 1.1 1 1 1 **Species Captured** -- 0 000 000 6 B 6 000 50.0 -00 71-84 1 1 1 2 1 r . 85 . Range Parr mean (mm) 76.7 1 1 1 1 1 ı 1 1 1 1 F T 1 1 1 1 1 1 4 = 000 000 000 200 000 0 000 Steelhead 49, 53 Range 49-58 38-48 1 1 36-51 36-43 46--54 40-51 40-50 39-45 40 1 t л I 41 1 mean tength 54.5 44.2 49.7 45.0 (mm) 40.7 44.7 42.7 1 . 1.1 1 1 c ~00 NOO -00 033 ~ + 0 400 - - O Poss No. -~~ -~~ m 20 ~~ 25 Water Temp, 6.5 6.0 5.5 5.5 5.0 ı Habitat <u>Iype</u> (C⁰) Run/Flat Ftat Pool Pool Rg Run Run Length Sampled 19.6 12.5 18.5 17.5 17.5 18.3 15.8 <u></u> Channel¹ Area <u>Type Sampted</u> 28.6 68,6 105.3 92.0 179.4 14.2 45.3 (m) Σ Σ ٤ Σ ŝ ŝ ŝ Reach Site 2 0 9 ----4 ŝ 2 ~ ~ 2 2 ~ Nov. 3/81 Oct. 27/81 Oct. 28/81 Date

(Continued)

Volume 4/Appendix BI

- envirocon -

TABLE B1.6 (Continued)

	<u>ook Salmon</u> ean ig <u>th</u> Range un)	- 58 - 63, 79 - 1		- 51 - 57	, , , ,	3.7 69-77 - 67 - 71	- 69, 71	1.2 64-81 - 66, 81 - 70, 71
	E le al china	- 20	4 22 4 22	0	000	е-о-	00%	2 7 2
	ange	S''	52-92 52-73 57-69	r) (111	1 8 3	, , ,
	ho Salm mean length F (mm)		63.3 64.5 61.7			1 0 1 0	111	117
ured	Ŭ E	-00	<u>ه</u> ه 5	000	000	0000	000	000
pecies Capt	Range	1 I I	93, 123 81, 84	111	89	75 - -	80-93 73 -	+ t J
2	mean length (mm)	1 1 1			9 8 9		86.7 -	ι ή Ι
ø	-	000	- ~ ~	000	-00	-000	3 0 0	000
Steelhe	Range	37-46 32-49 42	45-52 47 44	36-45 49 2	48 62 39, 43	40-54 51	39-55 35-52 40, 41	42 43-56 49
1	Fry mean length (mm)	42.6 40.2 -	47.7	40.3 -	* (F	 	43.6 44.8 -	49.J
	-	cc -4 —	4	v − 0	~~~	v0-0	8 2 2	- m -
	Pass No.	- ~ 6	- ~ 6	-~6	-~6	-004	-96	- 4 5
	Water Tenp.	8.5	7.5	6.5		t	8	6.0
	Habitat <u>Type</u> (C ⁰)	Run	Pool	Run	Pool	Pool	Run	Run
	Length <u>Sampled</u> (m)	14.9	13.2	0.41	14.6	9.5	13.4	13.2
	Area <u>Sampled</u> (m ²)	64.9	58.8	62.3	31.4	46.5	44.8	46.2
	Channel ¹ <u>Type</u>	S	¥	¥	¥	¥	٤	¥
	Site	8	6	01	=	12	<u> </u>	7
	Reach	2	2	7	۳	m	4	4
	Date	Oct. 28/81		Oct. 29/81		Oct. 30/81	Uct. 29/81	Oct. 30/81

Volume 4/Appendix BI

(Continued)

ſ

TABLE B1.6 (Continued)

J

1

1 march

	Lor	nge	71 21 68	49 12 99-t	I	97-9 77 83	- - +1L-L
	uk Satu	~ 전 동원 @	- 63	- 64		1	
	Chinoc	mer leng		58. 58.		69.	69.
7	Ĭ						~~~
-	Coho Salmon	Ronge	ee			ιτι	
		nean ingth mm)	1.1.1			r i i	5 T I
red		리	-00	000	000	000	
ecies Captu		Range	82, 85 -	- - -	87, 155 109 -	1 T T	- 74 -
Sp	Par	nean Ength mm)		98.6 -		• • • •	
P			00%		~-0	000	0-0
Steelhed		Range	40-57 41-50	35-54 35-48 40, 41	59		38-50 45 43
	Fry	mean length (mm)	39.1 44.6 -	41.8 39.6			43.7 -
		-	830	21 8 2	-00	000	. – –
		P.dss No.	- 2 6	35-	-~6	-26	-20
		Water Temp.	6.0	ı	r	·	t
		Habitat <u>Type</u> (C ⁹)	Run	Run	Run	Run	Pool
		Length <u>Sampled</u> (m)	12.3	14.3	16.3	17.3	19.5
		Area <u>Sampled</u> (m ²)	59.3	82.3	52.9	41.8	55.8
	-	Channel ¹ <u>Type</u>	W	¥	S	S	¥
		Site	15	16	2	18	19
		Reach	5	5	. و	\$	Ŷ
		Dote	Oct. 31/81		Nav. 2/81		

M = Main channet 5 = Side channel

:

Volume 4/Appendix BI

APPENDIX B2

Summary of Mean Fork Lengths of Juvenile Steelhead Trout, and Coho and Chinook Salmon Captured in the Morice/Bulkley Rivers During November 1979 and 1981

Volume 4/Appendix B2

239

envirocon -

TABLE B2.1 Summary of Mean Fork Lengths of Juvenile Salmonids Captured in the Morice/Bulkley Rivers During November 1979 and 1981

İ

1

Species	<u>Year</u>	Sample Size	Mean <u>Fork Length</u> (mm)	Standard Deviation (mm)
Steelhead Trout Fry (0+)	1979	52	50.0	8.9
	1981	100	44.9	5.8
Steelhead Trout Parr (1+)	1979 1981	58 29	95.2 90.2	17.1
Coho Salmon Fry (0+)	1979	55	60.9	8.5
	1981	74	61.2	7.4
Chinook Salmon Juveniles	1979	54	73.8	7.6
(0+ and 1+)	1981	98	68.6	6.9

Volume 4/Appendix B2

SECTION C

JUVENILE SALMONID OVERWINTER SURVIVAL IN SELECTED SIDE CHANNELS OF THE MORICE RIVER DURING 1981-1982

TABLE OF CONTENTS

LIST LIST LIST	of t of f of p	ABLES IGURES LATES	Page 242 242 242 242
1.0	INTF	RODUCTION	243
2.0	STU	DY AREA DESCRIPTION	244
3.0	MET	HODS	251
4.0	RES	JLTS	254
	4.1 4.2 4.3	Movement In and Out of Side Channels Population Estimates and Overwinter Survival Water Quality	254 254 258
5.0	DISC	CUSSION	261
6.0	SUM	MARY	264
REFI	EREN	CES	265
APPI	ENDIX	K C1: Method of Calculating 95% Confidence Intervals for Population Estimates from Multiple-Pass Electrofishing Besults	267
APPI	ENDI	C2: Daily Fish Migrations in Morice River Side Channels,	269
APPI	ENDIX	C3: Multiple-Pass and Mark-Recapture Electrofishing in Morice River Side Channels in October 1981 and April 1982	277
APPI	ENDIX	C C4: Population Estimates for Juvenile Salmonids in Morice River Side Channels for October 1981 and April 1982	283
APP	ENDIX	C C5: Dissolved Oxygen Content and Water Quality in Morice River Main and Side Channels During Winter 1982	289

Volume 4/Section C

I

Ĩ

LIST OF TABLES

		<u>Page</u>
2.1	Physical Characteristics of Selected Side Channels in Reach 2 of the Morice River During October 1981 and April 1982	249
4.1	Summary of Net Change in Fish Movements from Selected Side Channels of the Morice River During October – December 1981	255
4.2	Population Estimates of Juvenile Salmonids in Selected Side Channels of the Morice River in October 1981	. 257
4.3	Summary of Changes in Wetted Area, Fish Densities and Juvenile Salmonid Overwinter Survival Estimates in Selected Side Channels of the Morice River During 1981–82	259

LIST OF FIGURES

2.1	Side Channel Study Sites in Reach 2 of the Morice River During 1981–82	245
2.2	Study Side Channels "A" and "B" of the Morice River	246
2.3	Study Side Channel "C" of the Morice River	247
2.4	Study Side Channel "D" of the Morice River	248

LIST OF PLATES

I	1 Fence traps equipped with live boxes in study side channels of the Morice River during October, 1981				
2	Charles Charles I. D. alter the addition of the set of	``			

2 Study Side Channel D showing the additional channel (arrow) 256 created during mid-November, 1981 flow increases

.

— enviracon —

I.0 INTRODUCTION

Most studies of the relationship between discharge and juvenile salmonid rearing have stressed the importance of low summer flows in limiting fish populations (Burns 1971; Shepherd 1979). Observations during field studies conducted on the Morice River in 1979 suggest that low winter flows might be a major factor limiting juvenile salmonid (chinook and coho salmon, and steelhead trout) production (Section A). As flows decline during the late fall-early winter period in the Morice system, side channels become isolated from the mainstem flows. Fish must either move out of these channels or be confined to side channel habitats which may dewater or freeze as flows decline during the winter. Stranded juveniles were found dead in dried channels in April 1979 and in frozen side channel pools in November 1979 (Section A). Mason (1974) has also suggested that winter habitat availability and winter mortality can limit the production of coho smolts in coastal streams.

A program of field studies undertaken jointly by Envirocon Limited and the Department of Fisheries and Oceans was conducted in the late fall 1981 and the early spring 1982 to determine:

- the importance of side channel habitats to juvenile salmonid rearing during the late fall period;
- (2) whether juvenile salmonids migrate from side channel locations as flows decline during late fall; and
- (3) overwinter survival of juvenile salmonids in representative side channel
 habitats under winter low flow conditions.

2.0 STUDY AREA DESCRIPTION

The study area included four side channels in Reach 2 of the Morice River from just upstream of Lamprey Creek to Fenton Creek (Figure 2.1). Side channels were selected to represent a range of conditions with respect to flow and cover type and abundance. Side channel selection was also governed by winter access and suitability for constructing and maintaining upstream and downstream fences on the channels. Site suitability for sampling by electrofishing was another consideration.

Side Channel A, located approximately 1.0 km upstream of Lamprey Creek, was the smallest flowing channel examined, with 2,200 m² of wetted area in October 1981 (Figure 2.2, Table 2.1). This channel is characterized by primarily riffle and pool hydraulic units with substrate comprising mainly gravel. Channel banks were unstable, with little overhanging vegetation, although log jams and some undercut bank area provided cover for rearing juvenile salmonids. By early April 1982, flows had ceased in Side Channel A and only three isolated pools totalling 20 m² (1% of October area) of wetted area remained (Table 2.1, Figure 2.2).

Side Channel B, situated parallel to Side Channel A, was the largest channel studied, with $8,600 \text{ m}^2$ of wetted area in October 1981 (Figure 2.2, Table 2.1). Hydraulic units were generally riffle and pool with some run and flat areas. Substrate was mainly gravel and cobble. Channel banks were stable, with little overhanging vegetation, although some log debris and cobble provided cover. By early April 1982, only seven isolated pools totalling 300 m^2 (3% of October area) of wetted area remained (Table 2.1, Figure 2.2).

Side Channel C, located approximately 5 km downstream of Lamprey Creek, had no flow but had 1,250 m² of isolated pools in October 1981 (Table 2.1, Figure 2.3). Pools generally had an abundance of overhanging vegetation and moderate log debris cover. Leaf litter also provided abundant cover for rearing juvenile salmonids. Substrate was predominantly gravel. By early April 1982, wetted area within the isolated pools had been reduced by 88% to 150 m² (Figure 2.1). Groundwater input was suspected to be sustaining the water level in the lower pool of this side channel.

Side Channel D, located approximately 5 km upstream of Fenton Creek, was the second largest channel examined, comprising 3,300 m² of wetted area in October 1981 (Figure 2.4, Table 2.1). Hydraulic units were characterized by numerous riffle/pool combinations with few runs. Substrate was primarily gravel, with log debris and boulders providing the majority of cover for juvenile salmonids. By early April 1982,

envirocon

Volume 4/Section C








.

TABLE 2.1 Physical Characteristics of Selected Side Channels in Reach 2 of the Morice River During October 1981 and April 1982

.

1

I

l

Ţ

ľ

T ,-----

Ţ

.....

ľ

Ţ

Ţ

ÿ

•

-----۹

.

Y

į ÿ

. .

. . .

				Selected Si	de Channels	-		
Characteristics	A		Θ		Ŭ	()	Δ	
	October	April	October	April	October	April	October	April
Wetted Area (m ²)	2,200	20	8,600	300	1,250	150	3,300	1,500
Maximum Depth (m)	1.3	.3	1.4	8.	1.5	.3	4.1	1.0
Percent Area <0.75 m deep	80	001	90	001	70	100	75	85
Percent Area >1.0 m deep	S	0	م	0	15	0	0	ŝ
Predominant Sub- strate	gravel	gravel	gravel- cobble	gravel- cobble	gravet	gravel	gravel- cobble	gravel- cobble
Cover:								
% log debris	01	20	I-5	S	10	10	S	S
% over stream vegetation	1-5	0	01	0	20-30	0	0	0
% instream vegetation	1-5	0	5	S	S	0	S	0
% cobble-boulder	1-5	0	01	0	i	0	15	1-5

Volume 4/Section C

3.0 METHODS

Population estimates of overwintering juvenile salmonids were calculated for all four side channels in early winter (1981) and in the following spring (1982) before flows resumed in these channels. Representative sections (12-93% of the total wetted area between fence traps) within each side channel were electrofished utilizing either the multiple-pass removal method or the mark-recapture method of estimating population sizes for each species and life stage. In shallow areas with little cover the multiple-pass removal method was used, while in deeper areas with an abundance of log debris or ice cover the mark-recapture method was used. Stop nets were employed in both methods to minimize the movement of fish out of the sample area.

Estimates of population sizes were calculated from electrofishing results (multiplepass removal method) using Brataen's (1969) modification of DeLury's (1951) method (discussed in Ricker 1975). Confidence intervals (95%) for population estimates were calculated using a modification of DeLury's method (Appendix CI).

The Chapman (1951) modification of the Peterson method (cited in Ricker 1975) was used to calculate population sizes from the mark-recapture results. Confidence intervals (95%) for each estimate were calculated as described by Robson and Reiger (1971).

To determine the net movement of juvenile salmonids in and out of side channels during the late fall-early winter period and to correct side channel population estimates, upstream/downstream traps equipped with live boxes were placed at the inlet and outlet of Channels A, B and D (Plate I). Wood frame fences covered with 6 mm wire mesh were angled from shore to lead fish into traps. Side Channel C did not require traps since it comprised a series of isolated pools and was totally separated from the mainstem Morice River flow throughout the study period.

Traps were operated continuously and checked daily from October 23 to December 9, 1981 and from May 3 to May 15, 1982. All fish captured were enumerated by species and life stage, and fork length was measured to the nearest mm. After December 9 and prior to May 3, flows were inadequate (based on visual observations and examination of WSC flow records) to permit fish to move in and out of the channels.

Staff gauges were installed in Channels A, B and D to determine stage. Minimummaximum thermometers were installed in each side channel and water temperatures recorded daily during the period of trap operation to provide additional information on the physical environment during the fall-winter period.

envirocor

Volume 4/Section C

To determine changes in water quality in side channel and mainstem habitats, water samples were collected on February 11 from Side Channels C and D and the mainstem Morice River, and on April 6 from Side Channels B, C and D and the mainstem Morice River (Figure 2.1). Samples were packed in ice and shipped within 48 hours to Chemex Laboratories in North Vancouver for subsequent determination of metals, nutrients, pH, alkalinity and conductivity. Dissolved oxygen measurements were taken at the time of water sampling using a YSI model 54A oxygen meter. Dissolved oxygen measurements were also taken during late March - early April at selected isolated pool habitats.

1



Plate 1: Fence traps equipped with live boxes in study side channels of the Morice River during October, 1981.

Upstream trap

.

Downstream trap

.



4.0 RESULTS

4.1 Movement In and Out of Side Channels

Of a total of 359 juvenile salmonids captured moving in and out of study side channels from late October - early December, 1981, chinook salmon juveniles were the only species to show substantial net movement. A total of 62 chinook juveniles, comprising approximately 16% of the estimated chinook population in the four side channels studied, moved out of the side channels into the main channel Morice River prior to freeze-up (Table 4.1; Appendix C2). Flows through side channels during that period were generally declining with water temperatures decreasing from approximately 8.0 to 0.5° C.

Some unrecorded movement of juvenile fish out of Side Channels B and D may also have occurred during mid-November and February. During a small fall freshet from November 11-13, some flow around fences in Side Channels B and D (Plate 2) allowed unrecorded movement of fish in and out of these side channels. No obvious trends in fish movement during this period were apparent from catches in those traps still operational, except for an increase in chinook salmon outmigration from Side Channel B on November 13, the first day trapping resumed. A total of 13 juvenile chinook salmon, comprising 3% of the estimated fall side channel chinook populations, left Side Channel B on that day, suggesting that other fish may have left Side Channels B and D during the previous 48 hours when traps were not operational.

As well, during a February reconnaissance of the study area, seepage flow into Side Channel D created a flowing channel around the downstream fence, allowing access to the mainstem Morice River. The potential for outmigration of fish populations during mid-winter from Side Channel D may have contributed to reduced population estimates by early spring, suggesting that overwinter survival for all species was higher than estimated for this channel.

4.2 Population Estimates and Overwinter Survival

Of a total 3,505 juvenile salmonids estimated in the study side channels in the fall, coho salmon comprised 51.9% (1,820), while steelhead fry and parr were 30.3% (1,062) and 8.6% (301), respectively (Table 4.2; Appendices C3 and C4). Chinook salmon represented the remaining 9.2% (323). Rocky Mountain whitefish, longnose dace, Pacific lamprey, Dolly Varden char and prickly sculpin comprised less than 10% of the total catch and were not included in population estimates. Side Channel C,

Volume 4/Section C

TABLE 4.1

Summary of Net Change in Fish Movements from Selected Side Channels of the Morice River During October - December 1981

				Fish Spe	ecies			
						Steelhead	Trout	
	Chine	ook Fry	Coh	o Fry	F	гу	P	arr
Side Channel	Pop. Size	Net <u>Change</u>	Pop. Size	Net <u>Change</u>	Pop. Size	Net <u>Change</u>	Pop. Size	Net <u>Change</u>
А	27	-13	200	-10	124	+1	19	-2
в	278	-34	278	-7	515	_4	180	-1
С	t	0	987	0	18	0	3	0
D	_78	-15	358	<u>+1</u> 4	403	+5	101	<u>+1</u>
Total	384	-62	1823	-3	1060	+2	303	-2

Volume 4/Section C

255

BE WEEEEE



Plate 2: Study side channel D showing the additional channel (arrow) created during mid-November, 1981 flow increases.

TABLE 4.2 Population Estimates of Juvenile Salmanids in Selected Side Channels of the Morice River in October 1981

	Side C	hannel A	Side Ch	annel B	Side Ch	<u>annel C</u>	Side Ct	Mannel D	All Ch	annels
Species	Number	% of Total in all Side <u>Channels</u>	Number	% of Total in all Side <u>Channels</u>	Number	% of Total in all Side <u>Channels</u>	Number	% of Total in all Side <u>Channels</u>	Number	% of Total Fish
Steelhead - fry - pa	y 125 rr 17	11.8 5.6	511 179	48.1 59.5	3 18	1.7	408 102	38.4 33.9	1,062 301	30.3 8.6
Coho	061	10.4	271	14.9	987	54.2	372	20.4	1,820	51.9
Chinook	14	4.3	244	75.8		0.3	63	19.6	323	9.2
All Species	346	6.6	1,205	34.4	1,009	28.8	945	27.0	3, 505	001

Volume 4/Section C

envirocon

characterized by isolated pool habitat, contained 54% of the total coho population overwintering in side channel habitats. Side Channel B, the largest of all side channels examined, comprised 48% and 59% of the steelhead fry and parr overwintering populations, respectively (Table 4.2). It also supported the majority (76%) of the overwintering chinook population in the four side channels.

As mainstem flows declined from October to May and side channels became isolated from Morice River inflow, total wetted area within side channels was reduced by 87% from $15,000 \text{ m}^2$ in November to $1,900 \text{ m}^2$ in April (Table 4.3; Figure 2.1). Side Channels A and B had the greatest reduction in total wetted area with only 1% and 3% of the wetted area remaining by the following spring, respectively. During the period of flow decline, overall fish densities increased from an average 0.23 fish/m² in October to 0.80 fish/m² in April. Side Channels A and C had the highest overall densities during April for all species combined with 5.7 fish/m² and 3.1 fish/m², respectively (Table 4.3).

Of the total 3,505 juvenile salmonids estimated in the four side channels during the fall, only 43% (1,520) survived to early May when flows through side channels resumed (Table 4.3; Appendices C3 and C4). Side Channel B had only 3% of the wetted area remaining by early spring and the lowest overall fish survival (30%). The 33% and 46% estimates of survival of juvenile salmonids in Side Channels A and C, respectively, may be high because deep pools in areas of extensive log debris made sampling difficult during the fall period when higher flows prevailed. By early May, pool areas were much shallower, allowing more efficient sampling of fish populations. Side Channel D had 45% of the wetted area remaining by early spring and the highest overall fish survival (61%). Steelhead trout parr and fry had the lowest survival of 23% and 30%, respectively, while chinook salmon juveniles had the highest overwinter survival of 61% (Table 4.3). Coho salmon survival averaged 52%.

4.3 Water Quality

Water quality in study side channels of the Morice River during February and April 1982 was generally within accepted limits set for fish culture (Sigma 1979) (Appendix C5, Table C5.2). Higher levels of several water quality values in Side Channel D, notably conductivity, hardness and dissolved solids, may be indicative of groundwater input.

Dissolved oxygen content in study side channels ranged from 0.7 to 11.2 ppm in February to March samples.

Volume 4/Section C

Summary of Change in Wetted Area, Fish Densities and Juvenile Salmonid Overwinter Survival Estimates in Selected Side Channels of the Morice River During 1981–82

			Num	bers of Fish		2	
Location	Steelhead <u>Fry</u>	Steelhead <u>Parr</u>	<u>Coho</u>	Chinook	All Species	Approximate [*] Total <u>Wetted Area</u> (m ²)	<u>Density</u> (fish/m ²)
Side Channel	A						
October April	125	17	190 75	14 15	346 114	2,200 20	0.2 5.7
% Survival	16	23	39	<u>N/A</u>	33	<u> </u>	
Side Channel	в						
October April	511	79 7	271 178	244 123	1,205 362	8,600 300	0.1
% Survival		4	66	50	30	3%	
Side Channel	C						
October April	18 64	3	987 402	 [1,009 468	1,200 150	0.8 3.1
% Survival	N/A	33	41	100	46	12%	
Side Channel	D						
October April % Survival	408 178 44	102 <u>56</u> 55	372 <u>286</u> 77	63 59 94	945 <u>576</u> 61	3,300 1,500 <u>45</u> %	0.3 0.4
All Channels <u>Combined</u>							
October April	1,062 316	301 68	1,820 941	322 198	3,505 1,520	15,000	0.23 0.80
% Survival	30	23	52	61	43	<u> </u>	

I

Fall population estimates have been corrected for movements in and out of the study side channels.

2 % of wetted area remaining over winter

Volume 4/Section C

11

l

Davis (1975) developed dissolved oxygen criteria for freshwater salmonids based on the average incipient oxygen response level of a fish community to the effects of low oxygen. Protection Level A, 7.75 ppm, is one standard deviation above the mean and represents "ideal conditions" ensuring a high degree of safety for freshwater salmonids. Protection Level B, 6.00 ppm, represents the oxygen level where the average member of a given salmonid community starts to exhibit signs of oxygen distress, and some proportion of the population is at risk if this level is sustained beyond a few hours. Protection Level C, 4.25 ppm, is one standard deviation below the mean and is the level at which a large proportion of the salmonid population may be severely affected by low oxygen if this level is sustained beyond a very few hours.

The dissolved oxygen levels (5.5-6.9 ppm) recorded in isolated pools of Side Channel C during February (Appendix C5, Table C5.1) are below Protection Level A and may have caused some stress to overwintering fish populations although no mortalities were observed. Dissolved oxygen levels in Side Channel D in February were 9.0 ppm, well above Protection Level A (7.75 ppm), and likely provided good conditions for overwintering fish. By early April, dissolved oxygen levels were low in Side Channel B. A and B, with the lowest recorded values (0.7 to 5.7 ppm) observed in Side Channel B. All but one of these measurements were below Protection Level C (4.25 ppm) and likely contributed to the overwinter loss of more than 100 fish in two of the three largest pools remaining in Side Channel B (Appendix C5, Table C5.1). However, oxygen levels in isolated pool #1 of Side Channel A were approximately 3 ppm and although juvenile fish appeared to be stressed, mortalities were not observed. Oxygen levels in Side Channels C and D were relatively high in April (6.6-11.2 ppm) with no observed fish mortalities (Appendix C5).

5.0 DISCUSSION

Results from the seven weeks that the traps were maintained indicate that when the data were combined for all the channels, there was a less than 1% change in steelhead fry or parr numbers, no net change in coho salmon numbers, and an outmigration of approximately 20% of the estimated chinook population. These results suggest that most juvenile salmonids do not leave these side channels with decreasing flow and water temperatures during the late fall and early winter, but remain in the vicinity of rearing areas utilized during late October.

Bjornn and Morrill (1972) suggest that in Idaho streams during the fall the number of migrating trout and salmon probably reflects the availability of suitable winter cover. This suggests that Morice River side channel locations in the late fall period probably provide adequate cover for juvenile salmonids. However, as flows decline in late winter, fish would not have the choice to leave side channels because most side channels are isolated from the mainstem river by this time.

Juvenile salmonid overwinter survival in side channels in Reach 2 of the Morice River suggests that those channels with groundwater inflow had the least reduction in wetted area through the winter period and the highest overwinter survival of juvenile fish populations. The higher overwinter survival of coho and chinook salmon compared to steelhead trout fry may be a reflection of coho and chinook juveniles' preference for deep pool habitats with log debris cover during the fall. These areas are less subject to freezing and dewatering during the winter period, and the abundant log debris provides cover during the early spring when predation from birds may occur. The shallower riffle areas occupied by steelhead trout fry are more subject to freezing and dewatering, and the lack of available cover at these sites may expose fry to greater predation during the early spring. Reasons for the poor steelhead parr survival in this study are not clear as these fish tended to occupy similar habitats to those of chinook salmon during the fall.

Observations at the study channels, particularly during late March and April, indicated that stranding and freezing of juvenile fish, low dissolved oxygen levels and predation on juvenile fish by birds contributed to overwinter fish losses in the side channels.

Stranding of juveniles in isolated pools which subsequently dewatered in the spring occurred in all side channels except Side Channel D, which had the least reduction in wetted area of all channels. Observations during March and April suggested that groundwater from adjacent slopes was seeping into the upper end of Side Channel D and the lower end of Side Channel C. During initial site selection, groundwater

Volume 4/Section C

seepage was not identified since this input was masked by far greater flows from the mainstem Morice River. Overwinter survival of juvenile salmonids was higher in these two channels than in Side Channels A and B which did not have any groundwater input. Side Channels A and B also had the greatest reductions in wetted areas with consequent higher numbers of stranded fish.

During clear cold periods in early winter and spring, shallow pools not covered with ice and insulated by snow can freeze to the bottom with resulting fish mortalities. Widespread incidences of this were observed in November 1979 throughout side channels in the Morice and Nanika Rivers (Section A). However, during the 1981-82 study period, freezing did not appear to be a significant mortality factor.

Oxygen levels during late winter in some isolated pools of Side Channels A and B were below 4.16 ppm (Protection Level C, Davis 1975), the level at which a large proportion of a given salmonid population may be severely affected. This may have been responsible for some winter losses of juvenile fish populations. Upon removal of 15 cm of ice cover from two of the three pools remaining in Side Channel B, all fish were decomposed, suggesting that mortalities had occurred earlier in the winter. Benthic invertebrate fauna and lamprey ammocoetes in these pools had moved out of the bottom silts and were very active, apparently under stress. Depressed winter oxygen levels beneath ice cover have been found in two Yukon rivers and attributed in part to respiration of aquatic and benthic flora and fauna and reduction of the reaeration rate by ice cover (Albright et al. 1980; Schreier et al. 1980). The two pools in Side Channel B with winter kill had substantial accumulations of leaf litter, and oxygen concentrations in the pools probably decreased both as a result of bacterial decomposition and respiration of juvenile fish. This, in conjunction with little or no exchange of the water in the pools and the prevention of reaeration by ice cover, probably led to the low oxygen levels resulting in fish mortalities.

Juvenile fish in other isolated pools of Side Channels A and B survived, but they were darker in colour and more agitated in their movements than fish in areas with higher oxygen levels, suggesting that they were stressed. Fish captured in these areas during spring population sampling were sensitive to handling. Davis (1975) reports that, for a variety of species, dissolved oxygen concentrations below 5 ppm have deleterious effects on swimming ability, respiration, circulatory dynamics, metabolism and behaviour, and that in some cases the threshold response level was above 5 ppm. Schreier et al. (1980) suggest that natural oxygen concentrations below 5 ppm in the late winter are a widespread phenomenon in northern environments.

Volume 4/Section C

262

envirocon

Winter kill does not occur every year in these pools. Side Channel B was sampled in late April 1979, and over 120 juveniles were captured, with no evidence of winter mortalities. One explanation for this difference may be the occurrence of a fall freshet capable of moving leaf litter out of these side channels which occurred prior to the winter in 1978 but not in 1981. This would have reduced oxygen consumption and severe depletion would not have occurred.

Oxygen concentrations in Side Channels C and D exceeded 6 and 10 ppm dissolved oxygen during the March-April period, suggesting that oxygen depletion was not a problem for overwintering fish in these two channels. These channels had more seepage inflows during the winter resulting in open water areas and thus higher oxygen levels.

Predation on juvenile fish, particularly by birds, may also have contributed to overwinter losses of fish populations in side channels. An isolated pool of Side Channel A was sampled shortly after the ice had melted (April 12, 1982) and again on April 28 before flows had connected the pool to the mainstem river. During this period, fish populations decreased from 159 to 59 fish in this pool. The large reduction in fish numbers was probably the result of bird or small mammal predation since oxygen levels (6-7 ppm) were not in the lethal range and there were no apparent mortalities in the initial sampling. The maximum depth in this pool was 15 cm and available cover was sparse. The most likely predators were mergansers, since over 50 were observed on a 25 km section of the Morice River during this period. Elson (1962) found that, under suitable conditions, mergansers can take a heavy toll of juvenile fish populations.

Volume 4/Section C

263

envirocon

6.0 SUMMARY

Of a total 359 juvenile salmonids captured moving in and out of study side channels from late October - early December 1981, chinook salmon were the only species to show a substantial net movement. A net total of 62 chinook juveniles, comprising approximately 16% of the estimated chinook population in the four side channels, moved into the main channel Morice River prior to freeze-up.

Of a total 3,505 juvenile salmonids estimated in the four side channels during the fall, coho salmon comprised 52% (1,820), while steelhead trout fry and parr were 30% (1,062) and 9% (301) of the total, respectively. Chinook salmon represented the remaining 9% (323). As flows declined during the early winter period and side channels became isolated from the main channel flow, total wetted area within side channels was reduced by 87% from $15,000 \text{ m}^2$ to $1,900 \text{ m}^2$. Only 43% (1,520) of the juvenile fish overwintering in side channels habitats survived to early May when flows through side channels resumed. Side Channels A and B, which had only 1% and 3% of the total area remaining wetted by early May, had the lowest overall survivals of 33% and 30%, respectively. Side Channels C and D, which had groundwater inflow and had 12% and 45% of the total area remaining wetted by early May, had the highest overwinter survivals of 46% and 61%, respectively.

Steelhead trout parr and fry had the lowest overall survival of 23% and 30%, respectively, while chinook salmon juveniles had the highest overwinter survival of 61%. Chinook and coho salmon survival averaged 61 and 52%, respectively. The generally higher overwinter survival of chinook and coho salmon may result from a tendency to occupy deep pool habitats with log debris cover, rather than the shallow riffle areas with less cover occupied by steelhead fry during the fall.

Observations at the side channels, particularly during late March and April, indicated that stranding and freezing, low dissolved oxygen levels and bird predation on juvenile fish were some of the observed mortality factors affecting the survival of overwintering fish populations. The low percent overwinter survival of steelhead trout fry and parr and coho salmon juveniles would imply that low winter flows play a major role in limiting juvenile salmonid production in the Morice River.

Volume 4/Section C

REFERENCES

Albright, L., K.V. Masuda, G.L. Ennis and H. Schreier. 1980. Microbial dynamics of two sub-Arctic Canadian rivers. Water Res. 14:1353-1362.

Bjornn, T.C. and C.F. Morrill. 1972. Migration response of juvenile chinook salmon to substrates and temperatures. Water Res. Rsch. Inst., University of Idaho, Moscow, Idaho.

Burns, J.W. 1971. The carrying capacity for juvenile salmonids in some northern California streams. Calif. Fish and Game. 57(1):44–57.

Davis, J.C. 1975. Waterborne dissolved oxygen requirements and criteria with particular emphasis on the Canadian Environment. NRC Associate Committee on Scientific Criteria for Environmental Quality. Report 13.

DeLury, D.B. 1951. On the planning of experiments for the estimation of fish populations. J. Fish. Res. Bd. Can. 8(4):281-307.

Elson, P.F. 1962. Predator-prey relationships between fish-eating birds and Atlantic salmon. Bull. Fish. Res. Bd. Can. 133:87 p.

Mason, J.C. 1974. A further appraisal of the response to supplemental feeding of juvenile coho (O. <u>kisutch</u>) in an experimental stream. Fish. and Mar. Ser. Tech. Rpt. #470.

Ricker, W.E. 1975. Computation and Interpretation of biological statistics of fish populations. Fish. Res. Bd. of Can. Bull. 191.

Robson, D.S. and H.A. Regier. 1964. Sample size in Peterson mark-recapture experiments. Trans. Am. Fish. Soc. 93: 215-226.

Schreier, H., W. Erlebach and L. Albright. 1980. Variations in water quality during winter in two Yukon rivers with emphasis on dissolved oxygen concentration. Wat. Res. 14: 1345–1351.

Shepherd, B.G. 1979. Salmon studies on Nanika and Morice Rivers and Morice Lake relative to the proposed Kemano II Development. Vol. 5 Dept. of Fish. and Oceans, Vancouver, B.C.

Sigma Resource Consultants Ltd. 1979. Summary of water quality criteria for salmonid hatcheries. Prep. for Dept. of Fish. and Oceans.

Volume 4/Section C

APPENDIX CI

Method of Calculating 95% Confidence Intervals for Population Estimates from Multiple–Pass Electrofishing Results

.

.

Volume 4/Appendix C1

.

v....

viewee.

Electrofishing results from the multiple-pass removal method were utilized to calculate population size based on a variation of Delury's (1951) method.

In Delury's (1951) method, the population estimate is taken as the intercept of the regression line with the x-axis (c(t)), and the confidence limits of this estimate are the roots of a quadratic equation. This technique causes difficulties when the determinant of the quadratic equation is negative.

If the assumption of constant catchability is not met, the fit of the regression line to the data will be poor. This can result in a high value of P. If the absolute value of P is greater than that of the slope, the confidence intervals cannot be evaluated. This is because the evaluation of Equation I would give a positive (rising) slope and would therefore not intercept the x axis, meaning there would be no upper bound to the population estimate confidence interval.

To circumvent this problem a different technique was used. The intercepts of the confidence limits of the slope of the regression line with the x-axis (c(t)) were used to give the confidence limits of the population estimate. The confidence limits of the slope were calculated as follows:

conf. Int = Slope
$$\pm P$$

$$P = t_{\alpha/2} S.D.$$

$$\sqrt{\frac{N}{N(\sum_{j=1}^{N} c(t))^{2} - (\sum_{j=1}^{N} c(t))^{2}}}$$
(Equation 1)

and where $t_{\alpha/2}$ is the tabulated t-value of the 1- ∞ confidence level with N-2 degrees of freedom. N is the number of passes and S.D. is the standard deviation from the regression.

If the lower bound of the population estimate confidence interval was less than the total catch, then the lower bound was adjusted to equal the total catch.

Volume 4/Appendix C1

where

APPENDIX C2

Daily Fish Migrations in Morice River Side Channels, October to December 1981

Volume 4/Appendix C2

269

- envirocon -

Total becies	Net honge	€-00074-	inued)
tain	Change Change		0 2 0 0 0 0 1+ +1
cky Moun Whitefist	ତି	3 3	-~~ ~~-
Ro	اع ا	55 - 5	- ~ ~ -
Com	Net Change	770 7	40777 00 70 7 7 7 7 7
ninook Sa	હ		4NM M- M-
0	اك ا	-	
ure	Net Change	<u>-</u> 0	
ies Capt oho Sala	5		-~~ -
Spec	اع ا		
	Net Change	+	~ ~
Parr	ট		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Trout	اڪ ا		-
Steelhead	Net Change	- °	, ,
Fry	হ	-	-
	اڪ ا		-
	Water <u>Temp.</u> (⁰ C)	8.0 8.0 7.0 7.0 7.0	844 8848488 44 44444 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00
	Staff <u>Gouge</u> (cm)	37.5 362.0	42.5 42.5 42.7 42.7 42.7 42.7 42.7 42.5 42.5 53.0 61.3 61.3 61.3 61.3 53.0 53.0 53.0 53.0 53.0 53.0 53.3 53.0 53.3 53.3
	Date	October 24 25 26 27 27 27 28 28 29 30	November 01 03 03 04 05 06 06 07 11 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 12 19 20 21 21 21 21 21

TABLE C2.1 Daily Fish Migrations in Side Channel A, 1981 li –

All and a second

: : -. . . kronov

!

TABLE C2.1 (Continued)

	10101	pectes	Zet	Change						L+	1-		-	7	Ŧ	c,	;	-13	
		" -	Zet	Change (+Q	.			+2	0			+12	
	y mount	hitetish		5										-	-			15	
-	LOCK	3		sا		-				6				~- ~	_			27	
		non	Zet	Change							+					-	-	-13	
		ook Salr		<u>S</u>								-				-	-	25	
	i	u H U H		드							_							12	
Ire		N	Net	Change						+	ç-		-	-	Ŧ	ſ	.	-10	
es Copti		ho Salm		5									-		-	ŗ	n	20	
Speci	,	ő		티						-								01	
			Net	Change												-	-	-7	
		Parr		30												-	-	9	
	1 Trout			의														4	
	Steethead		Pet	Change														Ŧ	
		Fry		On														2	
				=														e	
			Water	(°C))	4.0	3.5	5.0		3.0 2.0	2.5	2.0	2.0	2.0	0.1		c.0		
			Staff	Gauge (cm)		52.0	9.42	54.0		38.7 36.0	37.3	33.3	33.5 33.5	32.5	•	ſ			
				Date		November 22 23	24	26	27 28	30	December 01 02	8	04	90	01	80	60	Total	

Volume II/Appendix C2

- envirocon

					Steelhead	1 Trout			200	cles cu	rure				Rock	cy Moun	ntain	Totat
	:			Fry			Parr			Coho Sal	nom	Ğ	nook Sa	nom	*	Vhitefis	اء	Species
Date	Staff Gauge (cm)	Water Temp. (⁰ C)	ह।	Ont	Change Change	ا2	3	Change Change	<u>-</u> Ei	3	Change	의	5	Net Change	اک	Ort	Change Change	Net Change
October 23 24 27 28 27 28 29 29 30 31	5.5	7.0 8.5 5.5 7.0 7.0		-	-					m	٤				_		+	÷ 6-
November 01 02 03 03 03 03 03 03 03 03 03 03 03 03 03	51.5 51.5 51.5 51.5 51.5 52.0 52.5 52.0 52.5 52.5 52.5 52.5 52	00000000000000000000000000000000000000		-	- -									00770 2250 7 7 7778		-		420 7

.

TABLE C2.2 Daily Fish Miarations in Side Chann

Total Species Net Change 917-~~~~ <u>- 7</u> Change (Ret ۲ Rocky Mountain Whitefish 5 O 3 5 Chinook Salmon Net In Out Change ÷. ᆕᆕᅆᇭ 1 3 9 0 8 <u>Coho Salmon</u> Ket Change 5 -Species Capture -<u>-</u> 0 Net Change T T Parr 3 Steethead Trout 0 5 Net . Change ٦ T ï Fry <u>S</u> 4 0 <u>-</u> Water Temp. (^oC) 3.0 2.0 3.5 22.5 0.5 f ſ TABLE C2.2 (Continued) Volume 4/Appendix C2 Staff Gauge (cm) 51.0 48.0 44.5 56.0 49.7 49.5 50.0 47.2 47.5 47.5 47.5 47.5 November 22 24 25 25 27 29 29 29 30 December 01 03 04 05 05 06 07 08 09 Date Total envirocon

	D, 1981
	Channel
	in Side
c.	Migrations
TABLE C2	Daily Fish

• .

-	ie s	_		e ·
Tota	Speci Net Chan	<u>ه لم في</u> فر ح	÷÷÷÷÷÷÷÷÷÷÷÷÷÷÷÷	ntinued
itain	h Change	÷ -2 +	∓ , ∓	(Cor
cky Mour	Whitefis Out	- 5	_	
Roc	드	- ~		
	Met Change	77990	· + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	
	Out Out	-688-	~ m	
	히			
ure	von Net Change	6+		
ies Capt	oho Saln Out	4 4	ο σ−− −	
Spec	이드	-	(7)	
	Net Change			
	Part Out			
d Trout	<u></u> ;			
Steelhed	Change Change	Ţ	∓ ∓	
1 (b)	<u>s</u>	-		
	اڪ ا			
	Water <u>Temp.</u> (^o C)	6.0 - 4.4 - 5.5 - 0.4 - 0.4	46466666666666666666666666666666666666	
	Staff <u>Gauge</u> (cm)	25.5 25.5 24.5 26.2	27.0 27.5 27.5 27.5 27.5 27.5 27.5 27.5 27.5	ndix C2
		31 33 58 57 57 57 57 57 57 57 57 57 57 57 57 57	1 28252226989222232	I/Appe
	Date	October	Novemb	Volume t

- envirocon -

Ī

L

.

L

L

TABLE C2.3 (Continued)

i....

: 1₁₇₀₀-117

-

.

-. .

i Content

السريا

-

				L	Steelhea	d Trout			Spec	les Capt	ure				Rocky	Mount	ain	Total
Date	Staff <u>Gauge</u> (cm)	Water <u>Temp.</u> (^o C)	드	Out 1	Net Change	EI	lout lou	Net Change	티	Out Out	on Net Change	티	Out Out	Met Change		Out	Net Change	Species Net Change
November 20 21 22 23 24	35.5 38.5 30.0 28.8 28.8	3,5 2,6 0,5 0,0 0,0													m	~ –	6- 6 -	
3323328	27.5 24.5 24.2 23.0	0.5					-							-, -,	-		· -	
06 06 06 06 06 06 06 06 06 06 06 06 06 0	22.5 23.8 22.1 23.0								ż	-	Ş	-			、	2	ナキタ、	÷~
00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00	22.0 21.8	3.0 0.0 0.0	- 53	 1	+2	- '	ı	, '	* '	'	£7 − 6+ + ,	<u> </u>	2	5 +	• •		4 4 4	-19 -13 0 -13
Total			~	2	+5	-		Ŧ	42	28	41+	=	28	-15	20	2	01+	+15
Votume 4/App	endix C2																	

une 4/Appendix CZ

- envirocon

APPENDIX C3

ił

1 :

envirocon

Multiple–Pass and Mark–Recapture Electrofishing in Morice River Side Channels in October 1981 and April 1982

Volume 4/Appendix C3

. V-7 0053 -----..... ! . \:...... -----_ . 1.11.00 .

TABLE C3.) Multiple-Pass and Mark-Recapture Electrofishing from Side Channel A of the Morice River During the Fall 1981 and Spring 1982

	}																	
				-		_			Steelhed	1 Trou	+	Species Co	<u>pfured</u>					
					Electro- fishing			Fry			Parr			Coho Saln	uou	C	hinook Sa	mon
<u>Date</u>	Site	Area Sampled (m ²)	Habitat <u>Type</u>	Water Temp. (C ⁰)	Tech- nigue	No.	-	tength (mm)	Ronge	c	(mm)	Ronge	c	<u>length</u> (mm)	Range	c	len <u>x</u> (mm)	Range
Oct. 26/81	-	497	Run/Riffle Pool	8.0	ЧW	-00		46.2 40.8 38.7	37-57 38-45 35-45	003	87.3 - -	- - -	5=2	59.1 54.0	52-75 43-71 55, 85	074	65.6 -	58-73 57, 69 -
Oct. 25/81	2	318	Run	8.0	MP	-96	6 3 3	40.8 40.0 42.2	35-51 39-41 37-45	- on	96.0 -	69-135 82.0	15 14 11	56.9 58.2 57.4	50-61 48-75 40-78	-0n	65,3 - -	55-82 59
April 12/82	e	1	Isolated Pool	9.0	ЧW	-~6	15 6	47.2 43.2 47.7	39-57 38-50 41-60	21 5 3	101.9 95.4 87.7	71-168 74-145 85-91	50 8 8	66.2 58.6 59.1	56-100 45-94 41-91	9 8	63.0 68.1	54-73 62-76 64
Àpril 28/82	۳	10.5	Isolated Pool	•	MP	-~6	96-	51.2 41.3	44-56 40-43 42	005		71, 93 -	28 7. 2	56.7 56.8 56.8	46-74 45-74 44, 49	~ 60	64.1 63.7	57-73 59-70
April 12/82	-	8.0	Isolated Pool	5.0	MR	- 2	5	44.1 42.6	38-51 39-50	2 0	· 0	83, 84 -	14 7	57.1 55.0	49-75 46-74	~-	£ 1	60, 64 75
April 12/82	2	2.0	Isolated Pool		MR	-2	- 1	11	45	0'	1 1	3 4	0 '	Ŧ 1	т ж.	0,	11	5 r
V = HW I	Mark-F Aultipl	Recapture e-Pass																
Volume 4/A	ppend	ix C3																

١

Ì

Į

I

Į

ļ

ì

Į

ļ

Į

ļ

F

		-
		Ĕ
		đ
		SP
		Š
		8
		5
		Fall
		the f
		ş
		Ĩ
		Ĭ
		Rive
		e
		ž
		ž
		the
		5
		æ
		e e
		ŝ
		ð
		Side
		Ĕ
		Ĩ
		, Y
		fisl
		5
		8
		ш
		9 T C
		đ
		8
		٣,
		ż
		Ň
		2
		5
	2	S
	ΰ	5
	щ	j,
	Ē	E
	F	Ź
-		

		Salmon	Range	- - -	59-81 62-79 60-65		1 1 7	67, 70 -	73	3 (1	58-72 61, 71 -	(Continued)
		Chinook	len <u>v</u> tt (mm)	111	68.0 70.7 62.0	1 7 7 1	1 1 4	,	1 1 1	ŢŢŢ	65.2 -	
			c	005	3913	0000	000	000	0-0	000	0 7 2	
		nom	Range	55, 59 48-56 58	49-74 55-88 48-70	• • • • •			65-69 58 -	53 78	38-85 61-76 49	
p		Coho Sal	length (mm)	53.0 -	58.0 62.5 61.3				66.3 -	117	58.2 68.7	
apture	-		-	~~-	<u>8</u> , 9, 9	0000	000	000	~-0	0	6 E –	
Species C			Range	- - -	74-92 70-116 -			, . 92	, , ,	96 -	78, 83 80 84	
	t	Parr	length (mm)		87.2 89.4					s , ,	; , ,	
	d Tro		c	0-0	860	0000	000	00-	000	-00	~	
	Steelhed		Range	33-65 38, 44 47	39-54 36-48 37-49	41 44,46 36,44 41	-16 - -	38-48 44	44-51 -	40-51 -	38-65 42, 51 49	
		Fry	length (mm)	43.7 -	44.7 42.2 44.3		40.0 40.7 -	42.4 -	47.5 -	44.7 -	47.6 -	
			-	<u>~</u> ~-	ي و ای	-00-	ь с 0	æ – o	400	~ 0 0	32 2 1	
			Pass No.	- ~ ~	-~~	4 35-	- ~ 6	- ~ m	- ~ ~	- ~ ~	- ~ e	
		fishing	Tech- <u>nique</u>	ЧМ	ЧМ	dW	MP	dW	ЧМ	МР	чъ	
			Vater Temp. (C ⁰)	5.5	5.5	5.5	5.5	5.5	7.0	4.0	3.0	
			Habitat <u>Type</u>	Pool	Run	F lat	Riffle	Pool	Isolated Pool	Isolated Poot	lsolated Pool	
			Area <u>Sampled</u> (m ²)	87.8	461.2	146.0	236.7	123.5	1.9	51.7	6.6	x C3
			Site	-	2	e.	4	S	-	2	4	pendi
			<u>Date</u>	Oct. 26/81					April 12/82			Volume 4/Ap

1

____ Later ____

I ABLE C3.2 (Continued)

	almon	Range	69 - 69	59-80 59-86
	thinook Se	tentith (mm)		68.1 72.4
	0	c	-0-	20 9
	non	Range	46-78 43-51 58, 68	43-74
	Coho Sali	length (mm)	57.0 38.2 -	59.5 62.9
		-	540	14 29
		Ronge	₽ · .	145
-	Parr	<u>lenğıth</u> (mm)	, , ,	, ,
d Tro		c	-00	0-
Steelhed		Range	42, 43 	36-41 37-45
	Fry	length (mm)		38.7 41.0
	ļ	-	~00	é v
		Poss No.	- 26	- ~
Flanten	fishing	Tech- nique	WD	MR
		Water <u>Temp.</u> (C ⁰)	6.5	'
		Habi tat <u>Type</u>	Isolated Pool	Pool
		Area <u>Sampled</u> (m ²)	4.0	200
		Site	ŝ	e
		1)ate		April 28/82

Species Captured

i MK = Mark-Recapture M^D = Multiple-Pass

Iume 4/Appendix C3

ļ

I

Į

Į

ļ

and the second se

TABLE C3.3 Multiple-Pass and Mark-Recapture Electrofishing from Side Channel C

of the Morio	e Rive	r During th	ie Fall 1981 am	d Spring J	982													
												Species Co	ptured					
				L					Steelhead	1 Trout								
				<u>ц</u> —	fishing			Fry			Parr			Coho Saln	uo	ΰ	hinook Sal	non
Date	Site	Area <u>Sampled</u> (m ²)	Habi tat <u>Type</u>	Water <u>Temp.</u> (C ⁰)	Tech- 1 nique	No.	c	length (mm)	Range	c	length (mm)	Ronge	c	length (mm)	Range	c	length (min)	Range
Oct. 24/81	-	225.0	Isolated Pool	ı	ЧW	- ~ ~	~ v	51.8 -	45-61 53 50	-00		88 ' '	46 32 9	72.8 -	52-95 -	-00	кт)	65 -
	2	410.9	Isolated Pool	ı	MP	- 2 6	200 200	56.8 -	53-62 -	0		80 104	57 25	74.5 69.5 -	55-104 51-85 0	00 '	9 ¥ f	2 8
Oct. 24/81	e	519.6	lsolated Pool	9	MR	- 2	5 0	46.8	45-50 -	00	4 3	1 1	71 53	67.0 -		00	r e	ę 3
April 16/82	-	39.6	Isolated Pool	2.5	MR	-~	7 5	50.6 53.4	45-56 45-64	-0	11	82 _	47 49	67.7 69.4	48-106 52-100	00		
		34.0	Isolated Pool	I	MR	- 2	-0	F 1	54	00			09	78.7 77.1	69-92 56-90	-0	1 1	62
	2	1.4	lsolated Pool	۱	MR	- 2	4 -		52-63	0 '		, ,	~ '	12.1	68-78 -	- '		-
	e	15.0	Isolated Pool	4.0	MR	- 2	8 12	51.9 50.6	43-59 40-58	00	1 1	1 1	55	60.2 59.6	49-79 47-79	00	j t	1 7
	4	61.1	lsolated Pool	ŧ	MR	- ~	00	F 1	ł (00	1 1	, ;	51 46	70.6 66.1	52-98 54-100	00		. ,
601	Ś	13.2	Isolated Pool	ı	MR	- ~	-0	, ,	49 -	00	, i	1 2	9	70.2 69.7	62-90 53-90	00	6 3	
0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	rrk-Re Hipte- pendiz	capture Pass < C3																

1

- |

TABLE C3.4 Multiple-Pass and Mark-Recapture Electrofishing from Side Channel D of the Morice River During the Fall 1981 and Spring 1982

1

\.....

.

-

COMPLY

Γ

.

Ļ....

ſ

[____

lan

.....

......

.

					-				Steelhe	id Trou	-	Species Co	ptured					
				-	Electro- ¹ fishing			Fry			Parr			Coho Salr	uou	9	hinook Sa	mon
Date	Site	Area <u>Sampled</u> (m ²)	Habitat Type	Water <u>Temp.</u> (C ⁰)	l ech- nique	S S	c	tength (mm)	Range	넉	length (mm)	Range	-	length (mm)	Range	c	length (mm)	Range
Oct. 25/81	-	365.4	Pool/Riffle	6.0	dW	-96	20 S	48.5 46.2 46.8	40-63 37-65 41-51	- 36	78.8 71.0	73-87 67-78 75	8 8 8	61.2 61.3 61.1	53-74 55-68 50-68	- 4 5	66.7	60, 63 63-74 62
	2	212.8	Run	6.0	ЧМ	- 26	12 6 6	46.5 46.5 45.8	38-65 39-55 42-49	3	76.5	68-91 73, 82 84	3-2	65.8 62.7	58-83 62 62-63	-00	ŢŢŧ	59 -
April 13/82	2	719.0	Pool	6.0	MR	- 2	43 28	46.7 48.7	33-65 36-64	13 6	79.3 96.2	71-130 74-129	16 12	66.1 61.0	36-88 35-79	Υð	66.0 59.8	61-72 40-67
April 26/82	-	313.0	Pool	t	MR	- ~	98	44.0 49.6	41-51 40-63	11 8	85.1 90.1	67-141 75-149	32	62.2 60.1	51-80 51-70	4 9	69.6 65.7	63-76 62-71
MK = Marl MP = Mult	(-Reco iple-P(ipture ass																

Volume 4/Appendix C3

l

Į

تسغر الانتخابية

/

APPENDIX C4

Population Estimates for Juvenile Salmonids in Morice River Side Channels for October 1981 and April 1982

Volume 4/Appendix C4

283

enviracon -

i. United L.1000 -------L consta ____ 4 year ____ er er en en er Lange A

TABLE C4.1 Side Channel A Population Estimates for October 1981 and April 1982

Percent Over- Winter Survival	16.0	23.5	39.5	N/A	32.9
Corrected Population Estimate for Species <u>Migration</u>	125 20	14	· 190 75	14 15	346 114
Net Species <u>Change</u>	- - 0	0-7	0-0	-13	-24 0
Corrected Population Estimate for Total Wetted <u>Area</u>	124	61 4	200 75	27 15	370
Correction <u>Foctor</u>	2.7	2.7	2.7	2.7 1	2.7
Total Wetted Area (m ²)	2,200 20	2,200 20	2, 200 20	2, 200 20	2,200 20
Total Area Sampled (m ²)	815 1	815 1	815 1	815 1	815 I
95% Confidence Intervals	,	2-2	36-40	10-14 ²	
Initial Population Estimate for Area <u>Sampled</u>	46 10 10 (MR)	7 2 2 (MR)	74 38 37 (MR)	10 10 5 (MR)	137
Total ¹ Species <u>Captured</u>	36 20	r 4	60 56	10	113 94
Year of <u>Study</u>	Falt 1981 Spring 1982	Fall 1981 Spring 1982	Fall 1981 Spring 1982	Fall 1981 Spring 1982	Fall 1981 Spring 1982
Life <u>Stage</u>	Fry	Parr	Juvenile	Juvenile	All Juvenile Life Stages
Fish Species	Steelthead	1001	Caho Salmon	Chinaok Salman	Lotal Species

I Includes marks from previous catch in mark-recapture technique (MR)

2 90% Confidence Interval

Volume 4/Appendix ('4

i i

Carlo Carlos

F ish Species	Life <u>Stage</u>	Y ea r of <u>Study</u>	Total Species Captured	Initial Population Estimate for Area <u>Sompled</u>	95% Confidence <u>Intervals</u>	Total Area <u>Sampled</u> (m ²)	Total Wetted (m ²)	Correction Factor	Corrected Population Estimate for Total Wetted <u>Area</u>	Net Species Change	Corrected Population Estimate for Species <u>Migration</u>	Percent Over- Winter Survival
Steelhead	Fry	Fall 1981 Spring 1982	62 53	63 43 11 (MR)	62-66 43-50	1, 100 300	8,600 300	8.18 1	515 54	4-	511 54	10.6
Irout	Parr	Fall 1981 Spring 1982	19 7	22 7		1,100 300	8,600 300	8.18 1	180	Ŧ	611 1	3.9
Caha Salmon	Juvenile	Fall 1981 Spring 1982	27 83	34 34 144 (MR)	34-35	300 1	8,600 . 300	8.18 I	278 178	<i>L</i> ⁻ .	271 178	65.7
Chinook Salmon	Juvenile	Fall 1981 Spring 1982	33 39	34 10 113 (MR)	- -	, 100 1, 100	8,600 300	8.18 I	278 123	4 E-	244 123	50.4
T ot at Species	All Juvenile Life Stayes	Fall 1981 Spring 1982	141 182	153 362	1 1	1,100	8,600 300	8.18 1	1,251 362	917-	1, 205 362	30.0
t lactu	udes marks fron	n previous catch	n in mark-rea	capture techni	que (MR)							

TABLE C4.2 Side Channel B Population Estimates for October 1981 and April 1982

Volume 4/Appendix C4

envirocon

[

TABLE C4.3 Side Channel C Population Estimates for October 1981 and April 1982

Percent Over- Winter <u>Survival</u>	N/A	33.3	40.7	0.001	46.3
Corrected Population Estimate for Species <u>Migration</u>	18 64	e –	987 402		1,010 468
Net Species <u>Change</u>	0	0	0	0	0
Corrected Population Estimate for Total Wetted <u>Area</u>	18 64		987 402		1,010 168
Correction <u>Factor</u>	1.08	1.08	1.08	1.08	1.08
Total Wetted <u>Area</u> (m ²)	1,250	1,250	1,250	1,250	1,250
Total Area <u>Sompled</u> (m ²)	1,200	1,200	1,200	1,200	1,200
95% Confidence Intervals	20-108		189-1181 220-240 349-455		· ·
Initial Population Estimate for Area Sampled	5 (MR) 12 64	. – 3	685 (MR) 229 402		935 468
T ot al ¹ Species Captured	17 38 (MR)	3 I (MR)	124 191 347 (MR)	1 21 (MR)	336 388
Year of Study	Fall 1981 Spring 1982	Fall 1981 Spring 1982	Fall 1981 Spring 1982	Fall 1981 Spring 1982	Fall 1981 Spring 1982
Life <u>Stage</u>	Fry	Parr	Juvenile	Juvenile	Alt Juvenile Life Stages
F ish Species	Steethead	Trout	Coho Salmon	Chinook Salmon	Tatat Species

Includes marks from previous catch in mark-recapture technique (MR)

-

Votume 4/Appendix C4
Percent Over-	Winter Survival	43.6	54.9	77.0	93.7	60.9
Corrected Population Estimate for	Species Migration	408 178	102 56	372 286	63 59	945 576
Net	Species Change	ţ	Ŧ	414	-15	5 +
Corrected Population Estimate for	Total Wetted <u>Area</u>	403 178	101 56	358 286	78 59	941 579
	Correction Factor	5.6 1.4	5.6 1.4	5.6 1.4	5.6 1.4	5.6 1.4
Total	$\frac{\text{Vetted}}{(m^2)}$	3, 300 1, 500	3, 300 1, 500	3, 300 1, 500	3, 300 1, 500	3, 300 1, 500
Total	Area <u>Sampled</u> (m ²)	600 1,050	600 1,050	600 1,050	600 1,050	600 1,050
95%	Canfidence Intervals	57-119 ² 81-173	28-52	126-290	- 15-69	11
Initial Population Estimate	for Area Sampled	72 127	18 40	64 203	14 42	168 412
Total	Species Coptured	53 85 (MR)	16 38 (MR)	58 113 (MR)	8 27 (MR)	135 263
	Year of <u>Study</u>	Fatt 1981 Spring 1982	Fall 1981 Spring 1982	Fall 1981 Spring 1982	Fall 1981 Spring 1982	Fall 1981 Spring 1982
	Life <u>Stage</u>	Fry	Parr	Jwenite	Juvenile	All Juvenile Life Stages
	Fish <u>Species</u>	Steethead	Trout	Caho Satmon	Chinook Satmon	T ot al Species

Includes marks from previous catch in mark-recapture technique (MR)

2 90% Confidence Interval

Volume 4/Appendix C4

1

ł

TABLE C4.4 Side Channel D Population Estimates for October 1981 and April 1982

envirocon

APPENDIX C5

Dissolved Oxygen Content and Water Quality in Marice River Main and Side Channels During Winter 1982

Volume 4/Appendix C5

289

- envirocon -

TABLE C5.1 Dissolved Oxygen Measurements in Side Channels of the Morice River During 1982

<u>Date</u>	<u>Side Channel</u>	Location ¹	Dissolved <u>Oxygen</u> (ppm)	Water <u>Temp</u> (^o C)	<u>Comment</u> s
Feb. 11/82 Feb. 11/82 Feb. 11/82	С	Pool I Pool 2 Pool 3	6.9 6.9 5.5	1.0 1.0 2.0	
Feb. 11/82	D	Upper Fence	9.0	1.0	
April 4/82 April 4/82 April 6/82	A	Pool Pool 3 Pool 3	3.0 6.0 6.7	2.0 3.0 4.0	
April 6/82 April 4/82	В	Pool I Pool 2	4.0 0.7	1.0 2.5	lce cover 15 cm ice,
April 4/82 April 4/82 April 4/82	Dov Dov Dov	wnstream of Pool 2 wnstream of Pool 2 wnstream of Pool 2	3.8 5.7 2.6	5.5 6.0 9.0	Open water Open water 18 fish dead
April 4/82 April 4/82 April 4/82		Pool 3 Pool 4 Pool 5	2.5 3.8 4.1	2.5 1.5 4.0	
March 23/82 April 6/82 March 23/82 April 6/82 March 23/82 April 6/82	С	Pool I Pool I Pool 2 Pool 2 Pool 3 Pool 3	6.6 7.2 8.6 8.0 6.9 7.2	1.0 4.5 1.0 4.0 3.0	lce cover lce cover Seepage Seepage Seepage Seepage
March 23/82 March 23/82	D	Upper fence Staff gauge	10.7	-	Open Ice cover
April 4/82	Mainstern	Lamprey Creek	7.8	3.0	

1

I Refer to Section C, Figure 2.1

Volume 4/Appendix C5

TABLE C5.2 Water Quality of the Mainstern and Side Channels of the Morice River, February 11, 1982

ſ

Parameter	Mainstem	Mainstem	Side Channel	Side Channel
pH (rel. units)	7.30	7.30	7.30	7.30
Nitrate/Nitrite (mg/l)	0.08	0.08	0.04	0.04
Ammonia (mg/l)	0.14	0.14	0.14	0.18
Kjeldahl Nitrogen (mg/l)	0.54	0.40	0.70	0.70
Tot. Organic Carbon (mg/l)	I	ĩ	l	I
Sulphate (mg/l)	2.00	-	4.00	-
Total Iron (mg/l)	0.160	-	0.110	0.090

	<u>Side Channel C</u>				
Parameter	Pool I	Pool	Pool I	Pool I	
pH (rel. units)	7.20	7.10	7.20	7.30	
Nitrate/Nitrite (mg/l)	0.11	0.11	0.12	0.12	
Ammonia (mg/l)	0.04	0.01	<0.01	<0.01	
Kjeldahl Nitrogen (mg/l)	1.60	1.40	0.30	0.40	
Tot. Organic Carbon (mg/l)	2	2	I	I	
Sulphate (mg/l)	-	-	-	-	
Total Iron (mg/l)	-	-	-	-	

Volume 4/Appendix C5

291

(Continued)

פטאווסכסט –

TABLE C5.2 (Continued)

	Side Channel C				
Parameter	Pool 2	Pool 2	Pool 2	Pool 2	
pH (rel. units)	7.40	7.30	7.30	7.30	
Nitrate/Nitrite (mg/l)	0.09	0.09	0.08	0.07	
Ammonia (mg/l)	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	
Kjeldahl Nitrogen (mg/l)	0.34	0.24	0.26	0.24	
Tot. Organic Carbon (mg/l)	<1	<1.	<1	<	
Suiphate (mg/1)	2.00	6.00	-	-	
Total Iron (mg/l)	0.180	0.240		-	

ţ

l

Sincina

1

distant

a standard

	<u>Side Channel C</u>				
Parameter	Pool 3	Pool 3	Pool 3	Pool 3	
pH (rel. units)	7.00	7.00	7.10	7.20	
Nitrate/Nitrite (mg/l)	0.09	0.10	0.10	0.08	
Ammonia (mg/l)	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	
Kjeldahl Nitrogen (mg/l)	0.40	0.35	0.98	0.76	
Tot. Organic Carbon (mg/l)	1	I	I	l	
Sulphate (mg/l)	-	8.00	-	-	
Total Iron (mg/l)	0.120	0.110	-	-	

Volume 4/Appendix C5

292

TABLE C5.3

Water Quality of the Mainstern and Side Channels of the Morice River, April 6, 1982

		Side Channel B	Side Channel C	Side Channel D
Parameter	Mainstem	Pool I	Pool 1	Pool I
pH (rel. units)	6.65	6.75	6.45	6.50
Conductivity (umhos/cm)	50	80	45	150
Hardness (mg/l CaCO ₃)	24.0	38.5	21.5	68.5
Alkalinity (mg/l)	21.0	35.0	19.0	69.0
Chloride (mg/l)	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Dissolved Solids (mg/l)	13	37	21	67
Suspended Solids (mg/l)	<1	<1	<1	<1
Sulphate (mg/l)	<2.00	2.00	3.00	4.50
Turbidity (NTU)	0.45	1.05	0.50	0.40
Total Calcium (mg/l)	7.6	12.0	7.0	20.0
Total Magnesium (mg/l)	1.6	1.4	1.2	2.6
Total Potassium (mg/l)	0.52	0.64	0.52	0.76
Total Sodium (mg/l)	1.45	1.65	1.30	5.40
Nitrate/Nitrite (mg/l)	0.06	0.08	0.04	0.04
Ammonia (mg/l)	<0.02	0.05	<0.02	<0.02
Total SiO ₂ (mg/l)	4.3	7.3	4.3	7.0
Total PO ₄ (mg/l)	0.010	0.020	0.015	0.015
Total Cadmium (mg/l)	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001
Total Chromium (mg/l)	<0.025	<0.025	<0.025	<0.025
Total Copper (mg/l)	0.001	<0.001	0.001	<0.001
Diss. Iron (mg/l)	0.040	0.140	0.060	0.020
Total Iron (mg/l)	0.100	0.350	0.200	0.090
Total Lead (mg/l)	0.004	0.003	0.006	0.008
Total Mercury (mg/l)	0.0003	0.0002	0.0003	0.0002
Total Zinc (mg/l)	0.006	0.004	0.006	0.080

1 Data for each location are means of 2 samples

Volume 4/Appendix C5

293

- envirocon -